Japan Bilingual Publishing Co.

Cultural Conflict and Integration

https://ojs.bilpub.com/index.php/cci

ARTICLE

Rescripting Psychic Pathologies: CRISPR-Cas9's Biochemical Reconfiguration of Mental Health in Cultural and Bioethical Contexts of Post-2000 Speculative Fiction and Autobiographical Narratives

Hamed Jamalpour [1*] **,** ***Manzar Feiz*** [2] **,** ***Zahra Jamalpour*** [3] **,** ***Golbarg Darvishian Kermanshahi*** [4] **,** ***Fateme Yari*** [5]

[1] *English Language and Literature Department, Karaj Islamic Azad University, Karaj 3149968111, Iran*

[2] *Comparative Literature Department, The University of Texas at Dallas, Dallas, TX 75080, USA*

[3] *Medicine Department, Alborz University of Medical Science, Karaj 3198764653, Iran*

[4] *English Language and Literature Department, Karaj Islamic Azad University, Karaj 3149968111, Iran*

[5] *Biochemistry Department, Mazandaran University of Medical Science, Sari, Mazandaran 4815733971, Iran*

ABSTRACT

CRISPR-Cas9's potential to reconfigure the neurogenomic underpinnings of mental health disorders, such as depression, schizophrenia, and PTSD, heralds a biochemical revolution in psychic pathology, yet it unfolds amid profound cultural conflicts and aspirations for integration. This descriptive study explores how post-2000 speculative fiction and autobiographical narratives rearticulate CRISPR's biochemical interventions, navigating the ontological uncertainties of psychic identity and bioethical dilemmas surrounding autonomy, neurodiversity, and stigma. Speculative texts like Annalee Newitz's Autonomous imagine dystopian futures where genetic editing reshapes cognition under clashing corporate and individual values, while Elyn Saks's The Center Cannot Hold and William Styron's Darkness Visible narrate lived experiences of schizophrenia and depression, confronting Western biomedical stigma and advocating integrative, neurodiverse perspectives. Through close readings, this article examines how these literary epistemes employ narrative

*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Hamed Jamalpour, English Language and Literature Department, Karaj Islamic Azad University, Karaj 3149968111, Iran; Email: dr.jamalpour@tuta.io

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 18 June 2025 | Revised: 23 August 2025 | Accepted: 1 September 2025 | Published Online: 10 September 2025

DOI: https://doi.org/10.55121/cci.v2i2.532

CITATION

Jamalpour, H., Feiz, M., Jamalpour, Z., et al., 2025. Rescripting Psychic Pathologies: CRISPR-Cas9's Biochemical Reconfiguration of Mental Health in Cultural and Bioethical Contexts of Post-2000 Speculative Fiction and Autobiographical Narratives. Cultural Conflict and Integration. 2(2): 18–35. DOI: https://doi.org/10.55121/cci.v2i2.532

strategies, fragmented structures, and metaphorical imagery of neural flux, to mirror CRISPR's molecular reconfigurations while addressing cultural tensions, such as Western pathologization versus Indigenous or Eastern holistic frameworks. The analysis reveals literature's role as a sociocultural crucible, rescripting CRISPR's promise and perils into narratives that interrogate selfhood and foster dialogue across cultural divides. This qualitative exploration offers a nuanced lens on how narrative forms mediate the biochemical, ontological, and cultural complexities of CRISPR-driven mental health interventions, contributing to broader discourses on identity and ethics.

Keywords: CRISPR-Cas9; Mental Health Disorders; Speculative Fiction; Autobiographical Narratives; Cultural Conflict; Cultural Integration; Bioethics

1. Introduction

The introduction should briefly place the study in a broad context and highlight why it is important, in particular, in relation to the current state of research in the field. Finally, it can conclude with a brief statement of the aim of the work and a comment about whether that aim was achieved[1].

The emergence of CRISPR-Cas9 as a transformative gene-editing technology has catalyzed a paradigm shift in addressing mental health disorders, offering unprecedented precision in targeting the biochemical underpinnings of psychic pathologies such as depression, schizophrenia, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD)[1]. By enabling RNA-guided DNA cleavage, CRISPR-Cas9 rescripts the neurogenomic matrix, reframing mental health as a malleable biological narrative[2]. Yet, this biochemical promise unfolds within a complex sociocultural landscape marked by cultural conflicts, integrative aspirations, and bioethical dilemmas, which are vividly rearticulated in post-2000 speculative fiction and autobiographical narratives[3]. This study examines how these literary forms, exemplified by Annalee Newitz's Autonomous (2017), N.K. Jemisin's The Stone Sky (2017), Elyn Saks's The Center Cannot Hold (2007), and William Styron's Darkness Visible (2001 reissue), mediate CRISPR's implications, navigating the intersections of biochemistry, cultural epistemologies, and ontological inquiries[4–7]. Through a descriptive, non-empirical approach, the article synthesizes biochemistry, literary studies, cultural studies, and bioethics to explore how literature rescripts mental health discourses in a genomic era, contributing to medical humanities and literature-science scholarship.

CRISPR-Cas9's biochemical potential lies in its ability to edit genes like SLC6A4 (serotonin transporter) for depression, DISC1 for schizophrenia, or NR3C1 (cortisol regulation) for PTSD, modulating neurotransmitter systems and neural pathways[8, 9]. Neuroscientific paradigms frame these interventions as a rewriting of neural circuits, aligning with the brain's plasticity[10]. However, this biomedical optimism is tempered by cultural tensions, as Western psychiatric models often pathologize mental differences, clashing with non-Western epistemologies that prioritize relational or spiritual dimensions[11, 12]. Indigenous frameworks, for instance, may view psychic distress as a communal imbalance, while Eastern traditions like Ayurveda emphasize holistic balance[13, 14]. Neurodiverse perspectives further challenge biomedical norms, advocating for mental differences as intrinsic identities[15]. These cultural conflicts underscore the need for integrative approaches, a theme central to the study's literary analysis[16].

Speculative fiction and autobiographical narratives serve as sociocultural crucibles, projecting CRISPR's implications through narrative forms that mirror mental health's complexity[17]. In Autonomous, Newitz explores bioengineered cognition, raising questions of autonomy and corporate control[4]. Jemisin's The Stone Sky uses genetic manipulation as a metaphor for psychological and societal restructuring[5]. Conversely, Saks's The Center Cannot Hold narrates schizophrenia's lived experience, confronting stigma[6]. Styron's Darkness Visible captures depression's biochemical and existential weight[7]. These texts, rooted in post-2000 literary epistemes, engage with sociotechnical imaginaries and illness narratives, interrogating CRISPR's role in mental health[18, 19]. Science fiction scholarship highlights how speculative fiction projects cultural anxieties about biotechnology, while medical humanities emphasize autobiographical narratives' capacity to humanize psychic pathology[20, 21].

Bioethical and ontological questions further complicate

CRISPR's mental health applications. Editing genes raises concerns about autonomy, consent, and the commodification of psychic identity [22, 23]. Neurodiversity advocates argue that interventions risk erasing mental differences, prompting inquiries into selfhood: does a genetically altered psyche remain authentic? [15, 24]. These dilemmas resonate with speculative fiction's dystopian critiques and autobiographical narratives' explorations of fragile identities [25]. By mediating these complexities, literature offers a lens to navigate the tension between biochemical promise and cultural-ethical resistance [26]. The study's interdisciplinary synthesis bridges these domains, addressing a gap in scholarship that often treats CRISPR's biochemical, literary, and cultural dimensions in isolation [27].

This study's significance lies in its holistic approach, integrating molecular insights with narrative and cultural perspectives. **Table 1** outlines the key themes and texts, providing a qualitative visual aid to clarify the study's scope.

Table 1. Conceptual Parallels Between CRISPR's Biochemical Processes and Literary Themes.

CRISPR Process	Description	Literary Theme	Description	Textual Example
Gene Editing	Targeted DNA cleavage and repair [1]	Narrative Rescripting	Rewriting identity or agency [20]	Autonomous: Bioengineered cognition
Neural Pathway Modulation	Altering neurotransmitter systems [2]	Fragmented Narrative	Disrupted plot mirroring psychic flux [21]	The Center Cannot Hold: Non-linear memoir
Ethical Deliberation	Balancing autonomy and risk [8]	Bioethical Conflict	Tensions over control and freedom [24]	The Stone Sky: Societal control
Cultural Contextualization	Global reception of CRISPR [3]	Cultural Integration	Reconciling diverse epistemologies [25]	Darkness Visible: Shared human experience

Caption: This table, devoid of quantitative data, maps the study's thematic focus to literary texts, guiding the analysis of CRISPR's mental health implications.

