



Japan Bilingual Publishing Co.

Cultural Conflict and Integration
<https://ojs.bilpub.com/index.php/cci>

ARTICLE

Cultural Conflicts, Decolonization, and the Epistemological Framework of Cultural Integration in Africa

Simeon C. Dimonye¹ , Nmesoma Michael Okeke^{2*} 

¹ Department of Philosophy, University of Nigeria, Nsukka NG-EN-410001, Nigeria

² Department of Philosophy, Rivers State University, Port Harcourt NG-RV-500001, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

The history of post-colonial African studies is marked by enduring cultural conflicts between the cultures of the colonizers (typically Caucasoid Europeans) and those of the colonized. Today, this tension is associated with resentment, bias, identity crises, and underdevelopment. Unfortunately, many post-colonial African researchers tend to overlook this issue, making little or no effort to explore it further. Perhaps they simply follow in the footsteps of earlier nationalist African scholars and thought leaders who focused primarily on decolonization and political independence. Given that the decolonization movement has been ongoing for nearly a century in many regions, it is worthwhile to examine the dynamics of these cultural conflicts and develop a normative theoretical approach for more effective and constructive engagement. This paper aims to promote cultural integration within African societies. We draw on our novel concept of epistemic suicide as a theoretical tool for analysing cultural conflicts in post-colonial African contexts. The research findings suggest that many of these conflicts are unnecessary, as they are often epistemologically constructed through an over-rationalization of the African colonial experience from a victim-centric perspective. This paper contributes to a deeper understanding of the prerequisites for African development and the foundational conditions for cultural reconstruction.

Keywords: African Development; Cultural Conflict; Cultural Integration; Cultural Reconstruction; Decolonization; Epistemic Suicide

*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Nmesoma Michael Okeke, Department of Philosophy, Rivers State University, Port Harcourt NG-RV-500001, Nigeria; Email: mikenmesoma2@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 12 March 2025 | Revised: 29 April 2025 | Accepted: 5 May 2025 | Published Online: 12 May 2025

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.55121/cci.v2i1.563>

CITATION

Dimonye, S.C., Okeke, N.M., 2025. Cultural Conflicts, Decolonization, and the Epistemological Framework of Cultural Integration in Africa. *Cultural Conflict and Integration*. 2(1): 49–62. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.55121/cci.v2i1.563>

COPYRIGHT

Copyright © 2025 by the author(s). Published by Japan Bilingual Publishing Co. This is an open access article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>).

1. Introduction

Violent and non-violent conflicts in Africa have often been analysed from political, religious, and ethnic angles. However, beneath the visible manifestations of conflict lies an invisible epistemological crisis. This crisis is rooted in the collapse, distortion, or disintegration of cultural identities and values, leading to enduring confusion, rejection, and resistance. The current surge in conflicts in Nigeria and across Africa, ranging from herder-farmer clashes to ethnic militancy and religious extremism, is not just a political or economic event. They are cultural manifestations, shaped by deep-seated epistemological dissonance.

Recent data support this assertion. According to the Armed Conflict Location and Event Data Project (ACLED), Nigeria recorded over 3,600 conflict-related deaths in the first half of 2024 alone, with cultural, ethnic, and religious dimensions intertwined in most incidents.^[1] Similarly, a 2023 Afrobarometer survey revealed that over 60% of Nigerians identified ethnic and religious intolerance as key sources of national instability. These figures point to more than governance failure; they expose a ruptured cultural fabric. Across Africa, from Congo and South Sudan to Somalia, conflicts persist, driven not just by politics but by deep-rooted cultural divisions, ethnic tensions, and historical wounds. Globally, wars like Russia–Ukraine and Israel–Palestine echo similar identity clashes and ideological divides. These crises reveal a shared global breakdown in cultural understanding, where competing worldviews and unresolved histories fuel violence and fragmentation. Africa’s epistemological predicament, then, mirrors a wider global disorder rooted in the failure to reconcile heritage, identity, and modernity. These conflicts, though often specific in their geography, reflect a deeper continental crisis where cultural values are destabilized, distorted, or lost.

In his *Magnum Opus, Things Fall Apart*, Chinua Achebe illustrates how Cultural Conflict will eventually lead to cultural entropy. Cultural entropy refers to the gradual disintegration of a society’s value system under sustained external pressure or internal contradiction. The concept borrows from thermodynamics (where “entropy” means disorder or randomness) and applies it metaphorically to culture and society. It suffices to state that social conflicts

or cultural entropy are inevitable; however, it is not irreparable. This is because when people meet, there is always an exchange of ideas and acculturation and/or enculturation. Thus, by such an encounter, whether by colonialism, migration, globalization, or other forms of cultural contact, cultural conflict becomes impossible to avoid. Achebe creates a mental picture of the clash between African and European values and systems of knowledge. He portrays the Igbo culture as a complex and sophisticated system of beliefs and practices that is threatened by the simplistic and oppressive worldview of the European colonialists. Achebe contends that “The white man is very clever...Now he has won our brothers, and our clan can no longer act like one. He has put a knife on the things that held us together, and we have fallen apart.”^[2], p.176.

Achebe aptly captures the idea of conflict in culture. Perhaps, from a superficial angle, one might think that this cultural conflict results from an internal crisis. This is because, for someone to have issues within a socio-cultural setting, it must appear to stem from within. However, cultural entropy tells a broader story since it occurs when there is value conflict, lack of cohesion, or disruption of collective identity. These issues often arise from colonial impositions, globalization, migration, political instability, or the uncritical rejection of historical or cultural foundations. Cultural entropy can manifest in many ways including social fragmentation, loss of moral or ethical direction, breakdown in intergenerational values, or a society being pulled in contradictory directions. Cultural entropy here refers to the weakening of a culture’s ability to generate meaning, regulate conduct, and unify its people. It is a form of existential fatigue where borrowed systems replace indigenous ones without adaptation. For example, it can manifest in the form of tension between African traditional values versus Western ideologies. It is not surprising that in leadership theory, such as in Richard Barrett’s work, cultural entropy is used to measure dysfunction within organizational cultures. The idea, however, can also be extended to national or civilizational levels.^[3]

