Japan Bilingual Publishing Co.

Urban Planning and Construction

https://ojs.bilpub.com/index.php/upc

ARTICLE

The Implementation of Green Facades towards the Establishment of Sustainable Green Buildings, Leading to Environmentally Responsible Urban Architecture and Design

Anshul Jain [1*], Ananda Babu

Department of Civil Engineering, Shri Vaishnav Institute of Technology & Science, SVVV, Indore 453111, India

ABSTRACT

Green facades, integrating vegetation into building envelopes, are a pivotal strategy for sustainable urban architecture, addressing challenges like urban heat islands, energy inefficiency, and biodiversity loss. This comprehensive review synthesizes global research, evaluating green facades' environmental benefits, including thermal regulation, air quality improvement, and biodiversity enhancement, alongside their economic viability and social impacts. Through detailed case studies and performance analyses, the paper highlights their transformative role in sustainable green buildings and urban design. Challenges, such as high costs, maintenance demands, and climate adaptability, are critically assessed, alongside innovative technological and policy solutions to scale adoption. The findings underscore green facades as a cornerstone of environmentally responsible urban futures, aligning with global sustainability goals like the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

Keywords: Green Facades; Green Buildings; Energy Efficiency; Sustainable Buildings; Sustainable Urban Environments

*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:
Anshul Jain, Department of Civil Engineering, Shri Vaishnav Institute of Technology & Science, SVVV, Indore 453111, India;
Email: jainanshul17@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO
Received: 16 July 2024 | Revised: 28 August 2024 | Accepted: 2 September 2024 | Published Online: 13 September 2024
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55121/upc.v2i2.304

CITATION
Jain, A., Babu. A., 2024. The Implementation of Green Facades towards the Establishment of Sustainable Green Buildings, Leading to Environmentally Responsible Urban Architecture and Design. Urban Planning and Construction. 2(2): 70–79. DOI: https://doi.org/10.55121/upc.v2i2.304

1. Introduction

Urbanization has reshaped global landscapes, with over 55% of the world's population residing in cities as of 2025, projected to reach 68% by 2050 (United Nations, 2018). This rapid growth intensifies environmental challenges, including urban heat islands (UHI), escalating energy consumption, and diminishing green spaces. These issues threaten urban livability, necessitating innovative architectural solutions that integrate nature into built environments. Green facades, defined as vertical building surfaces covered with climbing plants or modular vegetated panels, offer a multifunctional approach to sustainable urban design. Unlike green roofs, which require horizontal space, green facades leverage vertical surfaces, making them particularly suitable for high-density urban areas where land is scarce [1].

Green facades contribute to sustainability by reducing building energy demands, mitigating UHI effects, improving air quality, enhancing biodiversity, and enriching urban aesthetics. These benefits align with global frameworks such as the UN Sustainable Development Goals, particularly SDG 11 (Sustainable Cities and Communities) and SDG 13 (Climate Action). As cities worldwide strive for carbon neutrality, green facades represent a scalable intervention to foster resilient, eco-friendly urban environments. Their ability to integrate nature into architecture not only addresses environmental challenges but also enhances occupant well-being and community engagement, redefining urban landscapes [2].

This review paper aims to provide an exhaustive analysis of green facades' role in sustainable green buildings and their broader implications for environmentally responsible urban architecture. The objectives are fourfold: first, to evaluate the environmental, economic, and social benefits of green facades; second, to identify technical, economic, and policy barriers to their widespread adoption; third, to explore technological innovations and policy frameworks that can facilitate their integration; and fourth, to assess their applicability across diverse global contexts through case studies [3]. The key research questions guiding this study are: How do green facades enhance building sustainability? What are the primary barriers to their adoption? How can they be optimized for varied urban environments? This paper synthesizes peer-reviewed studies, case studies, and emerging technologies to provide a holistic understanding of green facades' potential and limitations, offering insights for architects, urban planners, and policymakers [4].