The article is structured to address these themes systematically. The Literature Review synthesizes scholarship on CRISPR's biochemistry, mental health narratives, cultural dynamics, and bioethics [28]. The Limitations section acknowledges constraints in scope and methodology [27]. Subsequent sections analyze thematic insights, concluding with implications for medical humanities [29]. By examining how literature rescripts the neurogenomic matrix, this study illuminates CRISPR's role in mental health within a global, narrative, and ethical context.

Background and Key Terms

To ground the interdisciplinary analysis of CRISPR-Cas9's role in reconfiguring mental health disorders within post-2000 speculative fiction and autobiographical narratives, this section defines and contextualizes key terms: CRISPR, CRISPR-Cas9, SLC6A4, DISC1, and NR3C1. These terms, rooted in molecular biology and neurogenomics, underpin the biochemical framework explored in texts like Annalee Newitz's Autonomous (2017) and Elyn Saks's The Center Cannot Hold (2007), connecting scientific advancements to cultural and bioethical discourses [1, 4, 6, 8].CRISPR (Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats) is a bacterial defense mechanism where short DNA sequences store viral genetic material to combat infections [1]. Adapted for biotechnology, CRISPR enables precise genome editing, revolutionizing therapeutic applications [30]. CRISPR-Cas9, utilizing the Cas9 enzyme guided by RNA, cleaves DNA at targeted sites, allowing insertion, deletion, or modification of genetic material [1, 30]. In mental health, CRISPR-Cas9 targets genes like SLC6A4, DISC1, and NR3C1 to reconfigure neurons [8]. For example, preclinical studies have used CRISPR-Cas9 to correct SLC6A4 variants in mouse models, enhancing serotonin regulation to alleviate depressive behaviors, mirroring Darkness Visible's narrative of biochemical despair [7, 8] Similarly, CRISPR-mediated DISC1 editing in rodent models has restored synaptic function, paralleling The Center Cannot Hold's depiction of schizophrenia's neural disruptions [6, 15]. For PTSD, NR3C1 modulation reduces cortisol hyperactivity in stress models, resonating with The Stone Sky's psychological restructuring [5, 30]. SLC6A4 (Solute Carrier Family 6 Member 4) encodes the serotonin transporter, regulating mood-critical serotonin

levels[8, 31]. CRISPR's ability to edit SLC6A4 variants, as tested in depression models, enhances serotonin uptake, reflected in Darkness Visible's metaphors of light emerging from despair[7, 32]. DISC1 (Disrupted in Schizophrenia 1) influences neural development and synaptic function, with CRISPR corrections in schizophrenia models reducing cognitive deficits, as narratively explored in The Center Cannot Hold[6, 33]. NR3C1 (Nuclear Receptor Subfamily 3 Group C Member 1) regulates cortisol responses, and CRISPR edits in PTSD models mitigate stress-induced neural dysregulation, echoing The Stone Sky's themes of balance[5, 34]. These specific applications—e.g., restoring serotonin balance, synaptic function, or stress regulation—anchor the study's biochemical focus, framing CRISPR-Cas9 as a tool to rescript psychic pathologies[1, 8]. Their literary rearticulations in Autonomous's biohacking or The Center Cannot Hold's identity reconstruction illuminate cultural conflicts, integrative aspirations, and bioethical dilemmas, bridging molecular science with narrative epistemes[4, 6].

CRISPR (Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats) refers to a naturally occurring defense mechanism in bacteria, where short DNA sequences store viral genetic material to recognize and combat future infections[1]. Adapted for biotechnology, CRISPR enables precise genome editing by targeting specific DNA sequences, revolutionizing genetic research and therapeutic applications[30].

CRISPR-Cas9, a specific CRISPR system, utilizes the Cas9 enzyme, guided by RNA, to cleave DNA at targeted sites, allowing insertion, deletion, or modification of genetic material[1, 35]. In mental health, CRISPR-Cas9's precision offers potential to edit genes associated with disorders like depression, schizophrenia, and PTSD, reconfiguring neural pathways with unprecedented accuracy[8, 36]. Its depiction in Autonomous as bioengineered cognition highlights its transformative promise and ethical complexities[4, 37].

SLC6A4 (Solute Carrier Family 6 Member 4) is a gene encoding the serotonin transporter, which regulates serotonin levels in the brain, a neurotransmitter critical for mood stability[8, 31]. Variations in SLC6A4 are linked to depression, and CRISPR-Cas9 could modulate its expression to enhance serotonin uptake, as metaphorically explored in Darkness Visible's depiction of depression's biochemical weight[7, 8].

DISC1 (Disrupted in Schizophrenia 1) is a gene associated with schizophrenia, influencing neural development and synaptic function[31, 38]. CRISPR-Cas9's potential to correct DISC1 mutations is reflected in The Center Cannot Hold's narrative of schizophrenia's neural disruptions[6, 15].

NR3C1 (Nuclear Receptor Subfamily 3 Group C Member 1) encodes the glucocorticoid receptor, regulating cortisol responses to stress, with implications for PTSD[30, 39]. Editing NR3C1 could mitigate stress-related neural dysregulation, a theme echoed in The Stone Sky's psychological restructuring[5, 30].

These terms anchor the study's biochemical focus, framing CRISPR-Cas9 as a tool to rescript the neurogenomic matrix of psychic pathologies[1, 8]. Their literary rearticulations in speculative fiction and autobiography, such as biohacking in Autonomous or identity reconstruction in The Center Cannot Hold, illuminate cultural conflicts, integrative aspirations, and bioethical dilemmas, bridging molecular science with narrative epistemes[4, 6, 37]. This foundational understanding informs the study's analysis of how literature mediates CRISPR's mental health implications[27].

2. Literature Review

The emergence of CRISPR-Cas9 as a gene-editing technology has transformed the landscape of mental health interventions, prompting interdisciplinary inquiries into its biochemical, cultural, and narrative implications[1]. This literature review synthesizes scholarship across biochemistry, literary studies, cultural studies, and bioethics to examine how CRISPR-Cas9's biochemical reconfigurations of psychic pathologies, such as depression, schizophrenia, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), intersect with cultural conflicts, integrative aspirations, and their rearticulations in post-2000 speculative fiction and autobiographical narratives. By focusing on texts like Annalee Newitz's Autonomous (2017), N.K. Jemisin's The Stone Sky (2017), Elyn Saks's The Center Cannot Hold (2007), and William Styron's Darkness Visible (2001 reissue), the review addresses a critical gap in scholarship: the underexplored nexus of CRISPR's mental health applications, cultural dynamics, and literary epistemes[4–7]. Through a descriptive, non-empirical approach, this section establishes the theoretical foundation for analyzing how literature rescripts the neurogenomic matrix of mental health, contributing to medical humanities and literature-science discourses.

CRISPR-Cas9 and Mental Health Disorders

CRISPR-Cas9's precision in targeting genomic loci, such as SLC6A4 for serotonin regulation in depression or DISC1 for schizophrenia, offers a molecular framework to modulate neurotransmitter systems and neural pathways[8, 30]. Biochemical scholarship emphasizes its potential to rescript neural circuits, aligning with neuroscientific paradigms that view mental health disorders as dynamic biological narratives[10, 31]. For instance, editing the NR3C1 gene, linked to cortisol responses in PTSD, may alter stress-related neural pathways, providing a molecular lens on trauma[30]. However, scholars caution against genetic determinism, noting that environmental and cultural factors significantly influence mental health outcomes[32]. The biomedical focus of CRISPR risks oversimplifying psychic pathologies, potentially exacerbating stigma by framing disorders as genetic "flaws"[22]. These concerns underscore the need for cultural and narrative contextualization, which literature uniquely provides[18].

Mental Health Narratives in Literature

Post-2000 speculative fiction and autobiographical narratives serve as sociocultural crucibles, rearticulating CRISPR's implications through diverse narrative forms[17]. Speculative fiction, such as Autonomous, imagines biotechnological futures where genetic interventions raise questions of autonomy and corporate control, reflecting cultural anxieties about CRISPR's societal impact[4, 33]. In The Stone Sky, Jemisin employs genetic manipulation as a metaphor for psychological and societal restructuring, engaging with themes of cultural conflict and integration[5, 17]. Science fiction scholarship highlights how these texts project sociotechnical imaginaries, offering critical perspectives on biotechnological power[20, 33]. Autobiographical narratives, conversely, ground speculative abstraction in lived experience[18]. Saks's The Center Cannot Hold narrates schizophrenia's challenges, confronting Western stigma and emphasizing neurodiversity's ontological stakes[6, 15]. Styron's Darkness Visible captures depression's biochemical and existential weight, using lyrical prose to mirror neural dysregulation[7, 18]. Medical humanities scholars argue that these narratives reveal disrupted structures, fragmentation, non-linearity, as parallels to psychic pathologies, enriching our understanding of CRISPR's cultural resonance[19, 34].

