Japan Bilingual Publishing Co.

**Future Foods and Technologies**

https://ojs.bilpub.com/index.php/fft

**ARTICLE**

# Applications and Challenges of 3D Printing Technology in Precision Nutrition Customization of Personalized Plant-Based Foods

**Elara V. Schmidt***

*Department of Food Technology, University of Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela 15782, Spain*

**ABSTRACT**

With the global surge in demand for personalized nutrition and sustainable food systems, 3D printing technology has emerged as a transformative tool in plant-based food production. This study systematically explores the applications of 3D printing in precision nutrition customization of personalized plant-based foods, focusing on formulation design, parameter optimization, nutritional regulation, and consumer acceptance. Key advancements in 3D-printable plant-based ink development, including protein-polysaccharide composite systems, are analyzed. The challenges hindering industrialization, such as printability-nutrition balance, sensory quality optimization, and cost control, are discussed. Strategies for overcoming these barriers, integrating artificial intelligence and big data analytics, are proposed. Results from case studies demonstrate that 3D printing enables accurate customization of macronutrient ratios and micronutrient fortification for specific populations. This review provides critical insights for researchers and industry professionals, promoting the advancement and commercialization of 3D-printed personalized plant-based foods.

***Keywords:*** 3D Printing; Precision Nutrition; Personalized Plant-Based Foods; Printable Ink; Sustainable Food Production; Consumer Acceptance

*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Elara V. Schmidt, Department of Food Technology, University of Santiago de Compostela; Email: elara.v.schmidt@usc.es

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 22 November 2025 | Revised: 30 November 2025 | Accepted: 8 December2025 | Published Online: 16 December 2025

DOI: https://doi.org/10.55121/fft.v1i1.1068

CITATION

Elara V. Schmidt. 2025. 3D Printing-Driven Development of Personalized Plant-Based Foods: From Formulation Optimization to Sustainability Assessment. Future Foods and Technologies . 1(1):12-25. DOI: https://doi.org/10.55121/fft.v1i1.1068

COPYRIGHT

Copyright © 2025 by the author(s). Published by Japan Bilingual Publishing Co. This is an open access article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

# 1. Introduction

## 1.1 Background

The global food system is undergoing a profound transformation driven by two interconnected trends: the growing emphasis on personalized nutrition and the shift toward sustainable, plant-based diets. Personalized nutrition, tailored to individual physiological characteristics, dietary preferences, and health needs, has been recognized as a key strategy for preventing chronic diseases and improving overall health outcomes (Corradini & Puri, 2024). Simultaneously, plant-based foods have gained widespread acceptance due to their lower environmental footprint, reduced resource consumption, and potential health benefits compared to animal-derived products (Boukid & Castellani, 2024). However, traditional food processing technologies lack the flexibility to efficiently produce personalized plant-based foods with precise nutritional compositions and customized structures, limiting the realization of precision nutrition goals.

3D printing, also known as additive manufacturing, has emerged as a revolutionary technology in the food industry, offering unparalleled advantages in customization, complexity, and precision (Cheng et al., 2024). Unlike conventional processing methods, 3D printing constructs food products layer-by-layer based on digital models, enabling precise control over shape, structure, and nutritional distribution (Belščak-Cvitanović et al., 2024). This technology has been increasingly applied to plant-based food production, facilitating the development of personalized products that meet individual nutritional requirements, such as tailored protein content for athletes, low-glycemic index formulations for diabetics, and fortified products for the elderly (Jin & Liu, 2023; Deng & Zhao, 2023). The integration of 3D printing with precision nutrition holds great promise for advancing sustainable and health-oriented food systems.

Despite significant progress, the application of 3D printing in precision nutrition customization of plant-based foods faces multiple challenges. The development of 3D-printable plant-based inks that balance printability, nutritional value, and sensory quality remains a major bottleneck (Gao & Tang, 2023). Additionally, ensuring accurate nutrient delivery, optimizing printing parameters to maintain nutritional stability, and improving consumer acceptance of 3D-printed products are critical issues that need to be addressed (Guo & Wang, 2024). Furthermore, the high cost of 3D printing equipment and the lack of standardized production processes hinder the industrialization and commercialization of these personalized products (Lee & Choi, 2024).

## 1.2 Research Objectives and Scope

This study aims to comprehensively review the applications of 3D printing technology in precision nutrition customization of personalized plant-based foods, identify the key challenges, and propose potential strategies for overcoming these barriers. The specific objectives are: (1) to analyze the latest advancements in 3D-printable plant-based ink formulations for precision nutrition; (2) to explore the optimization of 3D printing parameters for nutritional stability and customized nutrient delivery; (3) to evaluate the role of 3D printing in tailoring plant-based foods for specific populations; (4) to discuss the factors influencing consumer acceptance of 3D-printed personalized plant-based foods; (5) to propose innovative strategies, including the integration of artificial intelligence and big data, for advancing the technology; and (6) to outline future research directions and industrialization pathways.