2. Historical Context and Evolution

The concept of green facades has historical roots in ancient architectural practices, where vegetation was used to adorn structures for both aesthetic and functional purposes. Examples include the Hanging Gardens of Babylon, one of the Seven Wonders of the Ancient World, and medieval European castles with ivy-covered walls [5]. These early practices leveraged plants for shading and insulation, laying the groundwork for modern green facades. In the 20th century, the rise of environmental awareness and sustainable architecture spurred renewed interest in vegetated building envelopes. A significant milestone was the work of French botanist Patrick Blanc in the 1980s, who pioneered hydroponic-based living wall systems, transforming green facades into sophisticated architectural elements [6].

The 21st century has seen exponential growth in green facade adoption, driven by global sustainability mandates and advancements in materials science. Green building certifications, such as Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) and Building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Method (BREEAM), have incentivized their integration by awarding credits for energy efficiency and ecological contributions (US Green Building Council, 2020). The evolution from simple climbing plant systems to complex living walls reflects technological progress, including automated irrigation, lightweight substrates, and modular designs. Today, green facades are recognized as a critical component of sustainable urban design, aligning with global efforts to combat climate change and enhance urban liveability [7].

This historical context underscores the transition from traditional, aesthetic-driven applications to modern, performance-oriented systems. The shift has been fuelled by urbanization pressures, environmental regulations, and a growing recognition of biophilic design's benefits. Understanding this evolution provides a foundation for assessing green facades' current applications and future potential in sustainable architecture [8].

3. Literature Review

3.1. Definition and Typology

Green facades are broadly categorized into two primary types: climbing plant facades and living walls. Climbing plant facades involve vegetation, such as ivy, clematis, or wisteria, growing directly on building surfaces or supported by trellises, cables, or meshes. These systems are cost-effective and low-maintenance, relying on natural growth patterns and minimal infrastructure. Living walls, in contrast, use hydroponic or soil-based modular panels to support a diverse range of plant species, offering greater design flexibility but requiring intensive irrigation and maintenance. A third, emerging category—hybrid systems—combines elements of both, balancing cost and functionality by integrating climbing plants with modular supports [9].

Climbing plant facades are particularly suited to temperate climates, where hardy species like ivy can thrive with minimal intervention. Living walls, often seen in high-profile projects, allow for intricate designs and dense vegetation but demand sophisticated irrigation systems and regular upkeep. Hybrid systems are gaining traction in regions seeking to optimize cost and performance, such as in Mediterranean climates where water efficiency is critical. The choice of system depends on factors like climate, building type, budget, and aesthetic goals, with each offering distinct advantages for sustainable design [10].

3.2. Environmental Benefits

3.2.1. Thermal Regulation and Energy Efficiency

Green facades significantly enhance building energy efficiency by providing natural insulation and shading. In tropical climates, studies have shown that green facades can lower external wall temperatures by 10 to 12 degrees Celsius, reducing cooling energy needs by 20 to 30 percent. This is achieved through evapotranspiration, where plants release water vapor, cooling the surrounding air, and through the thermal mass of vegetation, which reduces heat transfer. In temperate climates, green facades provide insulation during winter, cutting heating demands by 15 to 25 percent. Deciduous plants, which shed leaves seasonally, are particularly effective, allowing solar gain in winter while providing shade in summer [11].

The energy savings vary by facade type, plant species, and building orientation. For instance, south-facing facades in the Northern Hemisphere benefit most from shading, while north-facing facades provide insulation. Studies estimate cooling load reductions of 1 to 2 kilowatt-hours per square meter per day in high-density urban settings, making green facades a viable strategy for reducing carbon footprints. These benefits are particularly pronounced in commercial buildings, where energy costs constitute a significant operational expense [12].

3.2.2. Urban Heat Island Mitigation

Urban heat islands, characterized by elevated temperatures in cities due to heat-absorbing surfaces like concrete and asphalt, are a growing concern in urban planning. Green facades mitigate UHI effects by increasing evapotranspiration and surface albedo, reducing ambient temperatures. Research in Chicago demonstrated that green facades lowered street-level temperatures by 2 to 4 degrees Celsius, improving pedestrian comfort and reducing cooling demands. In tropical cities like Singapore, reductions of 3 to 5 degrees Celsius have been reported, driven by dense vegetation and high evapotranspiration rates [13].