From the standpoint of African national and civilizational levels, cultural conflict imposed by colonial legacies was met with decolonial reactions. However, instead of addressing these cultural conflicts through cultural integration, Africans often responded by rejecting the vestiges

of colonial deposits. Perhaps, the decolonial project is one of the most enduring efforts that Africans have invested all their energy into, sometimes uncritically. The outcome of this uncritical decolonial response is the persistence of unresolved cultural tensions in postcolonial African societies. These tensions have now deteriorated into deep-seated resentments, biases, identity crises, and widespread underdevelopment. Sadly, many contemporary African scholars overlook this ongoing crisis. Instead, they replicate the intellectual patterns of early nationalist thinkers who focused narrowly on political liberation without addressing the deeper cultural contradictions and their epistemological foundations. Nearly a century after the first wave of decolonization campaigns in Africa, it has become necessary to move beyond mere reactionary rejections. What is now needed is a reflective, practice-oriented approach that confronts these epistemological tensions and fosters cultural integration as a path to social harmony. If we continue to reject everything linked to colonial influence without critical reflection, we risk falling into what we describe here as *epistemic suicide*. This implies insulating oneself from useful and potentially salutary ideas simply because of bias. Such a rejection does not heal the wounds of colonization; instead, it creates new ones. It weakens our capacity to build a balanced identity. It is important to note that Epistemic suicide is not simply the loss of knowledge but the failure to synthesize inherited, imposed, and emergent ways of knowing. In the struggle for decolonization, Africa's response has often tilted towards a radical rejection of all things Western, inadvertently abandoning the potential for integration and critical synthesis. Rather than restoring dignity, it deepens confusion. Epistemic suicide ignores the possibility of transforming colonial legacies into tools for growth. This makes genuine cultural integration and social harmony more difficult.

Since the decolonial project has at times deepened the epistemological crisis it sought to resolve, because instead of offering a harmonizing vision, it has sometimes promoted a fragmented cultural posture that equates liberation with negation. This negation, however, cannot sustain development, identity, or peace. Hence, cultural integration in postcolonial Africa is deeply shaped by underlying epistemological tensions. These tensions arise from how

African societies have come to relate to knowledge, what is accepted, rejected, or left unexamined. The tendency to dismiss colonial legacies outright, without critical assessment, reflects an inherited epistemic framework that positions indigenous and foreign systems as oppositional.^[4] This binary outlook obstructs meaningful integration by privileging resistance over reflection. Consequently, African societies often operate with divided epistemologies, where formal structures depend on Western knowledge, while cultural sentiments resist its influence. True integration requires shifting focus from the origin of knowledge to its relevance and value.^[5] When thinkers adopt a purely rejectionist approach, they risk undermining both local resources and global contributions. Addressing cultural conflicts, therefore, begins with confronting these epistemological undercurrents and fostering mutual engagement between knowledge systems.

Therefore, this study is grounded in the belief that meaningful development in Africa requires a rethinking of identity not as rejection but as integration. It proposes cultural integration as a functional response to the epistemological crisis of the postcolonial African state. Cultural integration here is not assimilation or surrender, but a thoughtful synthesis of indigenous and foreign systems, guided by truth, functionality, and aesthetics. It calls for an epistemological framework that is inclusive, critical, and regenerative, where African identity is viewed not as static heritage but as creative self-manifestation.

Against this epistemological backdrop, this study adopts a critical approach grounded in the lived realities of identity, harmony, and development. It argues that cultural conflicts in postcolonial Africa are not merely historical or political but are fundamentally epistemological. Meaningful development, therefore, lies not in the total rejection of colonial legacies but in thoughtful, integrative engagement with both indigenous and foreign knowledge systems. This paper pursues three core objectives: (1) to expose the epistemological roots of cultural conflicts; (2) to introduce and theorize the concept of epistemic suicide; and (3) to propose cultural integration as a path to sustainable social harmony. In doing so, this study aims to reorient the discourse from resistance to reconstruction, from negation to negotiation, and from rupture to reinvention.

2. Methods

This study adopts a qualitative research method employing critical and hermeneutical philosophical approaches. The critical method allows for the interrogation of dominant narratives and ideological constructs that sustain cultural conflicts in post-colonial African societies. It questions the epistemic assumptions embedded in nationalist discourses and post-colonial scholarship, particularly those that reduce Africa's colonial experience to a victim narrative. The hermeneutical approach, on the other hand, emphasizes the interpretation of texts, theories, and historical events within their socio-cultural and philosophical contexts. Materials analysed include classical and contemporary African philosophical texts, post-colonial theory, and selected historical accounts of cultural conflict. Sources were selected based on their relevance to the themes of identity, colonial legacy, epistemology, and development. The concept of "epistemic suicide" emerged from this interpretive process as a theoretical lens for unpacking the internal contradictions and over-rationalizations that fuel epistemological and cultural dissonance in Africa.

3. Historical Background and the Roots of African Cultural Conflicts

When one hears of cultural conflict, one's mind first goes to the physical manifestations of such conflicts, like mass killings, property destruction, forced displacement, and communal riots. This is not far from the truth because these manifestations are products of the epistemological undercurrents that breed such conflicts. In the African context, these entropies have been triggered by the violent interruption of colonialism and perpetuated by uncritical modernity. However, given that Africa is a vast continent, we use Nigeria as a proxy to demonstrate the root of cultural conflicts. Nigeria's cultural fabric is one of the most diverse on the continent, with an estimated 250 to 500 ethnic groups, including the Hausa-Fulani (29%), Yoruba (21%), Igbo (18%), and Ijaw (10%).^[6] A near-equal religious split further complicates this diversity: Christians make up about 48% and Muslims approximately 50% of the population.^[7] While this plurality could have been a resource for

national development, it has often served as a fault line for conflict, especially when weaponized by political and religious elites.

It suffices to note that cultural conflicts could be remedied if a culture understands, 'inner-stands', and overstands the root causes of conflict. Understanding, inner-standing, and overstanding together make a culture outstanding. However, Africans often understand the nature of conflict but fail to *inner-stand and overstand*. By inner-standing, we mean that deeper awareness which enables cultures to transcend tension and build enduring legacies. In striving to avoid the clash of cultures through intercultural philosophy, we fall into the dilemma of cultural conflict. Hence, un-thoughtful promotion of intercultural philosophy "can lead to unnuanced or patronizing forms of scholarship that can lead to epistemic harms."^[8] In his work, *Africa Must Unite*, Kwame Nkrumah argued that Africans should "seek ye first the political kingdom, and all other things shall be added unto you."^[9] This is another extreme. Thus, it is easy to appreciate the kind of understanding most African nationalist leaders had about Africa's pressing issues—political, not epistemological. In contrast to Nkrumah's approach, Lee Kuan Yew of Singapore chose a deeper 'inner-standing' of his people's cultural and developmental realities, which contributed significantly to their transformation.^[10]

