Japan Bilingual Publishing Co.

Mathematical Methods in Data Science

https://ojs.bilpub.com/index.php/mmds

ARTICLE

Mathematical Optimization Methods for Urban Traffic Flow Management in Romania: A Data-Driven Approach

Mihai Ionescu*

National Institute for Research and Development in Informatics (ICI), Bd. Mihail Eminescu 8-10, Romania

ABSTRACT

This paper explores the application of mathematical optimization methods (linear programming, genetic algorithms, and graph-based routing) in urban traffic flow management, with a focus on solving congestion mitigation and route efficiency issues in major Romanian cities. Using multi-source traffic data (2021–2023) from Bucharest, Cluj-Napoca, and Iași, we construct a hybrid optimization model that integrates real-time traffic sensor data and historical travel time records. Empirical results show the model reduces peak-hour congestion duration by 28% in Bucharest's city center and cuts average travel time by 19% on key arterial roads—outperforming traditional traffic management systems. The research provides a scalable mathematical framework for sustainable urban mobility in Romania, addressing unique challenges such as aging infrastructure and mixed traffic flows.

Keywords: Mathematical optimization; Urban traffic management; Linear programming; Genetic algorithms; Graph-based routing; Romania; Traffic congestion; Data-driven mobility

*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Mihai Ionescu, National Institute for Research and Development in Informatics (ICI); Email: mihai.ionescu@ici.ro

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 2 September 20252025 | Revised: 12 September 2025 2025 | Accepted: 20 September 2025 | Published Online: 29 September 2025

DOI: https://doi.org/10.55121/mmds.v1i1.822

CITATION

Mihai Ionescu. 2025. Mathematical Optimization Methods for Urban Traffic Flow Management in Romania: A Data-Driven Approach. Mathematical Methods in Data Science, 1(1):56-68. DOI: https://doi.org/10.55121/mmds.v1i1.822

COPYRIGHT

Copyright © 2025 by the author(s). Published by Japan Bilingual Publishing Co. This is an open access article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

1.1 Research Background

Urban traffic congestion has become a critical challenge for Romania's major cities, with Bucharest, Cluj-Napoca, and Iași ranking among the most congested urban areas in Eastern Europe (European Commission, 2022). According to the *2023 Romanian Urban Mobility Report*, Bucharest residents spend an average of 98 hours annually in traffic delays—23% more than the European Union average. This congestion not only increases carbon emissions (contributing 31% of Bucharest's urban CO_2 output) but also imposes economic costs equivalent to 2.1% of Romania's GDP (Romanian Ministry of Transport, 2023).

Traditional traffic management in Romania relies on fixed traffic signal timings and manual route guidance, which fail to adapt to dynamic conditions such as rush-hour surges, construction zones, or special events. With the deployment of smart traffic sensors (e.g., loop detectors, camera-based analytics) in 12 Romanian cities since 2021, there is now access to real-time, high-frequency traffic data—but a lack of mathematical tools to translate this data into actionable management strategies. Mathematical optimization methods, which excel at solving complex, multi-constraint problems, offer a solution to bridge this gap by optimizing signal timings, routing, and resource allocation.

1.2 Research Significance

Theoretical significance: This study advances the application of mathematical optimization in Eastern European urban contexts, where traffic systems face distinct challenges (e.g., mixed flows of private cars, public transport, and informal vehicles; limited budget for infrastructure upgrades). By adapting linear programming and genetic algorithms to Romania's unique traffic patterns, we expand the generalizability of optimization models beyond Western European or North American case studies.

Practical significance: The hybrid optimization model proposed here can be directly integrated into Romania's existing smart traffic infrastructure. For example, in Bucharest's Sector 1—where 40% of daily congestion occurs— the model can adjust traffic signal timings in real time based on sensor data, reducing delays for 120,000 daily commuters. Additionally, the model's route optimization feature can support Romania's ongoing expansion of public transport (e.g., Bucharest's new metro line 6) by improving connections between bus, tram, and metro networks.

1.3 Research Status: Romania and Beyond

1.3.1 International Research

Global studies have demonstrated the value of mathematical optimization in traffic management. Smith et al. (2021) used linear programming to optimize traffic signal timings in London, reducing intersection delays by 22%. In a study of Seoul, Kim and Park (2022) applied genetic algorithms to dynamic route planning, cutting travel time variance by 27%. However, these models often assume well-maintained infrastructure and homogeneous traffic flows—conditions not always met in Romania.

1.3.2 Romanian Research

Domestic research on traffic optimization remains limited but growing. Popescu et al. (2021) tested a basic linear programming model for Bucharest's signal timings, achieving a 10% reduction in delays—but the model lacked real-time data integration. Marinescu and Ionescu (2022) used graph theory to map Cluj-Napoca's traffic networks but did not incorporate optimization to improve flows. A key gap exists in hybrid models that combine multiple optimization methods to address Romania's mixed traffic and infrastructure constraints.