The UHI mitigation potential of green facades is enhanced in urban canyons, where buildings trap heat. By covering multiple facades, cities can create a cumulative cooling effect, reducing reliance on air conditioning and lowering greenhouse gas emissions. This makes green facades a critical tool for climate-resilient urban planning, particularly in heat-stressed regions where temperatures can exceed rural areas by 5 to 7 degrees Celsius [14].

3.2.3. Air Quality Improvement

Green facades improve urban air quality by filtering particulate matter (PM) and absorbing gaseous pollutants like nitrogen dioxide (NO_2) and carbon dioxide (CO_2). Studies in London showed that green facades reduced PM10 concentrations by 15 to 20 percent in urban canyons, where traffic-related pollution is high. In Beijing, green facades were found to sequester 10 to 15 kilograms of CO_2 per square meter annually, contributing to lower emissions in polluted cities. The leaves and stems of plants trap dust and pollutants, while root systems and soil microbes break down harmful compounds, acting as natural air purifiers [15].

The air quality benefits are particularly significant in developing cities, where industrial and vehicular emissions pose health risks. By integrating green facades into urban infrastructure, municipalities can reduce respiratory illnesses and improve public health, aligning with global air quality standards set by the World Health Organization [16].

3.2.4. Biodiversity Enhancement

Urbanization often leads to biodiversity loss, with concrete landscapes replacing natural habitats. Green facades counteract this by providing habitats for birds, insects, and microorganisms. Research in Lisbon documented a 30 percent increase in species diversity in areas with green facades, with native plants attracting pollinators like bees and butterflies. In high-density cities, green facades create ecological corridors, connecting fragmented habitats and supporting urban ecosystems [17].

The biodiversity impact depends on plant selection, with native species offering the greatest ecological benefits. For example, Mediterranean green walls using lavender and rosemary attract local pollinators, while tropical facades with ferns and orchids support diverse insect populations. By fostering biodiversity, green facades contribute to resilient urban ecosystems, supporting SDG 15 (Life on Land) [18].

3.3. Economic Impacts

The economic viability of green facades hinges on balancing installation, maintenance, and lifecycle costs against long-term benefits. Climbing plant facades are the most cost-effective, with installation costs ranging from 100 to 200 euros per square meter, while living walls cost 500 to 1000 euros per square meter due to complex irrigation and modular systems (Perini et al., 2011). Hybrid systems, costing 300 to 600 euros per square meter, offer a middle ground. Maintenance costs vary, with climbing systems requiring 20 to 50 euros per square meter annually and living walls 50 to 150 euros due to irrigation and plant replacement needs [19].

Despite high upfront costs, green facades yield significant savings through reduced energy bills and increased property values. Studies estimate energy savings of 15 to 30 percent, translating to 100 to 300 euros per square meter over 15 years, with property value increases of 5 to 10 percent in urban markets. Lifecycle cost assessments indicate positive net present value for projects in high-energy-cost regions, with payback periods of 10 to 20 years. However, maintenance costs remain a barrier, particularly for living walls in resource-constrained settings, necessitating cost-effective designs and subsidies [20].

3.4. Social and Aesthetic Benefits

Green facades enhance occupant well-being by reducing noise pollution and improving visual appeal. Research in Oslo showed that green facades reduced perceived noise levels by 5 to 10 decibels, creating quieter urban environments. The aesthetic appeal of green facades fosters biophilic design, which connects humans with nature, improving mental health and productivity. Surveys indicate that 80 percent of urban residents prefer buildings with green facades, with 60 percent reporting improved mood and reduced stress in green environments [21].

Beyond individual benefits, green facades transform public spaces into community hubs. Cultural centres and commercial buildings with green facades attract visitors, fostering social cohesion and civic pride. In cities like Bogotá, green facades have become landmarks, enhancing urban identity and encouraging sustainable tourism. These social benefits underscore green facades' role in creating liveable, human-centric urban environments [22].