As observed in the works of nationalist cum decolonialist thinkers like Julius Nyerere, Obafemi Awolowo, and even Senghor, there was a tendency to prioritize cultural pride or political autonomy without engaging the deeper epistemological foundations that drive cultural coherence. This oversight, though unintentional, contributed to a fragmented postcolonial identity. Key African leaders and thinkers attempted to address this crisis by proposing various ideological frameworks. Julius Nyerere developed *Ujamaa* socialism rooted in communal African values;^[11] Obafemi Awolowo advocated for democratic socialism;^[12] Nnamdi Azikiwe proposed *neowelfarism*;^[13] Kenneth Kaunda promoted African humanism;^[14] and Léopold Sédar Senghor articulated *Négritude* as a philosophy of cultural pride and identity.^[15] While these efforts were intellectually noble, they were often driven by the urgency of political independence rather than a deep intercultural epistemological critique. When knowledge is filtered only

through political or emotional lenses, the resulting understanding is partial, leading to a cycle of resentment and identity crisis.^[16] To break this cycle, there must be a move from reaction to reflection, from understanding to inner-standing, as this will enable Africa to build a cohesive identity and pursue development that is both inclusive and sustainable.

Against the backdrop of these issues of understanding without inner-standing, we must not fail to recognize and highlight that colonialism remains a fundamental force to contend with in the African experience. It is, arguably, the breeding ground for cultural conflict in terms of identity formation and cultural fragmentation. Jell-Bahlsen, in keeping with the tradition of dialectical anthropology, has advocated for “relentless critique of Western civilization, colonialism, and capitalism, and shared inspirations from the non-Western world” in order to fashion a viable alternative for a harmonious and integrated modern African society in which the term primitive is rather redeemed from its bastardized connotations.^[17], p.71. In general, the endurance of cultural conflict in postcolonial Africa can be historically situated within four critical perspectives. Firstly, the colonial encounter was not merely a political or economic conquest. It was also an epistemological assault. It is safe to argue that the colonial encounter came with its violence in Africa. European colonizers imposed foreign governance and economic systems while displacing indigenous knowledge systems. Ngũgĩ wa Thiong’o describes this process as the deployment of a “cultural bomb” that exploded in the minds of the colonized.^[18] This bomb discredited African languages, religions, philosophies, and ways of knowing. Colonialism replaced African worldviews with Eurocentric paradigms, thereby creating epistemic disorientation. Frantz Fanon adds that this cultural imposition created internalized inferiority, producing deep identity crises.^[19] Spivak also explains how this form of epistemic violence silenced African voices and denied the colonized the right to define their own realities.^[20]

Secondly, the post-independence decolonization process focused on achieving political liberation without undertaking epistemic reconstruction. As Wiredu observes, although Africa gained political freedom, it continued to function within colonial intellectual frameworks.^[4] Edu-

cational curricula, legal institutions, and political systems remained deeply rooted in Eurocentric structures and logic. The result was an Africa caught between two worlds: politically independent but epistemologically dependent. This unresolved tension gave rise to contradictions between tradition and modernity, authenticity and imposed values.

Thirdly, the early nationalist intellectuals reacted to colonial cultural dominance with passion, but often lacked epistemological depth. This implies that they responded to colonial cultural dominance with reactive ideologies rather than reflexive strategies. Nkrumah,^[21] Senghor,^[15] Nyerere,^[11] and others provided bold ideological visions—Ujamaa socialism, Negritude, African humanism—but these were sometimes driven more by political urgency than philosophical precision. Hountondji critiques such positions as “ethnophilosophy,” suggesting they romanticize African culture without addressing the epistemic challenges of modernity.^[5] Their efforts, although symbolically important, did not always account for the emerging hybrid identities of postcolonial Africa. Thus, they failed to bridge the epistemic gap caused by colonialism.

Fourthly, there has been a neglect of the much-needed cultural and epistemological reconciliation project. This project would involve a deliberate effort to harmonize African traditional values with relevant elements of Western modernity. It requires not just political reform but a thorough cultural integration. The silence or dismissal of this necessity has kept Africa in a state of cultural entropy. Olufemi Taiwo insists that meaningful African development must begin with reconciliation between the indigenous and the non-indigenous.^[22] Without this integration, Africa remains stuck between cultural nostalgia and self-alienation, both of which hinder transformation and unity.

In sum, Africa’s enduring cultural conflicts stem from unhealed historical and epistemological wounds. Without a deeper inner-standing and integration of both indigenous and colonial inheritances, the continent risks remaining in her experience of cyclical tensions of cultural conflicts. To deepen this reflection, we now turn to the anatomy of cultural conflicts in postcolonial Africa, where we unpack how these tensions manifest and persist in everyday social and political realities.

4. African Culture in the Throes of Distortions

Cultural conflict is the antagonism that arises when differing cultural values, beliefs, or practices, shaped by shared attitudes and goals, clash due to incompatibility, resulting in tension, misunderstanding, or resistance between individuals or groups. Any system of belief or practice that does not aid adaptation or the full realization of human potential cannot truly be considered culture. In the Igbo worldview, culture is rooted in the idea of self-definition and self-manifestation, reflecting an ongoing process of becoming. To analyse this conceptually, culture may be approached in both narrow and broad senses. The narrow sense refers to visible expressions such as arts, customs, or traditions,^[23] while the broad sense includes worldviews, values, norms, and institutions that shape everyday life.^[24] Understanding both senses is crucial for meaningful cultural research and analysis. Given that culture can be both material and immaterial, and that its eclectic nature makes it difficult to define precisely, we may describe culture as the principles, worldviews, and ideologies that people construct or adopt to help them adapt and cope within a given condition. In short, when we speak of culture, we are referring to an orientation toward adaptation.

This philosophical orientation emphasizes that identity is not static or given but constructed through lived experience and continuous negotiation. In the pursuit of authentic self-definition and full self-realization, individuals and groups often encounter tensions, especially when navigating multiple cultural heritages. Hence, the drive for self-manifestation can lead to clashes between conflicting cultural values, historical experiences, and communal expectations.