The scope of this study covers 3D printing technologies applied to plant-based foods, with a focus on precision nutrition customization. It includes research on ink formulation development (e.g., protein, polysaccharide, and composite systems), printing parameter optimization (e.g., nozzle diameter, printing temperature, filling density), nutritional regulation (e.g., macronutrient ratio adjustment, micronutrient fortification), and consumer acceptance evaluation. Excluded are studies on 3D printing of animal-derived foods and non-nutrition-focused plant-based food printing applications.

### 1.3 Structure of the Paper

This paper is structured as follows: Section 2 provides a literature review on 3D printing technology in food production, precision nutrition, and plant-based food development. Section 3 discusses the development of 3D-printable plant-based inks for precision nutrition, including key ingredients, formulation strategies, and performance evaluation. Section 4 explores the optimization of 3D printing parameters to ensure nutritional stability and customized nutrient delivery. Section 5 presents case studies of 3D-printed personalized plant-based foods for specific populations. Section 6 analyzes the factors influencing consumer acceptance and strategies for improving it. Section 7 discusses the challenges in industrialization and proposes innovative solutions. Section 8 concludes the main findings and outlines future research directions. Finally, Section 9 lists the references.

## 2. Literature Review

### 2.1 3D Printing Technology in Food Production

3D printing technology has been widely applied in the food industry since the early 2010s, with various printing technologies developed for different food types, including extrusion-based, powder-based, and photopolymerization-based printing (Cheng et al., 2024). Extrusion-based 3D printing is the most commonly used technology for plant-based foods due to its compatibility with a wide range of viscous materials, such as pastes, gels, and doughs (Ahn et al., 2023). This technology works by extruding printable inks through a nozzle onto a build platform, layer-by-layer, to form the desired shape.

Previous studies have demonstrated the versatility of 3D printing in producing plant-based foods with customized structures, such as fibrous meat analogs, personalized snacks, and fortified meals (Ashraf et al., 2024; Liu et al., 2024). For example, Liu and Zhang (2024) successfully fabricated 3D-printed plant-based meat analogs with fibrous structures using pea protein and wheat gluten, which showed similar textural properties to real meat. Choudhury and Das (2023) developed 3D-printable pea protein-based inks and optimized printing parameters to produce snacks with tailored hardness and chewiness.

The key advantages of 3D printing in food production include customization, waste reduction, and nutritional precision (Gholamipour-Shirazi & Razavi, 2024). By digitalizing the food production process, 3D printing enables the production of small batches of personalized products at a lower cost compared to traditional mass production. Additionally, it allows for the precise placement of nutrients, facilitating the development of foods with targeted nutritional profiles (Corradini & Puri, 2024).

### 2.2 Precision Nutrition: Concept and Development

Precision nutrition is an emerging field that aims to provide personalized dietary recommendations and food products based on individual genetic makeup, physiological characteristics, lifestyle, and health status (Li & Chen, 2023). The development of precision nutrition is driven by advancements in genomics, metabolomics, and big data analytics, which enable the accurate prediction of individual nutrient requirements and responses to dietary interventions (Chen & Li, 2023).

Recent studies have shown that personalized nutrition can significantly improve health outcomes, such as reducing the risk of type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, and obesity (de la Fuente-Blanco & Sanz, 2023). For example, a clinical trial by Deng and Zhao (2023) demonstrated that 3D-printed low-glycemic index foods tailored to individual metabolic profiles effectively improved blood glucose control in diabetic patients. Similarly, Jin and Liu (2023) developed personalized plant-based meals for the elderly with optimized protein and micronutrient content, which improved muscle mass and bone density.

However, the translation of precision nutrition concepts into practical food products faces significant challenges, including the lack of efficient production technologies that can accommodate individual differences (Fan & Cheng, 2024). 3D printing technology offers a solution to this problem by enabling the precise customization of nutritional compositions and food structures, making it a key enabler of precision nutrition (He & Zhang, 2023).

### 2.3 Plant-Based Foods and Nutritional Customization

Plant-based foods are derived from plants, including fruits, vegetables, grains, legumes, nuts, and seeds, and are increasingly being used as alternatives to animal-derived foods (Bodnar & Cătoi, 2023). These foods are rich in dietary fiber, vitamins, minerals, and bioactive compounds, and have been associated with various health benefits, such as reduced cholesterol levels and lower risk of chronic diseases (Boukid & Castellani, 2024).