1.4 Research Content and Methods

1.4.1 Research Content

The study focuses on three core objectives: (1) Develop a hybrid optimization model integrating linear programming (for signal timing), genetic algorithms (for route planning), and graph-based routing (for network analysis); (2) Validate the model using traffic data from three Romanian cities (Bucharest, Cluj-Napoca, Iași) between 2021–2023; (3) Propose policy recommendations for scaling the model to other Romanian urban areas.

1.4.2 Research Methods

Data collection: Gather real-time traffic data (vehicle counts, speed, queue lengths) from 500 smart sensors in target cities, supplemented by historical data from the Romanian National Road Authority (CNAIR) and public transport operator (STB Bucharest).

Model development: Use linear programming to optimize signal cycle lengths (minimizing intersection delays), genetic algorithms to generate dynamic routes (prioritizing time efficiency), and graph theory to model traffic networks (nodes = intersections, edges = road segments).

Empirical testing: Compare the model's performance to traditional systems in a 6-month trial (January–June 2023) in Bucharest's Sector 1, Cluj-Napoca's city center, and Iași's Unirii Square area.

2. Mathematical Optimization Methods for Traffic Management

2.1 Linear Programming for Traffic Signal Timing

Linear programming (LP) is used to optimize traffic signal timings at intersections, a critical factor in reducing congestion. The goal is to determine green light durations for each direction (e.g., north-south, east-west) that minimize total delay, subject to constraints such as maximum cycle length and pedestrian crossing time.

2.1.1 Core Principles

In LP, the objective function is defined as the total delay across all traffic streams at an intersection. Delays are calculated based on vehicle arrival rates (from sensor data) and green light duration. Constraints include:

Cycle length constraint: Total signal cycle (sum of green, yellow, and red phases) cannot exceed 120 seconds (to avoid excessive waits for pedestrians).

Green time minimum: Each direction must have at least 15 seconds of green light to clear queued vehicles.

Pedestrian constraint: Crosswalk signals require a minimum 10-second green phase to ensure safe crossing.

2.1.2 Application in Romanian Cities

For Bucharest's Piata Unirii intersection—one of the busiest in the city, with 8,000 vehicles/hour during peak hours—the LP model was calibrated using 2022 sensor data. The model adjusted green light durations from fixed 45-second intervals to dynamic ranges (30–60 seconds) based on real-time vehicle counts. During a 1-month trial, this reduced average intersection delay from 72 seconds to 48 seconds—a 33% improvement.

In Iași, where intersections often have mixed traffic (cars, trams, and bicycles), the LP model was modified to include a "tram priority" constraint (minimum 20-second green light for tram lanes). This reduced tram delays by 25%, aligning with Iași's goal of increasing public transport ridership by 15% by 2025.

2.2 Genetic Algorithms for Dynamic Route Planning

Genetic algorithms (GAs)—inspired by biological evolution—are ideal for dynamic route planning, as they can adapt to real-time changes (e.g., accidents, road closures) by generating and refining candidate routes.

2.2.1 Core Principles

GAs operate through three key steps:

Initialization: Generate a set of candidate routes between an origin and destination (e.g., 50 routes from Bucharest's Otopeni Airport to Sector 1).

Selection: Evaluate each route's fitness (e.g., travel time, distance, congestion level) and select the top-performing routes to "reproduce."

Crossover and mutation: Combine segments of top routes (crossover) and introduce small changes (mutation, e.g., swapping a side street for a main road) to generate new routes. This process repeats until an optimal route emerges.

2.2.2 Application in Romanian Cities

In Cluj-Napoca, a city with narrow, medieval-era streets prone to sudden congestion, the GA model was integrated into a mobile app for commuters. The app uses real-time sensor data to update routes every 5 minutes. During a 3-month trial (March–May 2023), 6,000 users reported an average travel time reduction of 19% compared to using static GPS routes.

For commercial fleets (e.g., delivery vans in Bucharest), the GA model was extended to minimize total fleet travel time, not just individual routes. This reduced fleet fuel consumption by 12%, a significant benefit for Romanian logistics companies facing rising fuel costs.

2.3 Graph-Based Routing for Network Analysis

Graph theory models traffic networks as graphs, where nodes represent intersections and edges represent road segments (weighted by travel time, distance, or congestion level). This helps identify bottlenecks and optimize network-wide flow.

2.3.1 Core Principles

Key graph metrics used include:

Degree centrality: Nodes (intersections) with high connectivity (many edges) are identified as potential bottlenecks.

Betweenness centrality: Nodes that lie on the most shortest paths are prioritized for congestion mitigation (e.g., signal optimization).

Edge weight update: Road segment weights (travel time) are updated in real time using sensor data, ensuring the graph reflects current conditions.

2.3.2 Application in Romanian Cities

In Bucharest, the graph model identified 12 "critical nodes" (e.g., Piata Victoriei, Calea Victoriei) that accounted for 45% of total city congestion. The model recommended targeted interventions: expanding sidewalks to reduce pedestrian-vehicle conflicts at Piata Victoriei, and adding a dedicated bus lane on Calea Victoriei. After implementation in late 2022, these nodes saw a 28% reduction in congestion duration.

In Cluj-Napoca, the graph model was used to plan a new bicycle lane network, connecting residential areas to the city center. The model identified low-betweenness edges (quiet streets) as ideal for bicycle lanes, reducing conflicts with cars and increasing bicycle ridership by 30% in the first year.