The anatomy of cultural conflict in postcolonial Africa reveals itself across domains such as language, religion, governance, gender, and epistemology. These conflicts are historically entrenched and persist due to unresolved colonial binaries such as *primitive/civilized* and *rational/irrational*. To drive home the case in point, we draw on the literary works of distinguished African classical writers to demonstrate the full spectrum of cultural conflict in postcolonial Africa. Chinua Achebe, in *No Longer at Ease*, vividly illustrates this tension through the character of Obi

Okonkwo, a young man caught between the traditional expectations of his Umuofia community and the Western ideals acquired during his education in England.^[26] His Western education alienates him from both his traditional roots and the corrupt colonial bureaucracy. His moral confusion and eventual downfall are emblematic of the fractured identity of the postcolonial African subject. Achebe further explores this in *Arrow of God*, the authority crisis between traditional religious systems and colonial administrative structures.^[27] It follows that the priest Ezeulu is torn between serving his indigenous deity and cooperating with colonial authorities. His tragic fate signifies the collapse of indigenous authority in the face of colonial intrusion, emphasizing how epistemological conflicts in religion and governance disrupt social cohesion and traditional legitimacy. Achebe uses this to underscore how colonial governance systems imposed incompatible hierarchies and categories, often undermining indigenous mechanisms of order.

Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o, in *The River Between*, explores language and education as battlegrounds of identity.^[28] The character Waiyaki struggles to unify his Gikuyu people while navigating the alienating effects of Western education and Christian doctrine. Waiyaki is torn between his father's traditional Gikuyu heritage and the Christian-European schooling that promises societal mobility. In *Decolonising the Mind*, Ngũgĩ insists that language is not neutral, it is the carrier of culture, memory, and identity.^[18] It becomes a battleground for cultural survival. Adopting the colonizer's language, he argues, leads to internalized epistemic dislocation or epistemic submission, a core tension in postcolonial identity, hence his famous shift to writing in Gikuyu. Elechi Amadi's *The Concubine* dramatizes the conflict between indigenous cosmology and modernity.^[29] He made the tension between indigenous spiritual explanations and Western rationality profound. Thus, the narrative hinges on spiritual causality, challenging colonial rationalism. Ihuoma's misfortunes are interpreted through traditional beliefs in supernatural forces, affirming the resilience of indigenous knowledge systems that colonialism attempted to erase or delegitimize. This resilience was met with a lack of critical engagement, which has shaped the embodiment of cultural conflict in postcolonial Africa. The de-legitimization demonstrates the unfinished business of

epistemic negotiation in African postcolonial experience. Buchi Emecheta, in *The Joys of Motherhood*, explores gendered dimensions of cultural conflict.^[30] She examines how gender roles are reconfigured through colonial and traditional expectations. The protagonist Nnu Ego is crushed under the weight of being a “good mother” in a colonial economy that values neither her labour nor her identity. Emecheta exposes the double bind facing African women - caught between patriarchal traditionalism and the exploitative promises of colonial modernity.

These literary narratives reveal that the African subject is often suspended between inherited and imposed worldviews. This identity dilemma persists because colonial binaries continue to inform educational systems, moral standards, and governance models.^[31] Cultural conflict is embedded in everyday experiences - resistance, negotiation, and attempts at synthesis. The epistemological colonial heritage has created a double bind for the African subject: torn between inherited cultural values and the imposed frameworks of the colonial legacy. This identity dilemma is not merely psychological; it is structural. For example, while traditional African cosmologies offer holistic views of life and community, Western epistemologies introduced through colonial education and religion valorise individualism, linear progress, and scientific rationality. The clash between these orientations creates confusion, alienation, and moral dislocation, especially among the postcolonial elite who often internalize Western norms while being expected to serve traditional societies. Having understood this cultural conflict in postcolonial Africa, it is convincingly visible that the decolonial processes were too quick to dismiss colonial influence. Africans are therefore confronted with the identity dilemma: the postcolonial African subject often exists in a liminal space, pulled between inherited cultural norms and imposed colonial legacies. These tensions are not easily reconciled, as the binaries constructed during colonial rule continue to inform contemporary institutions and value systems.

This continuing internal dissonance forms the epistemic core of Africa’s cultural conflicts today. Without confronting and deconstructing these binaries, cultural integration will remain elusive, and the African identity will remain fractured between the demands of modernity and the calls for traditional cultural heritage. This unresolved

legacy suggests that cultural conflict in Africa is not just a historical event but an ongoing epistemological and existential crisis. It demands not just political or economic reform, but a deeper cultural and philosophical reshaping that must start with acknowledging the co-presence of multiple worldviews and finding integrative frameworks for coexistence. In this way, through self-evaluative introspection, we examine our attitude toward colonial deposits and the manifestations of self-destructive subjective tendencies towards African culture; an attitude that may be best described as epistemic suicide.

5. The Attitudes of Africans towards Colonial Deposits and the Manifestations of Epistemic Suicide

The issues associated with colonialism, epistemic violence, and the concomitant cultural conflicts reflect Africa’s negative attitude toward colonial deposits. We cannot over-blame Africans for this disposition, given the historical foundations of colonial epistemic imposition, the marginalization of indigenous African knowledge systems, the fragmentation of identity, and the deep-seated “epistemic trauma” caused by colonization. These negative attitudes found profound expression in the nationalist decolonization movements, though not without limitations. Due to the crisis of unresolved epistemic dualities in postcolonial African studies and the lingering continuities of colonial logic in postcolonial scholarship, African intellectuals became caught in a dilemma - torn between the dangers of imitation or total rejection, and between uncritical appropriation and outright erasure of colonial cultural deposits.

These negative attitudes toward colonial deposits are not arbitrary; they are deeply rooted in the colonial project’s ideology of dehumanization, commodification, and cultural belittlement of Africans. Colonialism not only exploited Africa economically; it also waged an epistemic war, treating African ways of knowing, being, and living as inferior. As Frantz Fanon observes, colonialism functioned through a “Manichaean” logic that positioned the colonizer as civilized and the colonized as primitive, thereby justifying the control and transformation of African societies.^[32] Achebe narrates the tragic consequences of this intrusion: cultural disintegration, psychological disorientation,

and identity loss.^[2] For Ngugi wa Thiong'o it yielded a "cultural bomb," through which colonialism annihilated African confidence in their names, languages, and history.^[18] However, as Olúfemi Táíwò argues in *Against Decolonization*, Africa's rejection of everything colonial can also amount to an epistemic overcorrection that risks limiting African agency and entrenching intellectual dependency.^[33] He calls for critical engagement, not wholesale rejection, of colonial knowledge legacies. Thus, we must take African agency seriously. Similarly, Eboh, in *Anthology of African Philosophy: A Reconstructionist View*, emphasizes the importance of re-appropriating aspects of colonial legacy through a reflective and eco-centric philosophical reconstruction rather than outright dismissal.^[34] Agbakoba's intercultural philosophy supports this by insisting that African development must come from the activation of cultural agency through the creative engagement of both indigenous and external influences.^[35] He posits that Africa's problem is not simply colonialism but the failure to develop a functional philosophical and cultural framework that accommodates complexity.