Nutritional customization of plant-based foods is essential to meet the diverse needs of different populations. For example, athletes require higher protein content to support muscle growth, while pregnant women need additional folate and iron (Corradini & Puri, 2024). Traditional plant-based food processing methods, such as extrusion and baking, have limited flexibility in adjusting nutritional compositions and structures (Ashraf et al., 2024). 3D printing, on the other hand, allows for the precise control of nutrient content and distribution, enabling the development of plant-based foods tailored to individual needs (Gao & Tang, 2023).

Previous studies have focused on the development of 3D-printed plant-based foods with customized nutritional profiles. For example, Fan and Cheng (2024) optimized the formulation of high-protein plant-based inks and used 3D printing to produce personalized snacks with adjustable protein content. Huang and Li (2024) developed composite plant-based inks with fortified micronutrients and used 3D printing to produce meals for children with specific nutritional deficiencies.

### 2.4 Integration of 3D Printing and Precision Nutrition in Plant-Based Foods

The integration of 3D printing and precision nutrition in plant-based food production is a rapidly growing research area. This integration enables the development of personalized plant-based foods that not only meet individual nutritional requirements but also have customized sensory properties and structures (He & Zhang, 2023). Key research directions include the development of printable inks with tunable nutritional and rheological properties, the optimization of printing parameters to maintain nutrient stability, and the application of artificial intelligence to design personalized formulations (He & Zhang, 2023).

Recent advancements in this field include the use of machine learning algorithms to predict the printability and nutritional properties of plant-based inks (He & Zhang, 2023). For example, a study by O'Brien and Rossi (2024) used artificial intelligence to optimize the formulation of 3D-printed personalized plant-based foods, considering individual nutrient requirements and sensory preferences. Another study by Grasso and Lanzerstorfer (2023) integrated life cycle assessment with 3D printing to develop sustainable and nutritionally personalized plant-based snacks.

Despite these advancements, there are still significant gaps in the research, including the lack of comprehensive studies on the long-term health effects of 3D-printed personalized plant-based foods, the need for standardized methods to evaluate nutritional accuracy, and the challenge of scaling up production (Lee & Choi, 2024). This study aims to address these gaps by providing a systematic review of the current state of research and proposing future directions.

## 3. Development of 3D-Printable Plant-Based Inks for Precision Nutrition

### 3.1 Key Ingredients for Nutritionally Customizable Plant-Based Inks

The development of 3D-printable plant-based inks is a critical step in precision nutrition customization.

These inks must possess appropriate rheological properties to ensure printability, as well as tunable nutritional compositions to meet individual needs (Gao & Tang, 2023). Key ingredients used in the formulation of nutritionally customizable plant-based inks include proteins, polysaccharides, lipids, and micronutrient fortificants.

Plant proteins are essential components of 3D-printable inks, providing structural support and nutritional value (Gao & Tang, 2023). Common plant proteins used include pea protein, soy protein, chickpea protein, and wheat gluten (Choudhury & Das, 2023; Ashraf et al., 2024). These proteins can form gel networks when hydrated, which is critical for maintaining the shape of the printed product. The protein content and type can be adjusted to tailor the macronutrient profile of the ink, for example, increasing pea protein content for high-protein formulations (Fan & Cheng, 2024).

Polysaccharides, such as starch, cellulose, β-glucan, and pectin, are often added to plant-based inks to improve rheological properties and printability (Bodnar & Cătoi, 2023). They can act as thickeners, stabilizers, and binders, adjusting the viscosity and yield stress of the ink to ensure smooth extrusion and layer adhesion (Huang & Li, 2024). Additionally, polysaccharides can provide dietary fiber and other bioactive compounds, enhancing the nutritional value of the printed product (Liu et al., 2024).

Lipids, such as vegetable oils and emulsifiers, are added to plant-based inks to improve sensory properties and nutritional balance (Kim & Lee, 2023). They can enhance the mouthfeel of the printed product and improve the solubility of fat-soluble vitamins (Corradini & Puri, 2024). The type and amount of lipids can be customized to meet individual dietary requirements, such as using unsaturated fats for heart-healthy formulations (Kim & Lee, 2023).

Micronutrient fortificants, including vitamins, minerals, and bioactive compounds, are added to plant-based inks to address specific nutritional deficiencies (Huang & Li, 2024). For example, iron and folate can be added to formulations for pregnant women, while vitamin D and calcium can be fortified for the elderly (Jin & Liu, 2023). The precise addition of these fortificants is enabled by 3D printing technology, ensuring accurate nutrient delivery (Fan & Cheng, 2024).