3. Hybrid Optimization Model Construction

3.1 Model Framework

The hybrid model integrates linear programming (LP), genetic algorithms (GA), and graph-based routing into a single, cohesive system. The framework has three layers, with data flowing sequentially between them:

3.1.1 Data Input Layer

Collects multi-source traffic data:

Real-time data: Vehicle counts, speed, and queue lengths from 500 smart sensors (deployed by Romania's Ministry of Transport) and 200 traffic cameras (managed by local police).

Historical data: 3 years of travel time records (2021–2023) from CNAIR, STB Bucharest, and ride-hailing platforms (e.g., Bolt Romania).

Contextual data: Construction zones, special events (e.g., Bucharest's George Enescu Festival), and public transport schedules (tram, bus, metro).

All data is preprocessed to remove outliers (e.g., sensor malfunctions) and standardized to a common format (timestamp, location, vehicle type).

3.1.2 Optimization Layer

The core layer, where three methods work in tandem:

Graph-based routing: First models the traffic network as a graph, updating edge weights (travel time) using real-time data. This identifies critical nodes (bottlenecks) to prioritize for LP optimization.

Linear programming: Optimizes signal timings at critical nodes, using vehicle arrival rates from sensors to adjust green light durations. Results (optimized timings) are fed back to the graph to update edge weights.

Genetic algorithms: Generates dynamic routes for commuters and fleets, using the updated graph (with optimized signal timings) to calculate route fitness. Routes are updated every 5 minutes to reflect new conditions.

3.1.3 Output Layer

Delivers actionable outputs to stakeholders:

For traffic managers: Real-time signal timing adjustments (sent to traffic control centers in Bucharest, Cluj-Napoca, and Iași) and weekly congestion reports.

For commuters: Dynamic route recommendations (via mobile apps, SMS alerts, and road signs).

For policymakers: Long-term insights (e.g., bottleneck locations for infrastructure upgrades) and environmental impact reports (CO_2 reduction estimates).

3.2 Model Calibration for Romanian Traffic Conditions

The model was calibrated to address unique challenges of Romanian traffic:

Mixed traffic: The LP model includes a "vehicle type" parameter, assigning higher priority to trams and buses (to support public transport) and adjusting green times for slower-moving vehicles (e.g., delivery trucks).

Aging infrastructure: The graph model weights road segments by pavement condition (from CNAIR's 2022 infrastructure report), avoiding routes with frequent potholes that cause slowdowns.

Seasonal variation: In winter (November–February), when snow and ice increase travel times, the GA model adds a "weather factor" to route fitness, prioritizing main roads (which are plowed first) over side streets.

4. Empirical Testing in Romanian Cities

4.1 Test Design

A 6-month trial (January–June 2023) was conducted in three Romanian cities, with the hybrid model tested against traditional traffic management systems (fixed signal timings, static routes). Key test areas included:

Bucharest: Sector 1 (25 intersections, 100 sensors) and the Otopeni Airport–Sector 1 corridor.

Cluj-Napoca: City center (18 intersections, 80 sensors) and the Cluj-Napoca Railway Station–University area.

Iași: Unirii Square (12 intersections, 60 sensors) and the Iași International Airport–City Center route.

Performance metrics included:

Congestion duration: Time spent in traffic moving < 20 km/h.

Average travel time: Time to traverse key corridors.

Public transport delay: Time lost by buses and trams at intersections.

CO_2 emissions: Measured via sensor data and vehicle count.

4.2 Test Results

4.2.1 Bucharest

Congestion duration: Reduced by 28% in Sector 1 (from 120 minutes/day to 86 minutes/day) and 22% on the Otopeni Airport corridor.

Average travel time: Cut by 19% on the airport corridor (from 45 minutes to 36 minutes).

Public transport delay: Bus delays decreased by 31% at Sector 1 intersections, increasing on-time performance from 62% to 83%.

CO_2 emissions: Reduced by 14% in Sector 1, equivalent to 1,200 fewer tons of CO_2 over 6 months.

4.2.2 Cluj-Napoca

Congestion duration: Decreased by 24% in the city center (from 95 minutes/day to 72 minutes/day).

Average travel time: Reduced by 17% on the railway station–university route (from 30 minutes to 25 minutes).

Bicycle ridership: Increased by 30% due to optimized bicycle lane routes, aligning with Cluj-Napoca's "Green City" initiative.

4.2.3 Iași

Congestion duration: Fell by 21% around Unirii Square (from 105 minutes/day to 83 minutes/day).

Tram delay: Tram delays decreased by 27% at Unirii Square intersections, improving tram ridership by 12%.

4.3 Comparison to Traditional Systems

Across all three cities, the hybrid model outperformed traditional systems:

Congestion reduction: 2–3x more effective than fixed signal timings (traditional systems reduced congestion by only 8–12%).

Travel time savings: 1.5x greater than static GPS routes (traditional routes cut travel time by 10–13%).

Public transport improvement: 2x more effective at reducing bus/tram delays (traditional systems improved on-time performance by only 10–15%).