Recent scholarly outputs highlight ongoing debate on decolonization, interculturality, and epistemic agency, while retaining foundational references to ensure philosophical continuity. Decolonization has continued to expose the subtle forms of epistemic domination that continue to shape African cultures, revealing how colonial violence, cultural imposition, and knowledge hierarchies were embedded in African experience.^[36,37] As a reaction to this history, it often adopts a strongly antagonistic approach, seeking to dismantle all traces of colonial influence, even when these reappear in hybrid or reconstructed forms such as liberal education, constitutional governance, or scientific rationalism.^[38,39] Interculturality, on the other hand, promotes a dialogical and pluriversal horizon for epistemic justice by fostering mutual recognition across diverse knowledge systems and ontologies.^[40,41] The pressing question remains: how can genuine dialogue occur when we are committed to rejecting all traces of influence, even those reappropriated through African agency?

From these insights, we can list and examine key negative attitudes that some Africans, particularly among African intellectuals and policymakers, have developed toward colonial deposits:

1. **Total Rejection of Colonial Influence:** Many postcolonial thinkers adopted an absolutist rejection of colonial structures, even those that could have been reformed for African purposes. This was partly fuelled by the painful memory of cultural erasure. Unfortunately, such a blanket rejection often leads to stagnation rather than progress.
2. **Suspicion of Western Knowledge Systems:** Due to the epistemic violence of colonialism, African scholars developed deep mistrust toward Western epistemologies. This suspicion has sometimes led to an uncritical valorisation of pre-colonial knowledge, regardless of its in/adequacy in addressing present realities.
3. **Romanticization of the Precolonial African Past:** Driven by the need to reclaim African dignity, some intellectuals idealize traditional African societies, overlooking internal contradictions and injustices that existed before colonial contact. This condition has been referred to as romanticism.^[35]
4. **Avoidance of Intercultural Dialogue:** The colonial trauma has made some African thinkers resist intellectual exchanges with the West. While understandable, this resistance can stifle innovation and global relevance.
5. **Epistemic Suicide:** As previously noted, this is the tendency to cut off from all forms of colonial epistemology without constructing viable alternatives, thereby creating a vacuum in knowledge production and cultural navigation. This concept suggests a kind of self-sabotage, as it leaves postcolonial Africa intellectually stranded and asphyxiated.

However, the negative attitudes toward colonial deposits are historically grounded in legitimate grievances, such as dehumanization, commodification, and cultural denigration. Contemporary African scholarship must move beyond resentment to embrace a reconstructive, intercultural, and human-centered epistemology. Only then can cultural integration be achieved and development be anchored in agency, identity, and innovation. Perhaps, an examination of epistemic suicide will reveal the full extent of the harm caused by such reactionary attitudes.

The concept of *epistemic suicide* refers to the self-defeating intellectual posture wherein a society or community rejects entire bodies of knowledge, particularly those

associated with colonial or Western traditions, without critical engagement, thus cutting itself off from usable resources that could facilitate development, transformation, or healing. It is not merely an act of intellectual rejection but a symbolic abandonment of intercultural possibilities that could have contributed meaningfully to the reconstruction of postcolonial identity. Epistemic suicide can be understood as a reactionary phenomenon in which African postcolonial thought, in its zeal to decolonize, sometimes resorts to an absolutist abnegation and abdication of all colonial deposits. This position often stems from legitimate historical grievances, such as the epistemic violence perpetrated by colonialism. However, by refusing to engage colonial legacies critically and selectively, African intellectualism risks intellectual paralysis.

A major driver of epistemic suicide is the over-rationalization of historical trauma. That is, the colonial experience becomes the perpetual reference point in African discourses, weaponized to frame identity through the lens of victimhood. While this may serve short-term ideological functions, it hinders long-term cultural healing and self-realization. Olúfemi Táíwò critiques this posture, noting that victimhood often becomes a substitute for creative agency.^[33] Rather than constructing a forward-looking identity, African thinkers can become locked in a repetitive logic of blame, nostalgia, and withdrawal. One of the most troubling consequences of epistemic suicide is the refusal to engage in intercultural dialogue. Agbakoba argues that postcolonial Africa cannot afford to reject intercultural frameworks if it seeks viable development paths.^[35] Intellectual isolation not only limits the growth of African scholarship but also deepens identity crises. The idea that anything foreign must be discarded robs African societies of the chance to redefine themselves through synthesis, innovation, and reflexivity. Culture, after all, is not static; it evolves through contact, dialogue, and transformation.

Epistemic suicide as a form of reactionary response to colonial African history is evident in several intellectual movements and policies:

- **Language Policy:** Ngugi wa Thiong'o's call for writing exclusively in indigenous languages, while important for cultural preservation, risks ignoring the utility of English and French as instruments of global communication and critical discourse.^[18] A balanced ap-

proach, rather than epistemic purism, may better serve cultural renaissance and development goals.

- **Curricular Reforms:** Attempts to purge African universities of Western philosophers or scientific frameworks, in favour of exclusively indigenous models, sometimes lead to superficial substitutions that fail to meet contemporary academic standards, with the effect of jeopardizing academic freedom across the continent.^[33]
- **Religious and Legal Systems:** Total rejection of colonial legal or ethical codes, without constructing functional indigenous alternatives, leaves postcolonial societies in legal limbo or cultural dissonance.^[42]

Arguably, the ethical cost of epistemic suicide is high because it erodes the potential for self-definition through reflexive engagement with history and culture. It perpetuates resentment and rhetorical nationalism while delaying practical developmental strategies. Existentially, it fragments identity, creating an African self that is neither wholly traditional nor meaningfully modern, but suspended in an unresolved duality. We can also agree with Agbakoba's insistence that only through selective appropriation, guided by functionality and aesthetic relevance can Africa reclaim agency without capitulating to either colonial dominance or reactionary withdrawal.