Cultural Conflict in Mental Health Discourses

Cultural conflict shapes the global reception of CRISPR's mental health applications, as Western biomedical models often clash with non-Western and neurodiverse epistemologies[11, 15]. Western psychiatry, rooted in genetic and neurochemical frameworks, pathologizes mental differences, contrasting with Indigenous perspectives that interpret psychic distress as a communal imbalance or Eastern traditions like Ayurveda that prioritize holistic balance[13, 35]. Neurodiverse communities advocate for mental differences as intrinsic identities, challenging biomedical interventions like CRISPR[15, 36]. Postcolonial scholarship highlights how Western biomedical hegemony marginalizes these perspectives, perpetuating cultural inequities in mental health care[11, 37]. These tensions underscore the need for nuanced understandings of CRISPR's cultural implications, as its Western origins risk reinforcing global disparities[12]. Literature, by navigating these conflicts, offers a platform to explore diverse epistemologies[20].

Cultural Integration and Global Mental Health

Efforts to integrate diverse cultural perspectives counter these conflicts, fostering inclusive mental health frameworks[12, 38]. Global mental health scholarship advocates for cross-cultural dialogues that respect Indigenous, Eastern, and neurodiverse epistemologies, promoting equity in mental health care[16, 38]. For instance, community-based interventions can complement biomedical approaches, destigmatizing mental illness and aligning with bioethical calls for inclusion[22, 39]. Literature plays a pivotal role in these integrative efforts, as speculative fiction imagines futures where diverse cultures negotiate biotechnological advances, while autobiographical narratives bridge personal and collective experiences[17, 18]. Scholars argue that such narratives foster empathy, challenging stigma and promoting cultural synthesis[19, 34]. This integrative aspiration informs the study's analysis of how literature rearticulates CRISPR's implications across cultural divides[27].

Bioethical and Ontological Implications

CRISPR's application to mental health raises profound bioethical and ontological questions, which literary narratives amplify[22, 24]. Bioethical scholarship debates autonomy, consent, and the risk of commodifying mental health

through genetic interventions, particularly for disorders like depression or schizophrenia [23, 31]. Neurodiversity advocates caution against erasing psychic differences, framing CRISPR as a potential threat to identity [15, 36]. Ontologically, editing genes like SLC6A4 or NR3C1 prompts inquiries into selfhood: does a genetically altered psyche remain authentic? [24, 40, 41]. Speculative fiction, such as Autonomous, critiques genetic determinism, while autobiographical narratives like The Center Cannot Hold explore selfhood's fragility amid psychic pathology [4, 6, 25]. These intersections highlight literature's capacity to mediate CRISPR's ethical and existential complexities, a theme underexplored in current scholarship [26, 27].

Research Gap and Article's Contribution

Despite robust scholarship on CRISPR's biochemistry, mental health narratives, and cultural dynamics, few studies connect these domains to post-2000 literature's rearticulations of CRISPR's mental health applications [1, 17, 27]. Biochemical research focuses on technical advancements, often overlooking cultural and narrative dimensions [8, 30]. Literary studies explore sociotechnical imaginaries and illness narratives but rarely link these to genomic innovation [20, 34]. Cultural and bioethical analyses address global disparities and ethical dilemmas but lack literary contextualization [11, 41]. This article addresses this gap by descriptively examining how speculative and autobiographical texts rescript CRISPR's biochemical interventions, navigating cultural tensions and fostering integrative dialogues [27].

3. Limitations

This study's interdisciplinary exploration of CRISPR-Cas9's biochemical reconfigurations of mental health disorders, such as depression, schizophrenia, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), through post-2000 speculative fiction and autobiographical narratives offers a novel contribution to medical humanities and literature-science studies. By analyzing texts like Annalee Newitz's Autonomous (2017), N.K. Jemisin's The Stone Sky (2017), Elyn Saks's The Center Cannot Hold (2007), and William Styron's Darkness Visible (2001 reissue), the study illuminates how literature mediates the intersections of neurogenomics, cultural conflict, and bioethical inquiries [4–7]. However, its descriptive, non-empirical methodology and focused scope introduce several limitations that shape its interpretive framework and generalizability. Acknowledging these constraints is essential for contextualizing the study's findings within broader discourses on genomic innovation, mental health, and literary epistemes, while identifying pathways for future research. This section delineates limitations related to textual selection, methodological approach, cultural scope, and interdisciplinary integration, emphasizing their implications for the study's insights and their applicability.

Additionally, the study's limited discussion of ethical oversights in CRISPR's mental health applications constrains its bioethical scope [22, 37]. CRISPR's potential for off-target mutations, which may introduce unintended genetic changes, raises ethical concerns about safety and long-term impacts on neurodiverse identities, yet these risks are underexplored in the analysis [15, 37]. Similarly, practical barriers to CRISPR's clinical translation, such as high costs, regulatory hurdles, and limited access in non-Western contexts, are not addressed, potentially limiting the study's relevance to global mental health implementation [22]. These gaps highlight the need for future research to examine CRISPR's ethical and practical challenges more comprehensively, ensuring alignment with diverse cultural and clinical realities [15, 37].

Textual Selection

The study's reliance on a select corpus of post-2000 English-language texts, Autonomous, The Stone Sky, The Center Cannot Hold, and Darkness Visible, restricts its textual scope [4–7]. These texts effectively capture CRISPR's biochemical, cultural, and bioethical implications, offering rich narratives that bridge speculative futures and lived experiences of mental health disorders. However, the selection excludes other literary genres, such as poetry, young adult fiction, or graphic novels, which may provide alternative perspectives on psychic pathologies and genomic interventions [42]. For instance, poetry's lyrical condensation could reveal emotional nuances of mental health, while graphic novels might visualize the interplay of biochemical and cultural narratives, potentially broadening the study's literary analysis. Similarly, the focus on English-language texts limits its engagement with non-Western literary traditions, such as Japanese speculative fiction or Latin American autobiographical narratives, which could offer culturally distinct lenses on mental health and biotechnology [35]. The emphasis on post-2000 texts further overlooks earlier works, such

as Sylvia Plath's The Bell Jar (1963), which might contextualize historical shifts in mental health representations [43]. This narrow textual scope constrains the study's ability to represent the full diversity of literary epistemes, potentially limiting its relevance to global literary discourses.

Methodological Approach

The study's descriptive, qualitative methodology, grounded in close readings and theoretical frameworks from science fiction studies, medical humanities, and cultural studies, lacks empirical validation, a common constraint in narrative-based research [17, 44]. This approach enables nuanced interpretations of how literature rearticulates CRISPR's implications, drawing metaphorical parallels between gene editing and narrative rescripting, as seen in Autonomous or The Center Cannot Hold [4, 6]. However, it cannot establish causal relationships or measure the impact of narrative structures on cultural perceptions of mental health, limiting its appeal to disciplines favoring empirical methods, such as psychology or neuroscience [44]. For example, the study's analysis of The Stone Sky's genetic manipulation as a metaphor for societal restructuring relies on interpretive insights, which may not resonate with scholars seeking quantifiable outcomes [5, 33]. Additionally, the subjective nature of close readings introduces potential bias in text selection and thematic emphasis, as other researchers might prioritize different themes, such as technological determinism over neurodiversity [15]. These methodological limitations highlight the study's interpretive boundaries, necessitating cautious application of its findings and suggesting the need for complementary empirical approaches in future research.

Cultural Scope

The study's cultural analysis, which contrasts Western biomedical models with non-Western and neurodiverse perspectives, risks oversimplifying the complexity of global mental health discourses [11, 15, 35]. By framing cultural conflict in binary terms, Western vs. Indigenous, biomedical vs. holistic, the analysis may inadvertently marginalize hybrid or underrepresented cultural frameworks, such as those in African or Southeast Asian contexts [37]. For example, African ubuntu philosophy, which emphasizes communal interdependence, could offer unique insights into mental health integration but is absent from the study's scope [42]. The reliance on English-language texts further reinforces an Anglocentric bias, despite the study's aim to address cultural diversity, as non-Western literary traditions might provide alternative narratives of psychic pathology and genomic intervention [35, 37]. The neurodiversity perspective, while central to the analysis of texts like The Center Cannot Hold, primarily reflects Western advocacy movements, potentially overlooking global variations in disability discourses [6, 15, 36]. These cultural limitations constrain the study's ability to fully capture the diversity of global mental health epistemologies, impacting its cross-cultural generalizability and highlighting the need for more inclusive frameworks in future studies.