5. Limitations and Future Directions

5.1 Limitations

5.1.1 Data Coverage Constraints

The model relies heavily on data from smart sensors, which are currently only deployed in 12 major Romanian cities (e.g., Bucharest, Cluj-Napoca, Iași). Smaller cities (e.g., Timișoara, Brașov) and rural-urban fringe areas lack sufficient sensor infrastructure, making it difficult to apply the model universally. Additionally, sensor data in some older neighborhoods of Bucharest (e.g., Sector 5) is incomplete due to outdated equipment, leading to minor inaccuracies in signal timing optimization.

5.1.2 Integration with Informal Traffic Elements

Romanian traffic often includes informal elements such as street vendors, unregistered vehicles, and pedestrian jaywalking—factors that are not fully captured in the current model. For example, street vendors in Cluj-Napoca's historic center occasionally block road segments, causing unexpected congestion that the model cannot predict in real time, as these events are not recorded in formal data sources.

5.1.3 Interoperability with Existing Systems

Romania's traffic management infrastructure is fragmented, with different cities using different control systems (e.g., Bucharest uses Siemens traffic controllers, while Iași uses local Romanian-developed software). The hybrid model requires custom integration with each system, increasing deployment costs and complexity. In some cases, this interoperability issue delayed the model's trial in Iași by 2 weeks.

5.1.4 Weather-Related Adaptability Gaps

While the model includes a basic "weather factor" for winter conditions, it does not fully account for extreme weather events such as heavy rain, fog, or heatwaves—all of which significantly impact traffic flow in Romania. For example, heavy rain in Bucharest in May 2023 caused flooding on Calea Moșilor, leading to a 40% increase in travel time that the model underestimated by 15%.

5.2 Future Research Directions

To address the above limitations and expand the model's impact, future research will focus on four key areas:

5.2.1 Expand Data Infrastructure for Smaller Cities

Collaborate with Romania's Ministry of Transport to deploy low-cost sensors (e.g., solar-powered traffic counters) in 8 additional medium-sized cities (Timișoara, Brașov, Constanța, etc.) by 2025. Develop a "lightweight" version of the model that requires fewer data inputs, using historical traffic patterns and satellite imagery to supplement limited real-time data in rural-urban areas.

5.2.2 Incorporate Informal Traffic Data

Integrate alternative data sources to capture informal traffic elements, such as:

◦Crowdsourced data from commuter apps (e.g., Waze, Bolt) to identify street vendor blockages or unregistered vehicles;

◦Camera-based computer vision (using existing traffic cameras) to detect pedestrian jaywalking and adjust signal timings accordingly.

A pilot study in Bucharest's Sector 5 will test this integration, with initial results expected by late 2024.

5.2.3 Develop a Unified Integration Platform

Partner with Romanian tech firms (e.g., UiPath, Endava) to build a unified API (Application Programming Interface) that connects the hybrid model to all major traffic control systems used in Romania. This platform will reduce deployment time by 50% and lower integration costs by standardizing data formats and communication protocols.

5.2.4. Enhance Weather and Extreme Event Adaptability

Integrate real-time weather data from Romania's National Meteorological Administration (ANM) into the model, with machine learning algorithms to predict traffic flow changes during extreme weather. For example, the model will learn to adjust route recommendations 1–2 hours in advance of heavy rain, prioritizing elevated roads (e.g., Bucharest's Mihai Bravu overpass) that are less prone to flooding.

6. Conclusions and Policy Recommendations

6.1 Main Conclusions

This study develops and validates a hybrid mathematical optimization model for urban traffic management in Romania, with three key findings:

6.1.1 Mathematical Optimization Delivers Tangible Traffic Improvements

The integration of linear programming (signal timing), genetic algorithms (route planning), and graph-based routing (network analysis) reduces peak-hour congestion by 21–28% and cuts average travel time by 17–19% across Bucharest, Cluj-Napoca, and Iași. These results outperform traditional traffic systems by 2–3x, demonstrating the value of data-driven mathematical methods in addressing Romania's unique traffic challenges.

6.1.2 Model Calibration to Local Context is Critical

Adapting the model to Romania's mixed traffic (cars, trams, bicycles), aging infrastructure, and seasonal weather conditions is essential for success. For example, adding a "tram priority" constraint in Iași reduced public transport delays by 27%, while weighting road segments by pavement condition in Bucharest minimized slowdowns from potholes.

6.1.3 Scalability Requires Infrastructure and Policy Support

While the model works effectively in major cities, its expansion to smaller areas and full integration with existing systems depends on investments in sensor infrastructure and cross-city collaboration. Without these, the model's impact will remain limited to Romania's largest urban centers.

6.2 Policy Recommendations

To maximize the model's benefits and advance sustainable urban mobility in Romania, we propose five policy actions for government agencies, local authorities, and private stakeholders:

6.2.1 For Romania's Ministry of Transport

Fund Sensor Infrastructure Expansion: Allocate 15 million RON (≈3 million EUR) in the 2024–2025 budget to deploy low-cost traffic sensors in 8 medium-sized cities (Timișoara, Brașov, Constanța, Craiova, Galați, Ploiești, Brăila, Oradea). Prioritize areas with high commuter traffic (e.g., Timișoara's E671 highway corridor) to ensure immediate impact.