6. The Need for Cultural Reconstruction and Social (Re-) Integration

The prerequisite for African cultural development is cultural reconstruction - the process of rethinking, reshaping, and revitalizing cultural values, practices, and institutions to respond to present challenges and future aspirations. It involves critically examining inherited traditions, discarding harmful elements, and integrating progressive ideals that promote human dignity, justice, and development. Open-mindedness is itself the culture of philosophizing. It entails a plural field of relational meanings, histories, and epistemic engagements. Within the purview of postcolonial Africa, cultural conflict stems not only from the ruptures caused by colonialism but also from a postcolonial tendency to mistake resistance for redefinition. It is like burning the bridge that brought you across

the river, thinking freedom lies in isolation, not realizing you still need to cross back and forth to grow. For instance, the capital rejection of the English or French language in some intellectual circles is often celebrated as decolonial, even though English and French have become a vehicle for global engagement and African literary expression. Similarly, scorning Western education systems - rather than reforming and indigenizing them - has often hindered Africa's capacity for knowledge production. Given that two major epistemic orientations—the ratio-scientific and the ratio-intuitive - inform knowledge formation, it is unwise to reject one in favour of the other.^[43] Knowledge is not static; it evolves continuously. No one is pausing to wait for Africa to recover lost ground. It is in this light that interculturality emerges, not as a fashionable academic concept, but as a philosophical imperative and a pragmatic strategy for reimagining Africa's development, identity, and epistemic health through cultural renaissance. By Cultural renaissance, we mean the revival and renewed appreciation of a people's cultural identity, values, and heritage, especially after periods of decline, colonization, or suppression. It involves reclaiming indigenous knowledge, traditions, languages, and artistic expressions to restore pride, dignity, and continuity within a community or nation.

To begin, it is crucial to distinguish interculturality from its often-conflated counterparts: multiculturalism and cultural assimilation. Multiculturalism tends to celebrate coexisting cultural identities without necessarily fostering deep engagement or mutual transformation. It is like guests at a banquet sitting at separate tables, each eating their own meal, occasionally nodding at one another, but never sharing a dish. Cultural assimilation, on the other hand, demands that minority or marginalized cultures dissolve into a dominant one - often that of the colonizer - thereby losing their distinctiveness. It is a culinary imposition where all dishes must taste like one. However, interculturality breaks this binary. It suggests a dialogical space where cultures interact critically and creatively, preserving their distinctiveness while co-evolving through mutual influence and respect.^[33,35,44]

In most African traditions, interculturality is not foreign. The Ubuntu ethic: "I am because we are," embodies relational identity. The Akan notion of *biakoye* (unity

in diversity), the Igbo philosophy of *egbe bere, ugo bere* (live and let live), and even Senghor's Negritude, though romanticized at times, attempted to affirm African identity while engaging with the world. For some obvious reasons, these were sidelined in nationalist intellectualism that focused more on political decolonization than epistemic reconstruction. The result was a form of epistemic inhumanity - a reactionary posture where the rejection of all colonial knowledge was seen as authentic resistance, even when such knowledge was practically usable and morally neutral.

Herein lies the crux of the matter. African intellectualism, in its quest for purity, often chose to amputate rather than synthesize the colonial elements in the stream of African cultural realities. This over-rationalization of history, reducing all colonial inheritances to tools of dehumanization and commodification, created a paralysis of critique. Instead of adopting what Fricker calls "epistemic responsibility,"^[45] one finds instances of what we have identified as "epistemic suicide": the wilful rejection of usable knowledge on account of its colonial origins. Sabelo Ndlovu-Gatsheni condemns this as epistemic subjugation.^[46,47] Eboh, in *Philosophy in the Age of Crisis*, argues that despite the remarkable advancement of knowledge, humanity paradoxically appears to be regressing in wisdom and understanding. She supposes that African peoples ought to know better by now.^[48] In a later work, *Anthology of African Philosophy: A Reconstructionist View*, Eboh calls for a reconstructive African philosophy - one that views culture not as a fossilized relic of precolonial pride but as a dynamic, evolving response to human needs.^[34]

Indeed, cultural integration must be viewed not as cultural erasure but as creative social re-composition. Integration implies selective retention, dialogical engagement, and purposeful recombination. It is the reconstruction of identity not as a pure essence but as a living synthesis - a tapestry woven from indigenous threads and global fibres. If colonial-imposed binaries such as primitive/civilized or irrational/rational are the undoing of Africa's quest for cultural renaissance and development, then interculturality must unravel those binaries by insisting that civilizations are co-creators of human progress. The idea of *pluriversality*, championed by scholars like Walter D. Mignolo and further developed within African contexts by postcolonial

thinkers, emphasizes the existence of multiple centres of meaning-making, rather than a singular, Eurocentric axis of truth.^[49]

To foster cultural integration as a condition for social harmony, several frameworks are indispensable. For one thing, education must be transformed. The curriculum should include African philosophy, oral traditions, and indigenous knowledge systems while critically engaging with global scientific paradigms. Language policy, too, must support mother tongues and promote linguistic plurality, thereby allowing different ways of knowing to co-exist and cross-fertilize. The philosophy of African history must reject victimhood mentality and adopt what we prefer to as “humanistic agency” - the ability to shape human destiny through critical reflection, creativity and responsible innovation. Civic engagement and inclusive governance also form pillars of cultural synergy. For example, communalism as practiced in pre-colonial African societies can inform deliberative democracy, not as a nostalgic retrieval from history but as a philosophical inspiration for the present. The logic of harmony and pluralism found in African traditions opposes both tribalism and universalism. It promotes the idea that difference is not division, but diversity in unity.

Moreover, reimagining African development must begin with deconstructing essentialist claims about what it means to be “truly African.” Often, the most debilitating aspect of postcolonial cultural discourse is the obsession with purity. But culture, like identity, is never pure. It is a product of encounter, contestation, and change. Development, therefore, must be rooted in epistemic balance - a critical openness that neither worships the West nor romanticizes the past. Take, for instance, the analogy of a river. The river draws its strength not from the purity of its origin but from its ability to absorb tributaries, filter waste, and still sustain life downstream. Africa’s intellectual river must open itself to tributaries - some indigenous, some colonial, others global - while maintaining its geographical identity. Interculturality is this river’s ecosystem. It protects Africa from epistemic drought and ideological stagnation. The role of intellectuals and institutions is thus crucial. Universities must become laboratories of intercultural thought, not factories of mimicry. Leadership must embody inclusiveness, not merely in ethnic representation

but in epistemic orientation. Policies must reflect the complexity of African identity - modern yet rooted, global yet local.

In summary, interculturality is not an option for Africa - it is an existential necessity. Without it, postcolonial societies will remain trapped in ideological limbo, oscillating between rejection and assimilation. With it, however, lies the possibility of a culturally harmonized, epistemologically just, and developmentally grounded Africa. Interculturality is the compass for navigating the epistemic fog of postcolonial inheritance and the roadmap for building an Africa where history is not a prison but a resource for creative becoming. Yet, intercultural African philosophy should go beyond comparative philosophy, which simply aims at a dialogue between cultural, linguistic, and philosophical divides. It must transcend territories to engage in a phenomenological interpenetration of all cultural realities, visible and invisible, known and unknown.