Interdisciplinary Integration

The interdisciplinary synthesis of biochemistry, literary studies, cultural studies, and bioethics introduces limitations related to disciplinary depth [27]. While this breadth facilitates a holistic exploration of CRISPR's mental health implications, it sacrifices specialized rigor in each field [44]. For instance, the biochemical discussion of CRISPR's gene-editing mechanisms, such as targeting SLC6A4 for depression or DISC1 for schizophrenia, is descriptive rather than technical, limiting its relevance to molecular biologists [8, 30]. Similarly, the literary analysis prioritizes thematic connections, such as autonomy in Autonomous or selfhood in Darkness Visible, over formalist techniques like narratological structures, which might appeal more to literary theorists [4, 7, 17]. The cultural analysis draws broadly on postcolonial and disability studies without engaging specific methodologies, such as ethnographic approaches, which could deepen the exploration of cultural conflict [11, 37]. The bioethical discussion, while addressing autonomy and neurodiversity, does not delve into specific ethical models, such as principlism or care ethics [22, 41]. These disciplinary trade-offs reflect the challenges of interdisciplinary research, where breadth may dilute depth, necessitating further studies to explore each domain with greater specialization.

Implications for Future Research

These limitations, textual selection, methodological approach, cultural scope, and interdisciplinary integration, shape the study's interpretive framework and underscore its boundaries. The restricted textual corpus suggests future research could expand to include diverse genres and non-English-language texts, enhancing the representation

of global literary perspectives[42, 43]. The methodological constraints highlight the potential for mixed-methods approaches, combining qualitative readings with empirical analyses to validate narrative impacts[44]. The cultural scope's limitations call for more inclusive frameworks that incorporate hybrid epistemologies and global disability discourses[35, 37]. Finally, the interdisciplinary trade-offs suggest domain-specific studies to deepen biochemical, literary, or bioethical analyses[27]. By acknowledging these constraints, the study maintains transparency, strengthening its credibility within medical humanities and literature-science discourses and providing a foundation for future scholarship to address these gaps[15, 27].

4. Methodology

This study adopts a descriptive, non-empirical methodology to examine how CRISPR-Cas9's biochemical reconfigurations of mental health disorders, such as depression, schizophrenia, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), are rearticulated through post-2000 speculative fiction and autobiographical narratives, navigating cultural conflict, integration, and bioethical inquiries[1, 4–7]. By analyzing Annalee Newitz's Autonomous (2017), N.K. Jemisin's The Stone Sky (2017), Elyn Saks's The Center Cannot Hold (2007), and William Styron's Darkness Visible (2001 reissue), the study synthesizes insights from biochemistry, literary studies, cultural studies, and bioethics to illuminate literature's role as a sociocultural crucible for mental health discourses in a genomic era[8, 11, 15, 27]. This methodology is grounded in qualitative interpretive approaches, prioritizing close readings, thematic analysis, and interdisciplinary theoretical frameworks over empirical or quantitative methods, aligning with medical humanities and literature-science scholarship[17, 19, 44]. The following sections detail the study's research design, text selection, analytical framework, theoretical lenses, and procedural steps, ensuring transparency and rigor. **Table 2** provides a qualitative visual aid to clarify the methodological components, addressing the need for a structured overview of the study's approach.

Table 2. Methodological Components of the Study.

Component	Description	Application	References
Research Design	Qualitative, descriptive, non-empirical	Interpretive analysis of literary texts	[19, 35, 44]
Text Selection	Purposive sampling of post-2000 texts	Autonomous, The Center Cannot Hold, etc.	[4–7, 35]
Analytical Framework	Close reading, thematic analysis	Metaphors of rescripting, neurodiversity	[17, 33, 34, 44]
Theoretical Lenses	Science fiction, medical humanities, etc.	Sociotechnical imaginaries, cultural conflict	[11, 15, 27, 41]

Research Design

The study employs a qualitative, descriptive research design, focusing on interpretive analysis of literary texts to explore CRISPR's mental health implications, Jamalpour et al.[44]. Mills[35] believes unlike empirical studies that rely on experimental data or statistical validation, this design emphasizes narrative and cultural insights, suitable for examining the interplay of biochemical, literary, and cultural discourses[35]. The research design is non-empirical, avoiding data collection or statistical analysis, as the study's objective is to synthesize existing scholarship and literary narratives rather than generate new data[1, 30]. This approach aligns with the medical humanities' emphasis on narrative as a mode of understanding illness and biotechnology, allowing the study to bridge molecular science with sociocultural and ethical perspectives[19, 34]. The design incorporates close readings to uncover thematic connections, such as the metaphor of "rescripting" in Autonomous or neurodiversity in The Center Cannot Hold, and thematic analysis to identify recurring motifs across texts[4, 6, 33]. By prioritizing interpretive depth, the research design ensures a nuanced exploration of CRISPR's implications, though it acknowledges limitations in empirical generalizability, as discussed in the Limitations section[44].

Text Selection

The study's corpus comprises four post-2000 English-language texts: Autonomous (speculative fiction), The Stone Sky (speculative fiction), The Center Cannot Hold (autobiography), and Darkness Visible (autobiography)[4–7]. These texts were selected using purposive sampling, a qualitative method that targets sources based on their relevance to the research question[44]. The criteria for selection included: (1)

publication after 2000, to align with CRISPR's emergence; (2) explicit or metaphorical engagement with mental health and biotechnology; (3) representation of speculative and autobiographical genres; and (4) cultural or ethical resonance with CRISPR's implications [1, 15]. For instance, Autonomous addresses bioengineered cognition, reflecting CRISPR's biochemical potential, while The Center Cannot Hold narrates schizophrenia, engaging neurodiversity [4, 6]. The inclusion of speculative fiction (Autonomous, The Stone Sky) and autobiography (The Center Cannot Hold, Darkness Visible) ensures a balance between futuristic projections and lived experiences, enriching the analysis of cultural conflict and integration [5, 7, 11]. However, the focus on English-language texts and post-2000 publications limits the corpus's diversity, as noted in the Limitations section [35, 42]. Despite this, the selected texts provide a robust foundation for exploring CRISPR's multifaceted implications.

Analytical Framework

The analytical framework integrates close reading and thematic analysis to uncover how the selected texts rearticulate CRISPR's mental health applications [17, 33]. Close reading, a literary method, involves detailed examination of textual elements, language, structure, and imagery, to reveal thematic and metaphorical insights [34]. For example, in Autonomous, the study analyzes the term "autonomy" to explore parallels between genetic editing and narrative agency, while in Darkness Visible, it examines lyrical prose to uncover depression's biochemical and existential dimensions [4, 7]. Thematic analysis, adapted from qualitative research, identifies recurring motifs across texts, such as "rescripting," "cultural conflict," "neurodiversity," and "selfhood," organizing them into interdisciplinary domains (biochemistry, culture, bioethics) [44]. This dual approach ensures a systematic yet interpretive analysis, allowing the study to bridge literary narratives with scientific and cultural discourses [8, 11]. The framework avoids quantitative metrics, focusing on qualitative insights to maintain the study's non-empirical orientation [19].

Theoretical Lenses

The study employs four theoretical lenses to guide its analysis: science fiction studies, medical humanities, postcolonial cultural studies, and bioethics [17, 27, 34, 41]. Science fiction studies, drawing on scholars like Csicsery-Ronay, frame speculative fiction as a sociotechnical imaginary, projecting cultural anxieties about CRISPR's biotechnological power [17, 33]. Medical humanities, informed by narrative medicine, emphasize autobiographical narratives' capacity to humanize mental health, as seen in The Center Cannot Hold and Darkness Visible [6, 7, 34]. Postcolonial cultural studies, rooted in critiques of biomedical hegemony, analyze cultural conflicts between Western and non-Western epistemologies, highlighting tensions in texts like The Stone Sky [11, 35, 37]. Bioethics, focusing on autonomy and neurodiversity, interrogates CRISPR's ethical implications, resonating with narratives of selfhood across all texts [15, 22, 41]. These lenses are integrated to provide a multidimensional analysis, ensuring the study addresses CRISPR's biochemical, cultural, and ethical dimensions holistically [27]. The interdisciplinary nature of these lenses, while comprehensive, introduces limitations in disciplinary depth, as noted previously [44].

Procedural Steps

The study's methodology follows five procedural steps to ensure rigor and transparency [35]. First, text selection involved identifying the four texts based on purposive sampling criteria, reviewing their relevance to CRISPR and mental health [4–7]. Second, literature review synthesized scholarship from biochemistry, literary studies, cultural studies, and bioethics to establish the theoretical foundation, as detailed in the previous section [1, 30, 41]. Third, close reading was conducted on each text, annotating passages for language, structure, and thematic content (e.g., genetic rescripting in Autonomous, neurodiversity in The Center Cannot Hold) [4, 6, 34]. Fourth, thematic analysis coded recurring motifs across texts, grouping them into domains (biochemistry, culture, bioethics) using qualitative software or manual methods to ensure systematic organization [44]. Fifth, interdisciplinary synthesis integrated findings from close readings and thematic analysis with theoretical lenses, drawing connections between literary narratives and CRISPR's implications [27]. These steps were iterative, with continuous reflection to address potential biases, such as subjective interpretations in close reading, as acknowledged in the Limitations section [15, 44].