Mandate Data Sharing Standards: Issue a regulation requiring all city traffic control systems to adopt the unified API (developed in Section 5.2.3) by 2026. This will eliminate interoperability barriers and allow the model to be deployed nationwide at lower cost.

6.2.2 For Local City Governments

Pilot the Model in High-Congestion Zones: For cities without full sensor coverage (e.g., Brașov), start with a 3-month pilot in high-congestion areas (e.g., Brașov's Piata Sfatului) using existing camera data and crowdsourced inputs. Use pilot results to secure additional funding for sensor deployment.

Integrate with Public Transport Plans: Align the model's route optimization with public transport expansion projects, such as Bucharest's metro line 6 (scheduled to open in 2026) and Cluj-Napoca's new tram network. For example, use the model to adjust bus routes to connect with metro stations, increasing public transport ridership by 10–15%.

6.2.3 For Private and Academic Stakeholders

Collaborate on Low-Cost Sensor Development: Partner with Romanian universities (e.g., University of Bucharest, Polytechnic University of Timișoara) to develop affordable, locally produced traffic sensors (costing <500 RON each) that are compatible with the model. This will reduce reliance on imported equipment and lower long-term maintenance costs.

Launch a Commuter Engagement Campaign: Work with ride-hailing and navigation apps (Bolt, Waze) to promote the model's dynamic route recommendations to users. For example, offer small incentives (e.g., 5% discount on Bolt rides) for commuters who follow the model's routes, increasing adoption and improving overall traffic flow.

6.3 Future Outlook

By 2027, the hybrid optimization model has the potential to reduce national urban traffic congestion by 20–25%, cut CO_2 emissions from road transport by 12–15%, and save Romanian commuters an average of 40–50 hours annually in traffic delays. These improvements will not only enhance quality of life for residents but also support Romania's broader goals of reducing greenhouse gas emissions (per the EU's Green Deal) and improving economic productivity by reducing time lost to congestion.

Ultimately, the model demonstrates how mathematical optimization—tailored to local context—can transform urban mobility in Eastern Europe, offering a scalable blueprint for other countries facing similar challenges of aging infrastructure, mixed traffic, and limited resources.

7. Extension Research: Adaptation of the Optimization Model to Small and Medium-Sized Romanian Cities

While the hybrid optimization model has demonstrated significant effectiveness in Romania's major cities (Bucharest, Cluj-Napoca, Iași), small and medium-sized cities (SMSCs) such as Timișoara, Brașov, and Constanța face distinct traffic challenges—yet lack the sensor infrastructure and technical capacity to adopt the full model. This chapter presents targeted research on adapting the model to SMSCs, including traffic characteristic analysis, lightweight model design, and pilot validation, to promote nationwide scalability.

7.1 Traffic Characteristics of Romanian SMSCs

Romanian SMSCs (defined by the National Institute of Statistics as cities with populations between 50,000 and 200,000) exhibit four key traffic characteristics that differ from major cities, requiring model adjustments:

7.1.1 Lower Traffic Volume but Higher Variability

SMSC traffic volumes are 30–50% lower than in Bucharest (e.g., Timișoara's peak-hour vehicle count is 4,200 vehicles/hour, compared to 8,000 in Bucharest's Sector 1). However, variability is higher due to irregular events: for example, Brașov's annual Oktoberfest attracts 50,000+ visitors, increasing traffic volume by 200% over 3 days, while Constanța's summer tourism season (June–August) doubles coastal road traffic. This variability makes static signal timings (still used in 80% of SMSCs) ineffective, as they cannot adapt to sudden surges.

7.1.2 Mixed Traffic Dominated by Private Vehicles

Unlike Bucharest (where public transport accounts for 35% of daily trips), SMSCs rely heavily on private vehicles (70–80% of trips), with limited public transport options (e.g., Timișoara has only 2 tram lines, compared to Bucharest's 14). Additionally, informal vehicles (e.g., small delivery vans, agricultural vehicles in rural-urban fringes) make up 15–20% of traffic in SMSCs, causing frequent slowdowns due to size mismatches with urban roads (e.g., narrow streets in Brașov's historic center).

7.1.3 Limited Sensor and Technical Infrastructure

Only 3 of Romania's 18 SMSCs (Timișoara, Brașov, Constanța) have basic traffic sensors, and none have dedicated traffic management centers. Most SMSCs rely on manual traffic control (e.g., police officers at key intersections) and paper-based traffic data records, leading to incomplete data for the full model. Technical capacity is also limited: 60% of SMSC transport departments have fewer than 2 staff with data analysis skills, compared to 8–10 in Bucharest.

7.1.4 Strong Rural-Urban Linkages

SMSC traffic is heavily influenced by rural commuters: for example, 40% of Timișoara's morning traffic comes from surrounding villages (e.g., Giarmata, Sânmihaiu Român), creating concentrated congestion on rural-urban corridors (e.g., DN6 in Timișoara). These corridors often lack sidewalks and bike lanes, increasing conflicts between pedestrians, cyclists, and vehicles—a factor not fully addressed in the original model.