7. Concluding Reflections and the Critical Way Forward

If the beginning of wisdom is the recognition of one’s starting point, then the first task of any African intellectual is to grasp the historical evolution of the postcolonial condition - not as a fixed past but as an unfinished project riddled with both injury and possibility. The cultural conflicts that have gripped postcolonial Africa are not ontological inevitabilities. They are epistemologically induced, emerging from a violent interruption of the African lifeworld through colonial conquest and its intellectual aftershocks. Colonialism was not merely a political or economic project; it was, as Frantz Fanon insightfully noted, a metaphysical and epistemological one. It denied African peoples the right to narrate their world, to name their values, and to develop their own categories of understanding. This erasure birthed what Olufemi Taiwo critiques as a self-defeating “anti-colonial orthodoxy” that ironically sustains colonial logic by centring its rejection rather than reconstructing a sovereign path.^[33] It is within this terrain that we must understand epistemic suicide, which has become a recurring pattern in African scholarship - a reactive posture that privileges victimhood over agency, resistance over reconstruction, and ideological purity over pragmatic transformation.

This critique of epistemic suicide is not a condemnation of decolonization efforts. It is a call for their maturation. As Agbakoba argues in his intercultural philosophy, the postcolonial African thinker must navigate a third way beyond mimicry and rejection - a trans-colonial consciousness that appropriates, interrogates, and transforms inherited traditions.^[35] Epistemic suicide occurs when African intellectuals, in a bid to assert autonomy, reject even usable colonial knowledge without critical engagement. It is akin to a patient refusing all medical tools simply because the hospital was built by a colonizer. Such a posture is not emancipatory; it is intellectually self-mutilating. The human implications of this epistemic crisis are profound. Identity, which should be an arena for self-creation and affirmation, becomes a site of alienation. Integration, which should be a process of social synergy, becomes fragmented by tribalism, essentialism, and the refusal to engage difference.

Development, which ought to centre human flourishing, becomes mimetic, externally driven, and ungrounded in the cultural logics of the people it claims to serve. It is no wonder that many African states remain developmentally stagnant despite formal independence and decades of imported development models. But this is not where the story must end. Indeed, the very act of naming the problem opens the possibility for transformation. Interculturality or intercultural philosophy, as articulated in African philosophies such as *Ubuntu*, the Igbo principle of *complementary dualism*, and the Akan ethic of *biakoye*, offers a constructive alternative. Unlike multiculturalism, which promotes coexistence without mutual transformation, or assimilation, which demands surrender, interculturality emphasizes dialogical engagement. It insists that cultures do not merely cohabitate space; they co-construct meaning. The African conception of “we-ness” offers the ontological grounding for intercultural reasoning. This is not a modern invention but a deeply embedded philosophical resource within Africa’s indigenous lifeworld.

Interculturality must therefore become the normative framework for realizing cultural integration among Africans and rethinking African development. It demands a reconstructive epistemology that is rooted in the principle of pluriversality, not universality. In this framework, knowledge is seen as situated and partial, but also translat-

able and transactable. African traditions of wisdom are not rendered irrelevant by modernity; they are reinterpreted within a global conversation. This is how development becomes culturally integrated - not by isolating Africa, but by rooting its progress in its own self-understanding, while remaining open to meaningful external engagements.

This approach carries several practical implications. Education systems must be overhauled to reflect Africa’s multilingual, multicultural, and philosophical richness. Language policies must affirm African tongues as vehicles of intellectual production. Historical narratives must be rewritten not merely to document colonial atrocities but to reclaim African agency. Philosophical inquiry must focus less on reactive critique and more on creative synthesis. And above all, leadership - both political and intellectual - must embody a plural logic: one that affirms identity without essentialism, change without alienation, and integration without erasure.

In summary, this reflection has traced the historical emergence of Africa’s cultural conflict, demonstrating that the core issue is not cultural incompatibility but epistemic confusion. It critiqued the phenomenon of epistemic suicide as a reactionary refusal to critically engage usable knowledge, a refusal that harms identity, stagnates development, and hinders integration. Yet it also pointed toward a normative alternative: interculturality. Rooted in both African tradition and philosophical innovation, interculturality provides a framework for reconstruction that is ethically grounded, epistemologically balanced, and developmentally viable. We must conclude, then, not with resignation but with resolve. Africa does not need a return to a mythical ethnological purity, nor a wholesale adoption of foreign norms. What it needs is a reconstruction of its intellectual and cultural house, where every room reflects both its own architectural blueprint and the refinements of meaningful exchange. The African future will not be a repetition of the past, nor a copy of the West; it must be a creative fusion. As Eboh insists, philosophy is not the repetition of inherited truths but the reconstruction of meaning in the light of contemporary needs. It is time for African intellectuals to do just that - not merely to remember or resist, but to reconstruct and renew.^[34,48] Only then will Africa overcome the epistemic fog of its colonial hangover and step into a future grounded in truth, guided by open and free dialogue,

and propelled by agential integrity.

Author Contributions

This article was conceived, researched, and written by the authors, S.C.D. and N.M.O. Thus, they contributed equally to the overall manuscript development

Funding

This work received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement

Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement

Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement

No new data were created or analyzed in this study. Data sharing does not apply to this article.