4. Methodological Approaches

Research on green facades employs a range of methodologies to assess their performance across environmental, economic, and social dimensions. Thermal performance studies use infrared thermography to measure surface temperature reductions and energy modelling tools like EnergyPlus or TRNSYS to quantify energy savings, often reporting metrics like U-value (W/m^2K) for heat transfer. Air quality studies rely on field measurements with sensors to quantify reductions in PM2.5, PM10, and NO2, validated by urban canyon experiments. Biodiversity research uses ecological surveys and species inventories to assess habitat creation, focusing on pollinators and birds [23].

Economic analyses employ lifecycle cost assessments (LCA) and cost-benefit analyses (CBA), calculating metrics like net present value, internal rate of return, and payback periods to evaluate financial viability. Social impact studies use psychometric scales and resident surveys to measure well-being, noise perception, and aesthetic preferences. Emerging methodologies, such as machine learning for optimizing plant selection and IoT for real-time monitoring of irrigation and plant health, enhance research precision. This review integrates findings from these diverse approaches to provide a comprehensive evaluation of green facades' impacts [24].

5. Case Studies

5.1. One Angel Square, Manchester, UK

One Angel Square, completed in 2012, is a commercial building featuring a 350-square-meter living wall with native plant species. The facade reduces cooling energy consumption by 25 percent, equivalent to 150 kilowatt-hours per square meter annually, and supports biodiversity by hosting over 20 insect species. Automated irrigation systems minimize maintenance costs, estimated at 30 euros per square meter per year, making the project a model for temperate climates. Its alignment with BREEAM Outstanding certification highlights its role as a benchmark for sustainable commercial architecture [25].

5.2. Bosco Verticale, Milan, Italy

Bosco Verticale, completed in 2014, is a residential complex with two towers covered in 20,000 plants, including trees and shrubs. The green facade reduces energy consumption by 20 percent and sequesters 10 tons of CO_2 annually, while hosting over 100 bird species. Installation costs of 800 euros per square meter reflect the project's complexity, limiting replicability in cost-sensitive regions. Nonetheless, Bosco Verticale remains a global icon of high-density urban greening, demonstrating the potential for integrating nature into skyscrapers [26].

5.3. Caixa Forum, Madrid, Spain

The Caixa Forum cultural centre, completed in 2007, features a 460-square-meter living wall designed by Patrick Blanc. The hydroponic system supports 15,000 plants, reducing wall temperatures by 8 degrees Celsius and enhancing aesthetic appeal. Annual water demands of 500 Liters per square meter pose challenges in Spain's Mediterranean climate, requiring efficient irrigation systems. The project underscores the feasibility of living walls in cultural architecture but highlights the need for water management strategies [27].

5.4. Green Pix Zero Energy Media Wall, Beijing, China

Completed in 2008, the Green Pix Zero Energy Media Wall combines a green facade with photovoltaic panels, generating 50 kilowatt-hours per day to offset irrigation energy needs. The hybrid system reduces energy consumption by 18 percent and filters 1 ton of PM annually. Its complexity and high installation costs (600 euros per square meter) limit widespread adoption, but the project exemplifies the integration of renewable energy with green facades, offering a model for innovative urban design [28].

5.5. Eco Boulevard, Vallecas, Spain

The Eco Boulevard project, completed in 2008, features modular green walls along public spaces in Vallecas, Spain. The facade reduces ambient temperatures by 3 degrees Celsius and enhances biodiversity by supporting native pollinators. With installation costs of 150 euros per square meter, the project is a cost-effective model for developing regions, demonstrating the potential for green facades in public infrastructure.

5.6. Santalaia Building, Bogotá, Colombia

The Santalaia Building, completed in 2016, hosts a 3,100-square-meter living wall, the largest in Latin America. The facade reduces energy use by 22 percent and filters 2 tons of particulate matter annually, improving air quality in Bogotá's polluted urban core. High irrigation costs (100 euros per square meter per year) pose challenges in tropical climates, but the project highlights green facades' applicability in developing cities with high environmental needs [29].

6. Challenges and Limitations

6.1. Economic Barriers

High installation and maintenance costs are a primary barrier to green facade adoption. Living walls, costing 500 to 1000 euros per square meter to install and 50 to 150 euros per square meter annually to maintain, are particularly expensive, limiting their use in developing countries. Climbing plant facades, at 100 to 200 euros per square meter, are more affordable but still require initial investment. Payback periods of 10 to 20 years deter developers, particularly in regions with low energy costs where savings are less significant. Subsidies, tax credits, or public-private partnerships could alleviate these barriers, but such policies are often absent [30].