7.2 Design of a Lightweight Optimization Model for SMSCs

To address these characteristics, a "lightweight" version of the hybrid model was developed, with three key modifications to reduce data and technical requirements:

7.2.1 Simplified Data Inputs

The lightweight model replaces the full model's 12 data inputs with 5 core, easily accessible inputs:

Daily traffic counts: Collected via low-cost, solar-powered sensors (costing ~450 RON each) deployed at 3–5 key intersections per city (e.g., Piata Unirii in Timișoara, Piata Sfatului in Brașov). These sensors require minimal maintenance and transmit data via 4G to a cloud-based platform (accessible via a web browser, no specialized software needed).

Historical event calendars: Provided by local governments (e.g., Brașov's Oktoberfest, Constanța's Sea Festival) to predict traffic surges 1–2 weeks in advance.

Road network maps: Open-source maps from OpenStreetMap, pre-processed to highlight critical corridors (e.g., rural-urban links) and narrow streets (prone to informal vehicle congestion).

Public transport schedules: Shared by local operators (e.g., Timișoara's RATT) to prioritize bus/tram routes in signal timing.

Weather forecasts: Integrated from ANM's free API to adjust for rain, fog, or snow—key for SMSCs with limited snow-clearing capacity.

This simplification reduces data collection costs by 70% compared to the full model, making it feasible for SMSCs with limited budgets.

7.2.2 Streamlined Optimization Methods

The lightweight model retains the full model's three core methods but streamlines their complexity:

Graph-based routing: Uses a simplified graph with only 20–30 nodes (intersections) per city (vs. 100+ in Bucharest), focusing on critical corridors. Edge weights are updated daily (vs. hourly in the full model) to reduce computational load.

Linear programming: Optimizes signal timings for only 3–5 key intersections (vs. 25+ in Bucharest), with fixed cycle lengths (90 seconds) to simplify calculations. A "special event mode" is added to extend green times for event-related traffic (e.g., festival attendees in Brașov).

Genetic algorithms: Generates 10 candidate routes (vs. 50 in the full model) for commuters, with fitness based on travel time and road width (to avoid narrow streets for large vehicles). Routes are updated weekly (vs. every 5 minutes) via a simple mobile app (available for Android/iOS, no technical training required).

These adjustments reduce computational requirements, allowing the model to run on standard laptops (vs. dedicated servers for the full model)—critical for SMSCs without technical infrastructure.

7.2.3 User-Friendly Interface

A web-based interface was developed for the lightweight model, designed for users with limited technical skills. The interface has three tabs:

Data Upload: Allows users to input traffic counts and event calendars via Excel spreadsheets (no coding required).

Optimization Results: Displays signal timing recommendations (e.g., "Green light for north-south direction: 40 seconds") and route maps (visualized with color-coded corridors).

Report Generator: Automatically generates monthly PDF reports with key metrics (e.g., "Congestion reduced by 15% on DN6 corridor") for local government meetings.

User testing with Timișoara's transport department showed that staff could master the interface in 2 hours of training—far less than the 8 hours needed for the full model.

7.3 Pilot Validation in Timișoara and Brașov

A 4-month pilot (July–October 2023) was conducted in Timișoara (medium-sized, population 319,000) and Brașov (small-sized, population 267,000) to test the lightweight model. The pilot focused on two key objectives: evaluating performance and assessing usability.

7.3.1 Performance Results

In Timișoara, the model was deployed on the DN6 corridor (connecting the city center to rural areas) and at Piata Unirii (a busy downtown intersection):

Congestion duration: Reduced by 21% on the DN6 corridor (from 75 minutes/day to 60 minutes/day) during the summer tourism season.

Average travel time: Cut by 16% on the DN6 corridor (from 32 minutes to 27 minutes), with particularly strong results during weekend tourism surges (22% reduction).

Signal timing efficiency: Piata Unirii's intersection delay decreased by 24% (from 65 seconds to 49 seconds), as the model's "special event mode" adapted to concerts at the nearby Banatul Philharmonic.

In Brașov, the model was tested on the Poarta Șchei corridor (historic center) and during Oktoberfest (September 2023):

Congestion duration: Reduced by 18% on Poarta Șchei (from 68 minutes/day to 56 minutes/day), with a 25% reduction during Oktoberfest (when traffic volume peaked at 8,500 vehicles/hour).

Informal vehicle conflicts: Decreased by 30% on Poarta Șchei, as the model's route recommendations avoided narrow streets for large delivery vans.

Public transport delay: Bus delays on the corridor decreased by 19% (from 42 seconds to 34 seconds), improving on-time performance from 68% to 82%.

These results, while slightly lower than the full model's performance in major cities, are significant for SMSCs—especially given the 70% lower implementation cost.

7.3.2 Usability Assessment

A survey of 15 staff from Timișoara and Brașov's transport departments (conducted post-pilot) evaluated the model's usability:

Ease of use: 87% of respondents rated the web interface as "easy" or "very easy" to use, with no reported issues with data upload or result interpretation.

Technical capacity fit: 93% stated the model did not require additional technical staff, and 80% reported using the monthly reports in government meetings.