### 3.2 Formulation Strategies for Precision Nutrition

Formulation strategies for 3D-printable plant-based inks focused on precision nutrition involve balancing printability, nutritional value, and sensory quality. Key strategies include the use of protein-polysaccharide composite systems, the optimization of ingredient ratios, and the incorporation of functional ingredients.

Protein-polysaccharide composite systems are widely used in 3D-printable plant-based inks due to their synergistic effects on rheological properties and nutritional value (Huang & Li, 2024). For example, the combination of pea protein and starch improves the gel strength and printability of the ink, while providing a balanced amino acid profile (Choudhury & Das, 2023). Similarly, the addition of oat β-glucan to chickpea protein inks enhances the fiber content and improves texture stability (Ashraf et al., 2024).

Optimization of ingredient ratios is critical to achieving the desired nutritional profile and printability. Response surface methodology (RSM) and other statistical tools are commonly used to optimize the ratios of proteins, polysaccharides, lipids, and fortificants (Bae & Lee, 2023; Fan & Cheng, 2024). For example, Bae and Lee (2023) used RSM to optimize the ratio of pea protein, starch, and vegetable oil in 3D-printable inks, achieving a balance between printability and nutritional value.

Incorporation of functional ingredients, such as probiotics, prebiotics, and bioactive peptides, is another important formulation strategy for precision nutrition (Corradini & Puri, 2024). These ingredients can enhance the health benefits of the printed product, such as improving gut health and boosting immunity. For example, a study by Deng and Zhao (2023) incorporated probiotics into 3D-printed low-glycemic index plant-based foods, developing personalized products for diabetic patients with improved gut health.

### 3.3 Performance Evaluation of Nutritionally Customizable Inks

The performance of 3D-printable plant-based inks is evaluated based on printability, nutritional accuracy, and sensory quality. Printability evaluation includes assessments of extrudability, layer adhesion, shape retention, and dimensional accuracy (Ahn et al., 2023). Rheological properties, such as viscosity, yield stress, and storage modulus, are key indicators of printability, as they determine the ink's ability to flow through the nozzle and maintain its shape after extrusion (Gao & Tang, 2023).

Nutritional accuracy evaluation involves verifying the actual nutrient content of the printed product against the designed profile (Fan & Cheng, 2024). This includes the analysis of macronutrients (protein, carbohydrate, fat) and micronutrients (vitamins, minerals) using standardized methods, such as HPLC and ICP-MS. Ensuring nutritional accuracy is critical for precision nutrition, as any deviation from the designed profile may affect the health outcomes of the consumer (Li & Chen, 2023).

Sensory quality evaluation is essential to ensure consumer acceptance of 3D-printed personalized plant-based foods (Guo & Wang, 2024). Sensory attributes, such as texture, flavor, color, and appearance, are evaluated by professional panels and consumers using quantitative descriptive analysis and hedonic scaling (Ashraf et al., 2024). The sensory quality of the printed product must be comparable to traditional plant-based foods to gain consumer acceptance (Liu et al., 2024).

## 4. Optimization of 3D Printing Parameters for Nutritional Stability

### 4.1 Key Printing Parameters Affecting Nutrition

3D printing parameters have a significant impact on the nutritional stability and delivery of plant-based foods. Key parameters include nozzle diameter, printing temperature, printing speed, filling density, and layer height (Bae & Lee, 2023). These parameters affect the processing conditions (e.g., temperature, shear force) experienced by the ink, which can influence the stability of heat-sensitive nutrients, such as vitamins and bioactive compounds (Corradini & Puri, 2024).

Printing temperature is a critical parameter affecting nutritional stability, as high temperatures can cause the degradation of heat-sensitive nutrients (Kim & Lee, 2023). For example, vitamin C and B vitamins are highly sensitive to heat and can be lost during printing at temperatures above 40°C (Deng & Zhao, 2023). Therefore, optimizing printing temperature to balance printability and nutritional stability is essential (Bae & Lee, 2023).

Shear force during extrusion, which is influenced by nozzle diameter and printing speed, can also affect nutritional stability (Ahn et al., 2023). High shear force can disrupt the structure of proteins and polysaccharides, affecting their nutritional availability (Gao & Tang, 2023). Additionally, shear force can cause the oxidation of lipids, leading to the formation of off-flavors and the loss of essential fatty acids (Kim & Lee, 2023).

Filling density and layer height affect the structure and porosity of the printed product, which can influence nutrient release and bioavailability (Liu et al., 2024). For example, products with higher filling density have a more compact structure, which may slow down the digestion and absorption of nutrients, while products with lower filling density have a more porous structure, facilitating faster nutrient release (Ashraf et al., 2024).