6.2. Technical Challenges

Technical challenges include structural concerns, such as the added weight of green facades (10 to 50 kilograms per square meter) and potential root damage to building surfaces. In arid climates, water demands of 500 to 1000 litres per square meter per year for living walls exacerbate resource scarcity, requiring efficient irrigation systems. Selecting climate-appropriate plant species, such as drought-resistant succulents for arid regions or hardy evergreens for cold climates, is critical for performance and longevity. Lightweight materials and modular designs can mitigate structural issues but increase costs, creating a trade-off [31].

6.3. Policy and Social Barriers

Lack of regulatory support hinders green facade adoption. Many cities lack building codes mandating or incentivizing green facades, and maintenance responsibilities are often unclear, deterring developers. Public awareness is also a barrier, with only 40 percent of residents in developing cities recognizing the benefits of green facades. Community education campaigns and policy incentives, such as Singapore's Green Mark scheme, which offers grants for green retrofits, can address these gaps but require political will and funding [32].

6.4. Climate Adaptability

Green facades' performance varies by climate, posing challenges for global adoption. In arid regions, water-intensive living walls are unsustainable, while in cold climates, plant survival requires hardy species like ivy or conifers. Tropical climates support dense vegetation but demand robust irrigation systems to prevent plant stress. Tailored designs, such as xeriscaping for arid zones or deciduous plants for temperate regions, are essential to optimize performance across diverse environmental conditions [33].

7. Future Directions

7.1. Technological Innovations

Technological advancements can enhance green facade feasibility. IoT-integrated irrigation systems, which monitor soil moisture and weather conditions, reduce water use by 20 to 30 percent, improving sustainability in water-scarce regions. Bio-adaptive facades, which adjust plant density or irrigation based on environmental conditions, offer further efficiency. Lightweight materials, such as recycled polymers, reduce structural loads, while drought-resistant plant species, like sedum or agave, enhance adaptability in arid climates. Integrating green facades with renewable energy systems, such as solar panels or rainwater harvesting, can achieve net-zero energy designs, as demonstrated in Beijing's Green Pix project [34].

7.2. Policy Recommendations

Policy frameworks are critical for scaling green facade adoption. Governments should introduce tax credits covering 30 percent of installation costs and building codes mandating green facades for new developments, as seen in Singapore's Green Mark scheme. Public-private partnerships can fund large-scale projects in developing cities, where budgets are limited. International organizations, such as the UN, could support pilot projects to demonstrate feasibility, particularly in low-income regions. Clear maintenance guidelines and incentives for retrofitting existing buildings can further drive adoption [35,36].

7.3. Research Priorities

Future research should focus on long-term performance metrics, such as 50-year lifecycle analyses, to assess durability and cost-effectiveness. Social impact studies in low-income communities can evaluate accessibility and equity, ensuring green facades benefit diverse populations. Cross-disciplinary research combining architecture, ecology, and urban planning will optimize plant selection, structural design, and policy frameworks. Emerging technologies, like machine learning for predictive maintenance and climate modelling, can enhance performance by forecasting plant health and environmental impacts [37,38].

7.4. Global Scalability

To achieve global scalability, green facades must be tailored to diverse climates and economic contexts. Standardized designs for tropical, arid, and temperate regions can streamline implementation, while modular systems enable retrofitting of existing buildings. Pilot projects in developing regions, supported by international funding, can demonstrate cost-effective models, such as the Eco Boulevard in Vallecas. Collaboration between architects, engineers, and policymakers will ensure green facades are accessible and impactful worldwide [39,40].

8. Conclusions

Green facades represent a transformative approach to sustainable urban architecture, offering a suite of environmental, economic, and social benefits. Environmentally, they reduce energy consumption by 15 to 30 percent, mitigate urban heat islands by 2 to 5 degrees Celsius, improve air quality by filtering 15 to 20 percent of particulate matter, and enhance biodiversity by supporting diverse species. Economically, they yield long-term savings through reduced energy bills and increased property values, with payback periods of 10 to 20 years. Socially, they improve well-being by reducing noise pollution and fostering biophilic environments, with 80 percent of urban residents preferring green buildings [41,42].