Cost satisfaction: 100% of respondents considered the model's cost (≈15,000 RON per city) "reasonable" or "very reasonable," compared to the full model's ≈50,000 RON.

Key feedback included requests for a "weather alert" feature (to automatically adjust routes during heavy rain) and integration with local parking apps—both of which will be added in the next model update.

7.4 Scaling Strategy for Romanian SMSCs

Based on the pilot results, a three-phase scaling strategy is proposed to deploy the lightweight model to all 18 Romanian SMSCs by 2026:

7.4.1 Phase 1: Priority Cities (2024)

Focus on 5 SMSCs with the highest traffic challenges and existing basic infrastructure: Timișoara, Brașov, Constanța, Craiova, and Galați. The strategy includes:

Sensor deployment: Provide 5 low-cost sensors per city (funded by EU cohesion funds via Romania's

Ministry of European Funds).

Training: 2-day workshops for transport department staff, covering data collection, model use, and report generation.

Technical support: Monthly check-ins with the research team (via Zoom) to address issues and collect feedback.

7.4.2 Phase 2: Expansion Cities (2025)

Deploy to 7 additional SMSCs: Ploiești, Brăila, Oradea, Arad, Sibiu, Bacău, and Târgu Mureș. Key actions include:

Infrastructure sharing: Encourage adjacent cities (e.g., Arad and Timișoara) to share sensor data and model results, reducing costs by 30%.

Local partnerships: Collaborate with local universities (e.g., University of Sibiu) to train student interns as "model ambassadors," providing ongoing support to transport departments.

7.4.3 Phase 3: National Coverage (2026)

Complete deployment to the remaining 6 SMSCs: Drobeta-Turnu Severin, Focșani, Pitești, Râmnicu Vâlcea, Suceava, and Vaslui. This phase will include:

National data platform: Launch a centralized platform to aggregate data from all SMSCs, allowing for cross-city comparisons and national traffic trend analysis.

Policy integration: Work with Romania's Ministry of Transport to include the lightweight model in the 2026–2030 National Urban Mobility Strategy, making it a standard tool for SMSCs.

This strategy, when implemented, will ensure that 80% of Romania's urban population benefits from data-driven traffic optimization by 2026, aligning with the EU's goal of sustainable urban mobility for all regions.

7.5 Conclusion of Extension Research

The lightweight optimization model addresses the unique challenges of Romanian SMSCs by simplifying data inputs, streamlining methods, and designing a user-friendly interface. Pilot results in Timișoara and Brașov demonstrate that the model reduces congestion by 18–21% and travel time by 16–22% at 70% lower cost than the full model. The three-phase scaling strategy will enable nationwide deployment by 2026, ensuring that SMSCs—long overlooked in traffic management research—can access the same data-driven tools as major cities. This extension not only enhances the model's practical value but also contributes to a more equitable approach to urban mobility in Romania.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Romanian Ministry of Transport for providing access to traffic sensor data, the National Institute for Research and Development in Informatics (ICI) for technical support, and the residents of Bucharest, Cluj-Napoca, and Iași who participated in the commuter survey.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare no conflicts of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

References

[1] European Commission. (2022). *Urban Mobility in Eastern Europe: Challenges and Opportunities*. Brussels: European Commission Directorate-General for Mobility and Transport.

[2] Romanian Ministry of Transport. (2023). *2023 Romanian Urban Mobility Report*. Bucharest: Romanian Ministry of Transport Press Office.

[3] Smith, J., Brown, A., & Davis, L. (2021). Linear Programming for Traffic Signal Optimization in London. *Journal of Transportation Engineering*, 147(9), 04021053.

[4] Kim, S., & Park, J. (2022). Genetic Algorithms for Dynamic Route Planning in Seoul: Reducing Travel Time Variance. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 139, 103582.

[5] Popescu, A., Marinescu, E., & Ionescu, M. (2021). Linear Programming for Traffic Signal Timing in Bucharest: A Preliminary Study. *Romanian*

Journal of Transport Engineering, 10(2), 45–62.

[6] Marinescu, E., & Ionescu, M. (2022). Graph Theory for Traffic Network Mapping in Cluj-Napoca. *Acta Technica Napocensis: Civil Engineering & Architecture*, 65(1), 89–105.

[7] Romanian National Road Authority (CNAIR). (2022). *Romanian Road Infrastructure Condition Report 2022*. Bucharest: CNAIR Publishing.

[8] STB Bucharest. (2023). *2023 Public Transport Ridership and Delay Report*. Bucharest: STB Bucharest Data Office.

[9] Bolt Romania. (2022). *Bucharest Commuter Traffic Patterns 2022*. Bucharest: Bolt Romania Research Team.

[10] ANM (National Meteorological Administration). (2023). *2023 Romanian Extreme Weather Events Report*. Bucharest: ANM Press.

[11] Popescu, A., et al. (2023). Low-Cost Traffic Sensors for Medium-Sized Romanian Cities: Design and Testing. *Sensors*, 23(12), 5567.

[12] Marinescu, E., et al. (2023). Integrating Computer Vision for Informal Traffic Detection in Bucharest. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 24(8), 8210–8220.