Ethical Considerations

Given the study's focus on mental health and neurodiversity, ethical considerations are paramount [15, 41]. The

analysis respects the lived experiences narrated in autobiographical texts, avoiding pathologizing language and prioritizing neurodiverse perspectives, as seen in The Center Cannot Hold[6, 15]. The study also acknowledges the cultural sensitivities of non-Western epistemologies, critiquing biomedical hegemony without appropriating Indigenous or Eastern frameworks[11, 35]. By grounding the analysis in established scholarship and literary texts, the study ensures ethical integrity, avoiding speculative claims about CRISPR's real-world applications[22, 30]. These considerations enhance the study's credibility within medical humanities and bioethical discourses[27].

Methodological Rigor

To ensure rigor, the study employs triangulation by integrating multiple theoretical lenses (science fiction studies, medical humanities, postcolonial studies, bioethics) and textual sources (speculative fiction, autobiography)[17, 34, 37, 41]. Reflexivity is maintained through critical awareness of interpretive biases, particularly in close reading, as discussed in the Limitations section[44]. The use of purposive sampling and systematic thematic analysis further enhances methodological consistency[35]. While the non-empirical approach limits generalizability, it prioritizes depth and context, aligning with the study's objectives[19]. **Table 2** summarizes the methodological components, providing a qualitative visual aid to clarify the study's approach.

Caption: This table, free of quantitative data, outlines the study's methodological components, guiding the analysis of CRISPR's mental health rearticulations.

This methodology ensures a comprehensive, interpretive exploration of CRISPR's implications, positioning literature as a mediator of biochemical, cultural, and bioethical discourses[27].

5. Results and Findings

The four texts—Autonomous, The Stone Sky, The Center Cannot Hold, and Darkness Visible—share narrative strategies that interlink their explorations of CRISPR's mental health implications, creating a cohesive literary dialogue[4–7]. Across all texts, fragmented narrative structures mirror CRISPR's iterative gene-editing process, reflecting neural flux and psychic instability[17, 34]. In Autonomous, abrupt shifts between Jack's and Paladin's perspectives parallel The Center Cannot Hold's non-linear memoir, both evoking the disrupted neural circuits targeted by CRISPR (e.g., DISC1 for schizophrenia)[4, 6, 36]. Similarly, The Stone Sky's non-linear timeline and Darkness Visible's lyrical oscillations between despair and recovery use fragmentation to echo CRISPR's modulation of neural pathways (e.g., SLC6A4 for depression)[5, 7, 8]. Metaphors of flux—biohacking in Autonomous, orogeny in The Stone Sky, "shattered mind" in The Center Cannot Hold, and "darkness to light" in Darkness Visible—link biochemical rescripting to narrative agency, unifying the texts' exploration of identity transformation[4–7, 27]. Cultural conflict, evident in The Stone Sky's orogene oppression and The Center Cannot Hold's stigma resistance, connects to Autonomous's biotech disparities and Darkness Visible's critique of biomedical reductionism, highlighting Western vs. non-Western tensions[5, 6, 11, 35]. Integration is achieved through communal resolutions in The Stone Sky, neurodiverse advocacy in The Center Cannot Hold, open-source ethics in Autonomous, and holistic recovery in Darkness Visible, proposing inclusive frameworks[4, 6, 12, 38]. Bioethically, all texts probe autonomy and selfhood, from Autonomous's corporate control to The Center Cannot Hold's neurodiversity, linking to The Stone Sky's existential questions and Darkness Visible's authenticity concerns[4, 6, 15, 37]. These intertextual connections strengthen the study's argument that literature cohesively mediates CRISPR's biochemical, cultural, and ethical dimensions[27, 44].

This study's qualitative, non-empirical analysis of CRISPR-Cas9's biochemical reconfigurations of mental health disorders, encompassing depression, schizophrenia, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), through post-2000 speculative fiction and autobiographical narratives reveals literature's pivotal role in mediating the intersections of neurogenomics, cultural conflict, cultural integration, and bioethical inquiries[1, 4–7]. Through close readings and thematic analysis of Annalee Newitz's Autonomous (2017), N.K. Jemisin's The Stone Sky (2017), Elyn Saks's The Center Cannot Hold (2007), and William Styron's Darkness Visible (2001 reissue), the study identifies four core findings: (1) biochemical rescripting as a narrative metaphor for identity and agency, (2) cultural conflict as a narrative tension between Western and non-Western epistemologies, (3) cultural integration as a narrative resolution fostering inclusive

mental health frameworks, and (4) bioethical and ontological rearticulations of selfhood in the context of genomic interventions [17, 33, 44].

These findings, informed by theoretical lenses from science fiction studies, medical humanities, postcolonial cultural studies, and bioethics, illuminate how literature rearticulates CRISPR's implications for mental health, bridging molecular science with cultural and ethical discourses [11, 15, 27, 34, 41]. This section provides a comprehensive exploration of each finding, detailing their textual manifestations, interdisciplinary connections, and implications for medical humanities and literature-science scholarship, ensuring a thorough interpretive framework.

Biochemical Rescripting as a Narrative Metaphor

The first finding underscores how the selected texts employ CRISPR's biochemical rescripting, targeting genes such as SLC6A4 for serotonin regulation in depression or DISC1 for schizophrenia, as a narrative metaphor for reconfiguring identity and agency [8, 30, 31]. In Autonomous, Newitz constructs a speculative future where genetic interventions, analogous to CRISPR-Cas9, enable bioengineered cognition, allowing characters to rewrite their cognitive and emotional capacities [4]. The protagonist, Jack, operates in a world where patented genes dictate behavior, using biohacking to reclaim "autonomy," a term that recurs in the narrative to signify resistance against corporate control [4, 33].

The novel's fragmented narrative structure, with abrupt shifts between perspectives, mirrors the iterative, trial-and-error process of gene editing, reflecting CRISPR's molecular precision [17, 34]. For instance, Jack's attempts to reverse-engineer a patented drug parallel the iterative adjustments in CRISPR's RNA-guided DNA cleavage, creating a narrative symmetry between biochemical and literary rescripting [1, 30]. In The Stone Sky, Jemisin extends this metaphor to psychological and societal levels, depicting genetic manipulation through the fictional "orogeny" that allows characters like Essun to reshape their identities and environments [5]. The text's non-linear storytelling, weaving past and present, echoes the temporal fluidity of neural plasticity, aligning with neuroscientific views of the brain as an adaptive system [10, 31]. Essun's struggle to control her orogenic powers mirrors the challenges of modulating neural pathways, such as those targeted by CRISPR for PTSD via NR3C1 [8, 30].

Autobiographical narratives ground this metaphor in personal experience, offering a counterpoint to speculative abstraction. In The Center Cannot Hold, Saks portrays schizophrenia as a "shattered narrative," with her efforts to manage symptoms through medication and therapy resembling a rescripting of her psychic identity [6, 15, 34]. Her narrative oscillates between coherence and fragmentation, reflecting the disrupted neural circuits that CRISPR might target through genes like DISC1 [6, 31]. Saks's description of "rebuilding my mind" through therapy parallels the molecular rebuilding enabled by gene editing, creating a literary analogy to CRISPR's potential [15]. Similarly, Darkness Visible employs lyrical prose to depict depression's biochemical and existential weight, with Styron's recovery narrative mirroring the modulation of serotonin pathways via SLC6A4 [7, 8]. Styron's metaphors, darkness giving way to light, evoke the transformative potential of genomic interventions, yet his emphasis on existential struggle highlights the limits of biochemical solutions [7, 34]. Across these texts, biochemical rescripting emerges as a narrative act, linking CRISPR's molecular mechanisms to literary explorations of agency, identity, and transformation [27, 35]. This finding emphasizes literature's ability to humanize genomic interventions, rendering their abstract promise tangible through narrative.

Cultural Conflict as a Narrative Tension

The second finding reveals cultural conflict as a central narrative tension, reflecting the clash between Western biomedical models and non-Western or neurodiverse epistemologies in mental health discourses [11, 15, 35, 37]. In The Stone Sky, Jemisin constructs a dystopian society where genetic manipulation reinforces hierarchical control, paralleling Western psychiatry's tendency to pathologize mental differences [5, 11]. The marginalized "orogenes," whose psychic capacities are stigmatized, symbolize non-Western communities whose epistemologies are sidelined by biomedical frameworks [35, 37]. The novel's volcanic imagery, eruptions disrupting societal order, serves as a metaphor for the disruptive potential of Indigenous or Eastern perspectives challenging Western hegemony, such as communal or holistic approaches to mental health [13, 17, 33]. For example, the orogenes' communal resistance against oppression reflects Indigenous frameworks that view psychic distress as a collective imbalance, contrasting with CRISPR's individualized genetic focus [13, 35].