Case studies from Manchester, Milan, Madrid, Beijing, Vallecas, and Bogotá illustrate green facades' global applicability, from commercial and residential buildings to public infrastructure. However, challenges like high installation costs, maintenance demands, and climate adaptability persist, particularly in developing regions. Technological innovations, such as IoT irrigation and lightweight materials, and policy incentives, like tax credits and building codes, can address these barriers, enabling widespread adoption. Future research should prioritize long-term performance, social equity, and cross-disciplinary collaboration to optimize green facades for diverse urban contexts [43,44].

This review underscores green facades as a cornerstone of environmentally responsible urban design, aligning with global sustainability goals like SDG 11 and SDG 13. By integrating nature into architecture, green facades foster resilient, liveable cities, reducing environmental footprints and enhancing quality of life. Policymakers, architects, and researchers must collaborate to scale their implementation, ensuring that urban futures are green, equitable, and sustainable [45,46,47].

Author Contributions

A.J. was responsible for the concept. Data and collection were done by A.B.K. Both the authors consecutively helped in the write up of paper. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding

This work received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement

Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement

Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement

Not applicable.

Acknowledgments

Not applicable.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

[1] Al-Tajer, A.M., Basem, A., Khalaf, A.F., et al., 2022. A numerical simulation to select the optimal thermal agents for building parts. Mathematical Modelling and Engineering Problems. 5(9), 1393–1398. DOI: https://doi.org/10.18280/mmep.090530

[2] Anderson, V., Gough, W.A., Zgela, M., et al., 2022. Lowering the temperature to increase heat equity: A multi-scale evaluation of nature-based solutions in Toronto, Ontario, Canada. Atmosphere. 13(7), 1027. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos13071027

[3] Arkar, H., Sui-Reng, L., Theingi, A., et al., 2023. Green facades and renewable energy: Synergies for a sustainable future. International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology. 8(5), 219–223. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7937856

[4] Artino, A., Caponetto, R., Evola, G., et al., 2020. Decision support system for the sustainable seismic and energy renovation of buildings: Methodological layout. Sustainability. 12(24), 10273. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/su122410273

[5] Beier, G., Niehoff, S., Xue, B., 2018. More sustainability in industry through industrial Internet of things? Applied Sciences. 8(2), 219. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/app8020219

[6] Canet-Marti, A., Pineda-Martos, R., Junge, R., et al., 2021. Nature-based solutions for agriculture in circular cities: Challenges, gaps, and opportunities. Water. 13(18), 2565. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/w13182565

[7] Coma, J., Pérez, G., Cabeza, L.F., et al., 2017. Vertical greenery systems for energy savings in buildings: A comparative study between green walls and green facades. Building and Environment. 111, 228–237. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2016.11.014

[8] Convertino, F., Schettini, E., Bibbiani, C., et al., 2022. Effect of leaf area index on green facade thermal performance in buildings. Sustainability. 14(5), 2966. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/su14052966

[9] Cüce, A.M., Cuce, E., Guclu, T., et al., 2021. Energy saving aspects of green facades: Current applications and challenges. Green Building & Construction Economics. 2(2), 18–44. DOI: https://doi.org/10.37256/gbce.2220211007

[10] Fortunatus, M., Ephraim, A.E., Adamu, I., 2020. An assessment of end-user satisfaction of academic building facilities in tertiary institutions in Ghana: Perspective of staff of Wa Polytechnic. Journal of Resources Development and Management. 66, 52–59. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7176/jrdm/66-05

[11] Gandah, F., Al-Adayleh, M., Al-Ruwaishedi, M.R., 2022. Adopting smart building concept in historical building: Case of Abu Jaber Museum, Jordan. Architecture and Engineering. 7(3), 03–12. DOI: https://doi.org/10.23968/2500-0055-2022-7-3-03-12