[13] Ionescu, M., et al. (2022). Unified API for Romanian Traffic Control Systems: A Feasibility Study. *Journal of Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 26(5), 489–502.

[14] European Union. (2021). *EU Green Deal: Sustainable and Smart Mobility Strategy*. Brussels: European Union Publications Office.

[15] Romanian Ministry of Environment. (2023). *Romanian Greenhouse Gas Emissions Report 2023*. Bucharest: Ministry of Environment Press.

[16] Andrei, G., & Popescu, A. (2022). Traffic Congestion Costs in Romanian Cities: An Economic Analysis. *Romanian Journal of Economics*, 19(3), 78–95.

[17] Vlad, C., et al. (2023). Public Transport Integration with Traffic Optimization Models: A Case Study of Cluj-Napoca. *Transport Policy*, 132, 112–123.

[18] Gheorghe, D., et al. (2022). Seasonal Traffic Patterns in Romanian Cities: Implications for Optimization Models. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 161, 234–248.

[19] Dumitrescu, R., et al. (2023). Crowdsourced Data for Traffic Management in Romania: Opportunities and Challenges. *Data in Brief*, 48, 108854.

[20] Stan, M., et al. (2021). Mathematical Optimization for Urban Mobility: A Review of Eastern European Case Studies. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 92, 102803.

[21] Popescu, A., et al. (2024). Predicting Extreme Weather Impact on Romanian Traffic: A Machine Learning Approach (in press). *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*.

[22] Marinescu, E., et al. (2024). Expanding the Hybrid Optimization Model to Timișoara: A Pilot Plan (in press). *Transportation Research Record*.

[23] Ionescu, M., et al. (2023). Cost-Benefit Analysis of Traffic Sensor Deployment in Romanian Medium-Sized Cities. *Infrastructure Intelligence*, 6(2), 100–115.

[24] Romanian Ministry of Development. (2023). *2023–2027 Romanian Urban Development Strategy*. Bucharest: Ministry of Development Press.

[25] European Investment Bank. (2022). *Funding for Sustainable Urban Mobility in Eastern Europe*. Luxembourg: European Investment Bank.

[26] Smith, L., et al. (2023). Comparing Traffic Optimization Models Across Europe: Lessons for Romania. *European Journal of Transport and Infrastructure Research*, 23(1), 56–73.

[27] Park, H., & Kim, J. (2022). Weather-Responsive Traffic Management: Best Practices from Seoul. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 109, 103285.

[28] Popescu, A., & Vlad, C. (2023). Public Perception of Traffic Optimization Models in Bucharest: A Survey. *Journal of Urban Planning and Development*, 149(3), 05023004.

[29] Marinescu, E., et al. (2022). Tram Priority in Iași: Integrating Public Transport into Traffic

Optimization. *Journal of Public Transportation*, 25(2), 34–56.

[30] Ionescu, M., et al. (2023). Software Integration Challenges for Traffic Models in Romania: A Case Study of Iași. *Journal of Software Engineering for Transportation*, 6(1), 23–38.

[31] Romanian National Institute of Statistics (INS). (2023). *Romanian Urban Population and Commuting Trends 2023*. Bucharest: INS Press.

[32] Andrei, G., et al. (2023). Economic Impact of Traffic Congestion in Bucharest: 2023 Update. *Romanian Journal of Economic Forecasting*, 26(2), 112–128.

[33] Dumitrescu, R., et al. (2022). Bicycle Lane Optimization Using Graph Theory: Cluj-Napoca Case Study. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 85, 104078.

[34] Stan, M., et al. (2023). Machine Learning for Weather-Responsive Traffic Prediction in Romania. *IEEE Access*, 11, 45678–45692.

[35] Gheorghe, D., et al. (2023). Rural-Urban Fringe Traffic Patterns: A Case Study of Brașov. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 102, 234–247.

[36] Vlad, C., et al. (2022). Cost-Effective Sensor Deployment for Medium-Sized Cities: Lessons from Constanța. *Infrastructure Systems*, 28(3), 04022015.

[37] Andrei, G., & Dumitrescu, R. (2023). Public Transport Ridership Impact of Traffic Optimization: Bucharest Metro Line 6 Case Study. *Journal of Public Transportation*, 26(1), 1–18.

[38] Popescu, A., et al. (2022). Cross-City Comparison of Traffic Optimization Models in Romania. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 165, 102890

[39] Marinescu, E., et al. (2023). Informal Traffic Detection Using Computer Vision: A Pilot in Cluj-Napoca. *Image and Vision Computing*, 132, 104567.

[40] Ionescu, M., et al. (2024). Unified API Deployment in Romanian Cities: Challenges and Best Practices (in press). *Software: Practice and Experience*.

[41] Romanian Ministry of European Funds. (2023). *EU Funding for Sustainable Urban Mobility in Romania 2021–2027*. Bucharest: Ministry of European Funds Press.

[42] Smith, J., et al. (2023). Eastern European Traffic Optimization: A Comparative Study of Romania and Poland. *Transportation Planning and Technology*, 46(5), 489–506.