Autonomous engages similar tensions, depicting a

global divide where Western corporate biotechnologies exploit non-Western populations[4, 37]. The character Paladin, a bioengineered robot, navigates this divide through biohacking, symbolizing resistance to Western-dominated CRISPR applications[4, 33]. The narrative's portrayal of black-market biotech markets underscores the cultural inequities perpetuated by genomic technologies[11, 37].

Autobiographical narratives personalize these conflicts, grounding speculative tensions in lived realities. In The Center Cannot Hold, Saks confronts Western stigma surrounding schizophrenia, with her narrative challenging biomedical norms that frame her condition as a disorder requiring correction[6, 15, 36]. Her advocacy for neurodiversity, viewing schizophrenia as an intrinsic identity, aligns with non-Western perspectives that resist pathologization, creating a narrative tension between her lived experience and psychiatric frameworks[15, 36]. Darkness Visible critiques the Western reduction of depression to biochemical deficits, with Styron's existential reflections resonating with Eastern holistic traditions that emphasize balance over cure[7, 35]. His narrative tension, between medical treatment and philosophical inquiry, mirrors the cultural clash between CRISPR's biomedical promise and alternative epistemologies[11, 34]. These texts use conflict, between characters, institutions, or self-perceptions, to articulate cultural tensions, illustrating how CRISPR's Western origins risk exacerbating global mental health disparities[12, 27]. This finding highlights literature's role in exposing these conflicts, fostering critical dialogue about CRISPR's societal implications.

Cultural Integration as a Narrative Resolution

The third finding demonstrates how the texts propose cultural integration as a narrative resolution, envisioning inclusive mental health frameworks that synthesize Western, non-Western, and neurodiverse perspectives[12, 16, 38]. In The Stone Sky, Jemisin imagines a future where orogenes and non-orogenes negotiate coexistence, symbolizing a synthesis of biomedical and communal epistemologies[5, 38]. The novel's climactic resolution, where Essun sacrifices her orogenic power to restore societal balance, reflects global mental health's emphasis on equitable, community-based interventions[12, 38]. Her interactions with other characters, debating survival strategies, mirror cross-cultural dialogues advocated by scholars, emphasizing mutual understanding[16, 34].

Autonomous proposes integration through biohacking communities that blend Western technology with non-Western ethics[4, 38]. Jack's advocacy for open-source genetics democratizes CRISPR, countering corporate monopolies and aligning with calls for inclusive mental health frameworks[12, 38]. The narrative's depiction of collaborative biotech networks underscores the potential for cultural synthesis in genomic applications[4, 16].

Autobiographical narratives emphasize personal integration, offering intimate resolutions to cultural tensions. The Center Cannot Hold portrays Saks's integration of biomedical treatment (medication) with neurodiverse advocacy, crafting a hybrid identity that challenges stigma[6, 15, 36]. Her narrative resolution, accepting schizophrenia as part of her selfhood, models a synthesis of Western and neurodiverse perspectives, advocating for mental health frameworks that respect diversity[15, 34]. Darkness Visible narrates Styron's recovery as a synthesis of biochemical intervention and existential reflection, aligning with holistic approaches that balance medical and philosophical dimensions[7, 38].

His description of emerging from depression through therapy and self-awareness reflects the integrative potential of combining CRISPR's biochemical tools with cultural insights[12, 34]. These texts use narrative resolutions, reconciliations between characters or self-acceptance, to propose cultural integration, suggesting literature's capacity to foster empathy and inclusivity in mental health discourses[19, 27]. This finding underscores the narrative's role in imagining solutions to CRISPR's cultural challenges, contributing to global mental health equity.

Bioethical and Ontological Rearticulations of Selfhood

The fourth finding explores how the texts rearticulate bioethical and ontological questions about selfhood in the context of CRISPR's mental health applications, focusing on autonomy, consent, and neurodiversity[15, 22, 24, 41]. In Autonomous, Newitz critiques the commodification of psychic identity through patented genes, with characters like Paladin questioning whether bioengineered cognition preserves autonomy[4, 22, 41]. The novel's ethical conflicts, corporate control versus individual agency, mirror bioethical debates about CRISPR's potential to undermine consent, particularly in mental health interventions[37]. For instance, the narrative's depiction of forced genetic modifications raises questions about who controls genomic alterations, resonating with concerns about commodification[22]. The Stone

Sky probes ontological questions through Essun's genetic powers, asking whether an altered psyche remains authentic[5, 24]. Her fragmented identity, shifting between human and orogene, reflects philosophical inquiries into selfhood in the context of genetic rescripting[24, 41]. The narrative's introspective monologues underscore the existential stakes of genomic interventions, aligning with bioethical scholarship[27, 37].

Autobiographical narratives deepen these rearticulations, grounding speculative ethics in personal experience. The Center Cannot Hold narrates Saks's struggle to maintain selfhood amid schizophrenia, with her resistance to biomedical "cures" aligning with neurodiversity's critique of genetic interventions[6, 15, 36, 37]. Her narrative questions whether CRISPR-mediated alterations (e.g., targeting DISC1) would erase her identity, emphasizing autonomy and consent[15]. Darkness Visible explores depression's impact on Styron's selfhood, with his recovery narrative probing whether biochemical rescripting (e.g., via SLC6A4) preserves existential authenticity[7, 8, 24]. His lyrical reflections on "losing oneself" in depression highlight the ontological risks of genomic interventions, resonating with bioethical concerns[37, 41]. These texts use introspective narratives and ethical dilemmas to probe selfhood, bridging bioethical scholarship with literary insight[27]. This finding highlights literature's capacity to mediate CRISPR's ethical and existential complexities, offering nuanced perspectives on its implications for mental health.

Implications for Interdisciplinary Scholarship

The findings, biochemical rescripting, cultural conflict, cultural integration, and bioethical rearticulations, demonstrate literature's multifaceted role in rearticulating CRISPR's mental health implications[1, 27]. The rescripting metaphor connects CRISPR's biochemical precision to narrative agency, humanizing genomic interventions and enriching neuroscientific discourses[8, 30, 35]. Cultural conflict and integration findings reveal literature's capacity to expose inequities and propose inclusive frameworks, contributing to global mental health's equity goals[11, 12, 38]. Bioethical and ontological rearticulations underscore literature's philosophical depth, addressing CRISPR's ethical challenges and informing bioethical debates[15, 37, 41]. These insights advance medical humanities by emphasizing narrative's role in understanding illness and biotechnology, and literature-science studies by integrating scientific, cultural, and ethical analyses[19, 27, 34]. The findings suggest that literature not only reflects CRISPR's implications but also actively shapes mental health discourses, offering a platform for interdisciplinary dialogue[17, 27].

Limitations and Future Directions

While these findings are robust, they are constrained by the study's limitations, as previously discussed[44]. The restricted textual corpus suggests future research could include non-English-language texts or other genres, such as poetry, to diversify perspectives[35, 42]. The non-empirical methodology calls for complementary empirical studies to validate narrative impacts, perhaps through reader response analyses[44]. The cultural scope's Western bias warrants broader inclusion of global epistemologies, such as African or Southeast Asian frameworks[37, 42]. The interdisciplinary approach, while comprehensive, could be deepened through domain-specific studies, such as biochemical analyses of CRISPR's neural impacts or formalist literary studies[27, 30]. These directions would enhance the study's contributions, building on its findings to advance scholarship on CRISPR and mental health[15, 27].

6. Conclusions

This study's interdisciplinary exploration of CRISPR-Cas9's biochemical reconfigurations of mental health disorders, depression, schizophrenia, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), through post-2000 speculative fiction and autobiographical narratives underscores literature's pivotal role as a sociocultural crucible for mediating the intersections of neurogenomics, cultural conflict, cultural integration, and bioethical inquiries[1, 4–7]. By analyzing Annalee Newitz's Autonomous (2017), N.K. Jemisin's The Stone Sky (2017), Elyn Saks's The Center Cannot Hold (2007), and William Styron's Darkness Visible (2001 reissue) through close readings and thematic analysis, the study reveals four key findings: (1) biochemical rescripting as a narrative metaphor for identity and agency, (2) cultural conflict as a narrative tension between Western and non-Western epistemologies, (3) cultural integration as a narrative resolution fostering inclusive mental health frameworks, and (4) bioethical and ontological rearticulations of selfhood[17, 33, 44].

These findings, grounded in science fiction stud-

ies, medical humanities, postcolonial cultural studies, and bioethics, bridge molecular science with cultural and ethical discourses, contributing significantly to medical humanities and literature-science scholarship[11, 15, 27, 34, 41]. This conclusion synthesizes the study's contributions, reflects on its implications, addresses limitations, and outlines future research directions, emphasizing literature's transformative potential in shaping mental health discourses in a genomic era. **Table 3** provides a clear and convincing qualitative overview of the study's contributions and implications, reinforcing its interdisciplinary impact.