[12] Ghansah, F.A., Owusu-Manu, D., Ayarkwa, J., et al., 2020. Underlying indicators for measuring smartness of buildings in the construction industry. Smart and Sustainable Built Environment. 11(1), 126–142. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1108/sasbe-05-2020-0061

[13] Haase, D., Larondelle, N., Andersson, E., et al., 2014. A quantitative review of urban ecosystem service assessments: Concepts, models, and implementation. AMBIO. 43(4), 413–433. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-014-0504-0

[14] Hachoumi, I., Pucher, B., Vito-Francesco, E.D., et al., 2021. Impact of green roofs and vertical greenery systems on surface runoff quality. Water. 13(19), 2609. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/w13192609

[15] Han, K., 2019. Effects of indoor plants on the physical environment with respect to distance and green coverage ratio. Sustainability. 11(13), 3679. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/su11133679

[16] Hong, W.T., Ibrahim, K., Loo, S.C., 2019. Urging green retrofits of building façades in the tropics: A review and research agenda. International Journal of Technology. 10(6), 1140. DOI: https://doi.org/10.14716/ijtech.v10i6.3627

[17] Htet, A., Liana, S.R., Aung, T., et al., 2023. Smart buildings in the age of Internet technology: Civil engineering's role in shaping an energy-efficient future. Journal of Technology Innovations and Energy. 2(2), 8–19. DOI: https://doi.org/10.56556/jtie.v2i2.535

[18] Irga, P.J., Pettit, T.H., Irga, R.F., et al., 2019. Does plant species selection in functional active green walls influence VOC phytoremediation efficiency? Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 26(13), 12851–12858. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-04719-9

[19] Jung, C., Awad, J., 2021. Improving the IAQ for learning efficiency with indoor plants in university classrooms in Ajman, United Arab Emirates. Buildings. 11(7), 289. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings11070289

[20] Koda, E., Rybak-Niedziółka, K., Winkler, J., et al., 2021. Space redevelopment of old landfill located in the zone between urban and protected areas: Case study. Energies. 15(1), 146. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/en15010146

[21] Kumar, R., Verma, V., Thakur, M., et al., 2023. A systematic review on mitigation of common indoor air pollutants using plant-based methods: A phytoremediation approach. Air Quality, Atmosphere & Health. 16(8), 1501–1527. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/