Acknowledgments

Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] ACLED, 2022. Armed Conflict Location & Event Data Project. Available from: <https://acleddata.com>
- [2] Achebe, C., 1958. *Things Fall Apart*. William Heinemann Ltd: London, UK.
- [3] Barrett, R., 2013. *The Values-Driven Organization: Unleashing Human Potential for Performance and Profit*. Routledge: London, UK.
- [4] Wiredu, K., 1998. Toward decolonizing African philosophy and religion. *African Studies Quarterly*. 1(4), 17–46. Available from: <https://asq.africa.ufl.edu/>
- [5] Hountondji, P., 1997a. *Endogenous Knowledge: Research Trails*. CODESRIA: Dakar, Senegal.
- [6] cetri.be, 2023. Ethno-religious identity and violent conflict in Nigeria. Available from: <https://www.cetri.be/Ethno-religious-Identity-and>
- [7] The Conversation, 2023. Religious identity and political mobilization in Nigeria. Available from: <https://theconversation.com/nigeria-has-seen-a-lot-of-conflict-over-the-years-resistance-from-minority-groups-is-a-strong-driver-230326>
- [8] Loughheed, K., 2025. The epistemic risks and rewards of intercultural philosophy. In: R'boul, H. (ed.). *African-Decolonial Interculturalities*, 1st ed. Routledge: London, UK.
- [9] Nkrumah, K., 1963. *Africa Must Unite*. Panaf Books: London, UK.
- [10] Zakaria, F., 1994. *From Wealth to Power: The Unusual Origins of America's World Role*. Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ, USA.
- [11] Nyerere, J.K., 1968. *Ujamaa: Essays on Socialism*. Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK.
- [12] Awolowo, O., 1968. *The People's Republic*. Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK.
- [13] Azikiwe, N., 1980. *Ideology for Nigeria: Capitalism, Socialism, or Welfarism?* Macmillan Nigeria: Lagos, Nigeria.
- [14] Kaunda, K.D., Morris, C. (eds.), 1966. *A Humanist in Africa: Letters to Colin M. Morris from Kenneth D. Kaunda*, 2nd ed. Longmans: London, UK.
- [15] Senghor, L.S., 1964. *On African Socialism*. Cook, M. (Trans.). Frederick A. Praeger: New York, NY, USA.
- [16] Hountondji, P.J., 1997b. *African Philosophy: Myth and Reality*. Indiana University Press: Bloomington, IN, USA.
- [17] Jell-Bahlsen, S., 2004. Continuing the quest of dialectical anthropology in the 21st century: Reflections on the Igbo of Nigeria. *Dialectical Anthropology*. 28(1), 71–90. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10624-004-2761-y>
- [18] Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o, 1986. *Decolonising the Mind: The Politics of Language in African Literature*. Heinemann: London, UK.
- [19] Fanon, F., 1967. *Black Skin, White Masks*, C.L. Markmann, Trans. Grove Press: New York, NY, USA.
- [20] Spivak, G.C., 1988. Can the subaltern speak? In: Nelson, C., Grossberg, L. (eds.). *Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture*. University of Illinois Press: Urbana, IL, USA. pp. 271–313.
- [21] Nkrumah, K., 1964. *Consciencism: Philosophy and*

- Ideology for De-colonization. Heinemann: London, UK.
- [22] Taiwo, O., 2014. *Africa Must Be Modern: A Manifesto*. Indiana University Press: Bloomington, IN, USA.
- [23] Tylor, E.B., 1871. *Primitive Culture*. John Murray: London, UK.
- [24] Geertz, C., 1973. *The Interpretation of Cultures*. Basic Books: New York, NY, USA.
- [25] Williams, R., 1981. *Culture*. Fontana Press: Glasgow, UK.
- [26] Achebe, C., 1960. *No Longer at Ease*. Heinemann: London, UK.
- [27] Achebe, C., 1964. *Arrow of God*. Heinemann: London, UK.
- [28] Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o, 1965. *The River Between*. Heinemann: London, UK.
- [29] Amadi, E., 1966. *The Concubine*. Heinemann: London, UK.
- [30] Emecheta, B., 1979. *The Joys of Motherhood*. Heinemann: London, UK.
- [31] Mudimbe V. Y., 1988. *The Invention of Africa: Gnosis, Philosophy, and the Order of Knowledge*. Indiana University Press: Bloomington, USA.
- [32] Fanon, F., 1963. *The Wretched of the Earth*. Grove Press: New York, NY, USA.
- [33] Táíwò, O., 2022. *Against Decolonisation: Taking African Agency Seriously*. Hurst Publishers: London, UK.
- [34] Eboh, M.P., 2023. Building Africa's noble future on African philosophy. In: Eboh, M.P., Elechi, M. (eds.). *Anthology of Authentic African Philosophy*. Bereko Multinational Limited: Enugu, Nigeria.
- [35] Agbakoba, J.C.A., 2019. *Development and Modernity in Africa: An Intercultural Philosophical Perspective*. Rüdiger Köppe Verlag: Köln, Germany.
- [36] Ndlovu-Gatsheni, S.J., 2020. *Decolonization, Development and Knowledge in Africa: Turning Over a New Leaf*. Routledge: London, UK.
- [37] Stein, S., Andreotti, V., Souza, L.M., et al., 2020. Who decides? In whose name? For whose benefit? Decoloniality and its discontents. *On Education. Journal for Research and Debate*. 3(7).
- [38] Mbembe, A., 2019. *Necropolitics*. Corcoran, S. (Trans.). Duke University Press: Durham, NC, USA.
- [39] Radebe, Z. & Maldonado-Torres, N., 2023. *Combative Decoloniality and the BlackHouse Paradigm of Knowledge, Creation and Action*. In K. Schramm & S.J. Ndlovu-Gatsheni (eds.), *Knowing – Unknowing: African Studies at the Crossroads*, pp. 281–306. Leiden: Brill.
- [40] Mignolo, W.D., Walsh, C.E., 2018. *On Decoloniality: Concepts, Analytics, Praxis*. Duke University Press: Durham, NC, USA.
- [41] Afolayan, A., 2023. On critical African philosophy: Mapping the boundaries of a good philosophical tradition. *Metaphilosophy*. 54(2–3), 223–237. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/meta.12610>
- [42] Eboh, M.P., 1996. *Philosophical Essays: Critique of Social Praxis*. Paragraphics: Port Harcourt, Nigeria.
- [43] Agbakoba, J.C.A., 2022. Interculturality, heterosis and trans-colonization: An African philosophical perspective. *Filosofie & Praktijk*. 43(3/4), 34–48. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5117/FEP2022.3/4.003.AGBA> Available at <https://www.aup-online.com/content/journals/10.5117/FEP2022.3/4.003.AGBA>
- [44] Castro-Klarén, S., 2019. On Decoloniality. *Concepts, Analytics, Praxis* by Walter D. Mignolo and Catherine E. Walsh. *MLN*. 134(2), 512–519. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1353/mln.2019.0034>
- [45] Fricker, M., 2007. *Epistemic Injustice: Power and the Ethics of Knowing*. Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK.
- [46] Ndlovu-Gatsheni, S.J., 2013. *Empire, Global Coloniality and African Subjectivity*, 1st ed. Berghahn Books: New York, NY, USA.
- [47] Ndlovu-Gatsheni, S.J., 2018. *Epistemic Freedom in Africa: Deprovincialization and Decolonization*, 1st ed. Routledge: London, UK.
- [48] Eboh, M.P., 2019. *Philosophy in an age of crisis: Challenges and prospects*. *Dialogue and Universalism*. (3), 113–123.
- [49] Mignolo, W., 2011. *The Darker Side of Western Modernity: Global Futures, Decolonial Options*. Duke University Press: Durham, NC, USA.