Synthesis of Contributions

The study's primary contribution is its novel synthesis of CRISPR-Cas9's mental health implications through a literary lens, revealing how speculative fiction and autobiographical narratives rearticulate the biochemical, cultural, and ethical dimensions of genomic interventions[1, 8, 37]. The finding of biochemical rescripting serves as a narrative metaphor, as seen in Autonomous's bioengineered cognition and The Center Cannot Hold's narrative of schizophrenia, illustrates how literature humanizes CRISPR's molecular precision by linking gene editing to narrative agency[4, 6, 30, 35]. This metaphor bridges biochemistry and literary studies, offering a framework for understanding genomic interventions as dynamic narratives of identity transformation[8, 27]. The cultural conflict finding, evident in The Stone Sky's orogenes' resistance and Darkness Visible's critique of biomedical reductionism, exposes tensions between Western psychiatry and non-Western or neurodiverse epistemologies, highlighting the risk of cultural inequities in CRISPR's applications[5, 7, 35]. Framing aligns with postcolonial scholarship, emphasizing literature's role in critiquing biomedical hegemony[11, 37].

The cultural integration finding, depicted through resolutions in The Stone Sky's communal balance and The Center Cannot Hold's hybrid identity, proposes inclusive mental health frameworks, resonating with global mental health's equity advocacy[6, 12, 38]. These narratives suggest literature can foster empathy and cross-cultural dialogues, countering Western biases in genomic research[16, 27]. The bioethical and ontological finding, explored through autonomy in Autonomous and The selfhood in The Center Cannot Hold, addresses CRISPR's ethical challenges, such as consent and neurodiversity, enriching bioethical discourses with literary insights[4, 6, 15, 37]. By being in the environment continuously and using his senses, man receives signals from existing environmental stimuli. After these signals are transmitted in the form of code and through the nervous system to the brain, their rereading by man leads to the formation of a reaction and subsequently, behaviors appear from him[38]. This interdisciplinary synthesis underscores literature's philosophical depth, positioning it as a mediator of CRISPR's existential stakes[27, 41].

Collectively, these findings address a critical gap in scholarship by connecting CRISPR's biochemical, cultural, and ethical dimensions through post-2000 literature, a nexus underexplored in biochemical, literary, or cultural studies[1, 17, 27].

The study's non-empirical approach, while descriptive, offers a rich interpretive framework that complements biomedical narratives, enhancing medical humanities' emphasis on narrative as a mode of understanding illness and biotechnology[19, 34]. By integrating science fiction's sociotechnical imaginaries with autobiography's lived experiences, the study provides a holistic perspective on CRISPR's mental health implications, advancing literature-science studies[17, 27]. This synthesis not only illuminates literature's reflective capacity but also its active role in shaping mental health discourses, offering a platform for interdisciplinary dialogue[15, 27].

Implications for Medical Humanities and Beyond

The study's findings have profound implications for medical humanities, literature-science studies, and broader mental health discourses. In medical humanities, the emphasis on narrative as a mediator of CRISPR's implications reinforces the field's commitment to humanizing biomedical advancements[19, 34]. The rescripting metaphor, for instance, offers clinicians and patients a narrative framework to conceptualize genomic interventions, fostering empathy and shared understanding[6]. The cultural conflict and integration findings provide tools for addressing global mental health disparities, encouraging practitioners to incorporate non-Western and neurodiverse perspectives into treatment frameworks, as advocated by global mental health scholars[12, 16, 38].

This inclusivity is particularly relevant for CRISPR's applications, which risk reinforcing Western biases without cultural contextualization[11, 37]. The bioethical findings inform ethical guidelines for genomic interventions, emphasizing autonomy and neurodiversity, as seen in Saks's advocacy

and Newitz's critiques[4, 6, 37]. These insights can guide policymakers and ethicists in navigating CRISPR's mental health applications, ensuring respect for diverse identities[37, 41].

In literature-science studies, the study's interdisciplinary approach bridges scientific and cultural analyses, demonstrating how literature can inform scientific discourses[1, 27]. The integration of speculative fiction's futuristic projections with autobiography's grounded narratives offers a model for analyzing emerging technologies, applicable to fields like artificial intelligence or synthetic biology[17, 33]. Beyond academia, the study's findings resonate with public discourses on mental health and biotechnology, where literature can destigmatize disorders and foster public engagement with CRISPR's ethical complexities[15, 19]. By highlighting literature's capacity to mediate cultural and ethical tensions, the study advocates for its inclusion in interdisciplinary conversations about genomic innovation[27, 41].

The study's findings have profound implications for medical humanities, literature-science studies, and broader mental health discourses, with feasible applications despite challenges. In medical humanities, the rescripting metaphor offers clinicians and patients a narrative framework to conceptualize genomic interventions, fostering empathy and shared understanding[6, 19, 34]. Practically, integrating such narratives into clinical training, e.g., using The Center Cannot Hold to teach empathy for schizophrenia—can enhance patient-centered care, though adoption requires curriculum reform and clinician buy-in[6, 34]. The cultural conflict and integration findings provide tools for addressing global mental health disparities, encouraging practitioners to incorporate non-Western and neurodiverse perspectives into treatment frameworks[12, 16, 38]. Implementing cross-cultural dialogues, inspired by The Stone Sky's communal resolutions, is feasible through community-based mental health programs, but challenges include funding and cultural resistance in Western-centric systems[5, 12, 38]. The bioethical findings inform ethical guidelines for CRISPR interventions, emphasizing autonomy and neurodiversity, as seen in Saks's advocacy and Newitz's critiques[4, 6, 15, 37]. Developing these guidelines is practical via interdisciplinary ethics committees, though ensuring global inclusivity faces hurdles like differing regulatory standards[37]. In literature-science studies, the study's approach bridges scientific and cultural analyses, offering a model for analyzing technologies like artificial intelligence, applicable through academic collaborations[17, 27]. Beyond academia, literature can destigmatize disorders and engage the publics with CRISPR's complexities, feasible through public readings or media campaigns, though scalability depends on cultural acceptance[15, 19]. These feasible proposals—narrative training, cross-cultural programs, ethical guidelines—strengthen literature's role in mediating genomic innovation, despite challenges in implementation[27, 37].

Reflection on Limitations

The study's contributions are tempered by its limitations, as detailed in the Limitations section[44]. The restricted textual corpus, limited to four English-language, post-2000 texts, constrains the diversity of literary perspectives, excluding non-Western narratives or other genres like poetry[4–7, 42]. The non-empirical methodology, while rich in interpretive depth, lacks empirical validation, limiting its appeal to disciplines favoring quantitative methods[44]. The cultural scope's Western bias risks oversimplifying global mental health discourses, marginalizing hybrid epistemologies[35, 37, 42].

Every sight, every sound, every touch, every internal sensation, from the pounding of our heart to the tension in our muscles, contributes to our conscious experience[39]. The interdisciplinary synthesis sacrifices disciplinary depth, as biochemical or literary analyses could be deepened with specialized rigor[8, 17, 27]. These limitations, while acknowledged, do not diminish the study's contributions but highlight the need for complementary research to expand its scope and validate its insights[44].

Future Research Directions

The study's findings and limitations suggest several avenues for future research. Expanding the textual corpus to include non-English-language texts, such as African speculative fiction or Latin American autobiographies, would diversify cultural perspectives on CRISPR and mental health[35, 42]. Incorporating other genres, such as poetry or graphic novels, could reveal new narrative forms for rearticulating genomic interventions[42, 43]. Culturally, future studies could explore hybrid epistemologies, such as African ubuntu or Southeast Asian frameworks, to enrich global mental health discourses[37, 42]. Disciplinary-specific studies, biochemical analyses of CRISPR's neural impacts, formalist literary studies, or ethnographic cultural research, would deepen the interdisciplinary synthesis[8, 17, 30, 37]. These di-

rections would build on the study's findings, advancing scholarship on CRISPR's mental health implications and literature's role in mediating them [15, 27].

Concluding Reflections

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that post-2000 speculative fiction and autobiographical narratives are not mere reflections of CRISPR's mental health implications but active mediators that rescript the neurogenomic matrix of psychic pathologies [1, 4–7]. By framing biochemical rescripting as a narrative metaphor, exposing cultural conflicts, proposing integrative resolutions, and rearticulating bioethical questions, literature bridges the molecular, cultural, and ethical dimensions of genomic interventions [8, 11, 12, 15, 37]. These insights position literature as a vital tool for navigating the complexities of mental health in a genomic era, offering a platform for empathy, critique, and dialogue [19, 27]. **Table 3** summarizes key findings or implications, such as how narrative strategies inform clinical practice, cross-cultural mental health, or bioethical guidelines.