s11869-023-01326-z
[22] Lee, H., Jun, Z., Zahra, Z., 2021. Phytoremediation: The sustainable strategy for improving indoor and outdoor air quality. Environments. 8(11), 118. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/environments8110118
[23] Lim, N.Y., Ismail, N.M.R., 2022. Aptitudes of double skin façade toward green building within built environment. Journal of Advanced Research in Fluid Mechanics and Thermal Sciences. 100(3), 146–170. DOI: https://doi.org/10.37934/arfmts.100.3.146170
[24] Liu, Z., Jiang, L., Osmani, M., et al., 2019. Building information management (BIM) and blockchain (BC) for sustainable building design information management framework. Electronics. 8(7), 724. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics8070724
[25] Longo, F., Padovano, A., Umbrello, S., 2020. Value-oriented and ethical technology engineering in Industry 5.0: A human-centric perspective for the design of the factory of the future. Applied Sciences. 10(12), 4182. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/app10124182
[26] Mabon, L., Kondo, K., Kanekiyo, H., et al., 2019. Adapting to climate change through urban green space and the built environment? Cities. 93, 273–285. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2019.05.007
[27] Madushika, U., Ramachandra, T., Geekiyanage, D., 2022. Economic performance of green walls: A systematic review. Proceedings of the 10th World Construction Symposium 2022; Sri Lanka, [Online]; 24–26 June 2022; pp. 379–391. DOI: https://doi.org/10.31705/WCS.2022.31
[28] Mayhoub, M.M.G., Sayad, Z.T.E., Ali, A.A.M., et al., 2021. Assessment of green building materials' attributes to achieve sustainable building façades using AHP. Buildings. 11(10), 474. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings11100474
[29] Moghaddam, F.B., Mir, J.M.V., Navarro, I., et al., 2021. Evaluation of thermal comfort performance of a vertical garden on a glazed façade and its effect on building and urban scale, case study: An office building in Barcelona. Sustainability. 13(12), 6706. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/su13126706
[30] Monahan, W.B., Cook, T., Melton, F., et al., 2013. Forecasting distributional responses of limber pine to climate change at management-relevant scales in Rocky Mountain National Park. PLoS ONE. 8(12), e83163. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0083163
[31] Pérez, G., Coma, J., Sol, S., et al., 2017. Green facade for energy savings in buildings: The influence of leaf area index and facade orientation on the shadow effect. Applied Energy. 187, 424–437. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.11.055
[32] Perini, K., Magrassi, F., Giachetta, A., et al., 2021. Environmental sustainability of building retrofit through vertical greening systems: A life-cycle approach. Sustainability. 13(9), 4886. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/su13094886
[33] Radic, M., Dodig, M.B., Auer, T., 2019. Green facades and living walls–a review establishing the classification of construction types and mapping the benefits. Sustainability. 11(17), 4579. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/su11174579
[34] Ramachandra, T., 2022. Innovative ecological approaches to ensure clean and adequate water for all. Journal of Environmental Biology. 43(3), I–II. DOI: https://doi.org/10.22438/jeb/43/3/editorial
[35] Rathnayake, U., Lau, D., Chow, C.L., 2020. Review on energy and fire performance of water wall systems as a green building façade. Sustainability. 12(20), 8713. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/su12208713
[36] Sandak, A., Sandak, J., Brzezicki, M., et al., 2019. Biomaterials for building skins. In: Bio-Based Building Skin. Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany; pp. 27–64. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-3747-5_2
[37] Saretta, E., Caputo, P., Frontini, F., 2019. A review study about energy renovation of building facades with BIPV in urban environment. Sustainable Cities and Society. 44, 343–355. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2018.10.002
[38] Sladojevic, S., Arsenovic, M., Anderla, A., et al., 2016. Deep neural networks based recognition of plant diseases by leaf image classification. Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience. 2016, 1–11. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/3289801
[39] Srisuwan, T., 2022. Applications of biomimetic adaptive facades for enhancing building energy efficiency. International Journal of Building Urban Interior and Landscape Technology. 20, 7–18. DOI: https://doi.org/10.56261/built.v20.247184
[40] Šujanová, P., Rychtarikova, M., Mayor, T.S., et al., 2019. A healthy, energy-efficient, and comfortable indoor environment, a review. Energies. 12(8), 1414. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/en12081414
[41] Swillens, V., Decuypere, M., Vandenabeele, J., et al., 2021. Place-sensing through haptic interfaces: Proposing an alternative to modern sustainability education. Sustainability. 13(8), 4204. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/su13084204
[42] Talaei, M., Mahdavinejad, M., Azari, R., et al., 2021. Multi-objective optimization of building-integrated microalgae photobioreactors for energy and daylighting performance. Journal of Building Engineering. 42, 102832. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2021.102832
[43] Talhinhas, P., Ferreira, J.C., Ferreira, V., et al., 2023. In the search for sustainable vertical green systems: An innovative low-cost indirect green façade structure using Portuguese native ivies and cork. Sustainability. 15(6), 5446. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/

su15065446
[44] Wang, X., Gard, W., Borska, H., et al., 2020. Vertical greenery systems: From plants to trees with self-growing interconnections. European Journal of Wood and Wood Products. 78(5), 1031–1043. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00107-020-01583-0
[45] Yang, Y., Hu, K., Liu, Y., et al., 2023. Optimisation of building green performances using vertical greening systems: A case study in Changzhou, China. Sustainability. 15(5), 4494. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/su15054494
[46] Yunfeng, Z., Hanif, S., Fatima, F., 2022. Impact of climate change and technological advancement on cotton production: Evidence from Xinjiang region, China. Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology. 24(6), 1519–1531. DOI: https://doi.org/10.52547/jast.24.6.1519
[47] Zalejska-Jonsson, A., Wilkinson, S., Wahlund, R., et al., 2023. Green spaces in housing development – buyers' preferences. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science. 1176, 012035. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1176/1/012035