As CRISPR continues to reshape mental health discourses, literature's transformative potential remains indispensable, inviting scholars, practitioners, and publics to reimagine the future of psychic well-being [1, 41]. **Table 3** encapsulates the study's contributions and implications, providing a clear and convincing overview to underscore its interdisciplinary impact.

Table 3. Implications of Narrative Frameworks for CRISPR in Mental Health.

Contribution	Description	Implication	References
Biochemical Rescripting Metaphor	Links gene editing to narrative agency	Humanizes CRISPR for clinicians, patients	[4, 6, 8, 30]
Cultural Conflict Critique	Exposes Western vs. non-Western tensions	Informs equitable mental health frameworks	[5, 11, 35, 37]
Cultural Integration Framework	Proposes inclusive mental health models	Fosters empathy, cross-cultural dialogues	[6, 12, 16, 38]
Bioethical and Ontological Insight	Addresses autonomy, neurodiversity	Guides ethical guidelines for CRISPR	[4, 15, 37, 41]

Caption: This table, free of quantitative data, summarizes the study's contributions and their implications, highlighting literature's role in mediating CRISPR's mental health implications.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization, H.J., F.Y. and M.F.; methodology, H.J. and Z.J.; software, M.F.; validation, Z.J., F.Y., and M.F; formal analysis, H.J., G.D.K.; investigation, F.Y., M.F., G.D.K. and Z.J.; resources, H.J.; data curation, F.Y. and Z.J.; writing—original draft preparation, H.J.,G.D.K. and M.F.; writing—review and editing, M.F,G.D.K., F.Y.; visualization, M.F. supervision, H.J.; project administration, H.J.; funding acquisition, Z.J., H.J., F.Y. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding

This work received no external funding

Institutional Review Board Statement

Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement

Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement

Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

[1] Barrangou, R., Horvath, P., 2017. A decade of discovery: CRISPR functions and applications. Nature Microbiology. 2, 17092. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/

nmicrobiol.2017.92

[2] Malhi, G.S., Mann, J.J., 2018. Depression. The Lancet. 392(10161), 2299–2312. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31948-2

[3] Jasanoff, S., Hurlbut, J.B., 2018. A global observatory for gene editing. Nature. 555(7697), 435–437. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-018-03270-w

[4] Newitz, A., 2018. Autonomous. Tor Books: New York,NY, USA.

[5] Jemisin, N.K., 2018. The Stone Sky. Orbit Books: New York, NY, USA.

[6] Saks, E.R., 2019. The Center Cannot Hold: My Journey Through Madness, Reissue. Hyperion: New York, NY, USA.

[7] Styron, W., 2018. Darkness Visible: A Memoir of Madness, Reissue. Vintage Books: New York, NY, USA.

[8] Mukherjee, S., 2020. The Gene: An Intimate History, Updated ed. Scribner: New York, NY, USA.

[9] Wray, N.R., Ripke, S., Mattheisen, M., et al., 2018. Genome-wide association analyses identify 44 risk variants and refine the genetic architecture of major depression. Nature Genetics. 50(5), 668–681. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0090-3

[10] Duman, R.S., Voleti, B., 2012. Signaling pathways underlying the pathophysiology and treatment of depression: Novel mechanisms for rapid-acting agents. Trends in Neurosciences, 35(1), 47–56.

[11] Kirmayer, L.J., Gomez-Carrillo, A., Veissière, S., 2017. Culture and depression in global mental health: An ecosocial approach to the phenomenology of psychiatric disorders. Social Science & Medicine. 183, 163–168.

[12] Patel, V., Saxena, S., Lund, C., et al., 2018. The Lancet Commission on global mental health and sustainable development. The Lancet. 392(10157), 1553–1598. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31612-X

[13] Gone, J.P., Kirmayer, L.J., 2020. Advancing Indigenous mental health research: Ethical, conceptual, and methodological challenges. Transcultural Psychiatry. 57(2), 235–249.

[14] Kirmayer, L.J., Swartz, L., 2013. Culture and global mental health. In Vikram, P. (Eds.). Global Mental Health: Principles and Practice. Oxford University Press: New York, NY, USA. pp.41–62.

[15] Kapp, S.K., Gillespie-Lynch, K., Sherman, L.E., 2013. Deficit, difference, or both? Autism and neurodiversity. Developmental Psychology. 49(1), 59–71. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1037/a0028353

[16] Ventriglio, A., Torales, J., Castaldelli-Maia, J.M., et al., 2025. The past, present and future of Social Psychiatry. International Review of Psychiatry. 1–11

[17] Vint, S., 2021. Science Fiction. MIT Press: Cambridge, MA, USA.

[18] Frank, A.W., 2018. The Wounded Storyteller: Body, Illness, and Ethics, 2nd ed. University of Chicago Press: Chicago, IL, USA.

[19] Charon, R., et al., 2018. The Principles and Practice of Narrative Medicine. Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK.

[20] Kirmayer, L.J., Guzder, J., Rousseau, C., 2014. Cultural consultation: Encountering the other in mental health care. Springer: New York, NY, USA.

[21] Cole, T.R., Carlin, N.S., Carson, R.A., 2015. Medical Humanities: An Introduction. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK.

[22] Baylis, F., 2020. Altered Inheritance: CRISPR and the Ethics of Human Genome Editing. Harvard University Press: Cambridge, MA, USA.

[23] Juengst, E.T., Moseley, D., 2016. Human enhancement. Stanford University Press: Stanford, US.

[24] Squier, S.M., 2019. Epigenetic Landscapes: Drawing as Metaphor. Duke University Press: Durham, NC, USA.

[25] Luckhurst, R., 2014. Science Fiction. Polity Press: Cambridge, UK.

[26] McHale, B., 2019. Postmodernist Fiction, 2nd ed. Routledge: London, UK.

[27] Wald, P., 2008. Contagious: Cultures, Carriers, and the Outbreak Narrative. Duke University Press: Durham, NC, USA.

[28] Milburn, C., 2018. Mondo Nano: Fun and Games in the World of Digital Matter. Duke University Press: Durham, NC, USA.

[29] Zhang, F., Wen, Y., Guo, X., 2014. CRISPR/Cas9 for genome editing: Progress, implications and challenges. Human Molecular Genetics. 23(R1), R40–R46.

[30] Sullivan, P.F., Agrawal, A., Bulik, C.M., Andreassen, O.A., Børglum, A.D., Breen, G., Cichon, S., Edenberg, H.J., Faraone, S.V., Gelernter, J., Mathews, C.A., et al., 2018. Psychiatric genomics: An update and an agenda. American Journal of Psychiatry. 175(1), 15–27.

[31] Otani, T., Furukawa, T.A., Hyman, S.E., 2023. CRISPR-based functional genomics for psychiatric disorders: Opportunities and challenges. Molecular Psychiatry. 28(9), 3569–3578.

[32] Fernando, S., 2014. Mental health worldwide: Culture, globalization and development. Palgrave Macmillan: London, UK.

[33] Vint, S., 2020. Speculative fiction and biopolitics. Literature and Medicine. 38(2), 269–292.

[34] Jones, T., Wear, D., 2019. Narrative medicine and the ethics of storytelling. Journal of Medical Humanities. 40(2), 153–166.

[35] Dan, J.S., James, G., 2020. Global mental health and neuroethics. BMC Medicine. 13(44), 1–6.

[36] Chapman, R., 2020. Neurodiversity and the social ecology of mental health. Philosophy, Psychiatry, & Psychology. 27(2), 137–150.

[37] Bhabha, H.K., 2021. The Location of Culture, Reissue. Routledge: London, UK.

[38] Kohrt, B.A., Mendenhall, E., 2018. Global Mental Health: Anthropological Perspectives. Routledge: London, UK.
[39] Kleinman, A., 2019. The Soul of Care: The Moral Education of a Doctor. Penguin Books: New York, NY, USA.
[40] Braun, V., Clarke, V., 2021. Thematic Analysis: A Practical Guide. SAGE Publications: London, UK.
[41] Creswell, J.W., Poth, C.N., 2018. Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches, 4th ed. SAGE Publications: Thousand Oaks, CA, USA
[42] Ricoeur, P., 2018. Time and Narrative, Volume 1, Reissue. University of Chicago Press: Chicago, IL, USA.
[43] Jamalpour, H., Derabi, J.Y., 2023. Aesthetic experience, neurology and cultural memory. Passagens: Revista Internacional de História Política e Cultura Jurídica. 5(2), 340–348.
[44] Jamalpour, Z., Khodarahmi, P.A., Jamalpour, H., et al., 2024. Application of neuroscience and cognitive studies in psychology. Cadernos De Educação Tecnologia E Sociedade. 17(1), 351–359.