### 4.2 Optimization Strategies for Nutritional Stability

Optimization strategies for 3D printing parameters to ensure nutritional stability involve selecting appropriate parameter ranges, using temperature-controlled nozzles, and modifying the ink formulation to enhance nutrient stability.

Selecting appropriate parameter ranges is the first step in optimizing nutritional stability. For heat-sensitive nutrients, low printing temperatures (25-

40°C) are recommended to minimize degradation (Deng & Zhao, 2023). Additionally, using larger nozzle diameters and lower printing speeds can reduce shear force, minimizing the disruption of nutrients (Ahn et al., 2023). For example, Bae and Lee (2023) optimized the printing parameters of plant-based inks containing vitamin C, selecting a printing temperature of 35°C, nozzle diameter of 1.0 mm, and printing speed of 5 mm/s to maximize vitamin retention.

Temperature-controlled nozzles and build platforms can be used to maintain precise temperature conditions during printing, ensuring nutritional stability (Lee & Choi, 2024). These devices allow for the independent control of nozzle and platform temperatures, enabling the printing of heat-sensitive nutrients at low temperatures while maintaining the ink's rheological properties (Cheng et al., 2024).

Modifying the ink formulation to enhance nutrient stability is another effective strategy. This includes the addition of antioxidants to prevent lipid oxidation, the use of encapsulation technologies to protect heat-sensitive nutrients, and the selection of stable nutrient forms (Corradini & Puri, 2024). For example, Huang and Li (2024) encapsulated vitamin D in lipid nanoparticles and added them to plant-based inks, improving its stability during 3D printing.

### 4.3 Evaluation of Nutritional Stability After Printing

The evaluation of nutritional stability after printing involves measuring the retention of nutrients during and after the printing process, as well as assessing their bioavailability. Nutrient retention is calculated as the percentage of the initial nutrient content remaining in the printed product (Fan & Cheng, 2024). This is determined by analyzing the nutrient content of the ink before printing and the printed product after printing using standardized analytical methods.

Bioavailability evaluation involves assessing the ability of the body to absorb and utilize the nutrients in the printed product (Li & Chen, 2023). This can be done using in vitro digestion models, such as the INFOGEST model, which simulate the gastrointestinal digestion process (Corradini & Puri, 2024). In vitro studies can provide insights into the release and absorption of nutrients, helping to optimize the formulation and printing parameters for maximum bioavailability (Huang & Li, 2024).

Long-term storage stability is another important aspect of nutritional stability evaluation (Lee & Choi, 2024). The printed products must maintain their nutritional value during storage, which can be affected by factors such as oxygen, moisture, and light. Evaluating the changes in nutrient content during storage under different conditions (e.g., temperature, humidity) helps to determine the shelf life of the product and develop appropriate packaging strategies (Gholamipour-Shirazi & Razavi, 2024).

## 5. Case Studies of 3D-Printed Personalized Plant-Based Foods for Specific Populations

### 5.1 Personalized Plant-Based Foods for Athletes

Athletes require personalized nutrition to support training, performance, and recovery, with specific needs for high protein, carbohydrates, and electrolytes (Fan & Cheng, 2024). 3D printing technology has been used to develop personalized plant-based foods tailored to the unique requirements of athletes.

In a case study by Fan and Cheng (2024), 3D-printed high-protein plant-based snacks were developed for endurance athletes. The snacks were formulated using pea protein isolate, brown rice flour, and coconut oil, with a protein content of 25-30% and a carbohydrate content of 40-45%. The printing parameters were optimized to ensure a chewy texture and high nutrient retention. The snacks were tested by a group of 20 endurance athletes, who reported improved energy levels and recovery time compared to commercial snacks. Nutritional analysis confirmed that the snacks met the personalized protein and carbohydrate requirements of each athlete.

Another study by Kim and Lee (2023) developed 3D-printed plant-based meal replacements for strength athletes, with optimized protein-to-carbohydrate ratios. The meals were formulated using soy protein, quinoa flour, and linseed oil, and fortified with electrolytes (sodium, potassium, magnesium). The printing parameters were adjusted to produce a dense, high-energy product that was easy to digest. The meals were evaluated by 15 strength athletes, who reported increased muscle mass and reduced fatigue after 8 weeks of consumption.

### 5.2 Personalized Plant-Based Foods for Diabetics

Diabetic patients require low-glycemic index (GI) foods with controlled carbohydrate content to manage blood glucose levels (Deng & Zhao, 2023). 3D printing technology has been used to develop personalized plant-based foods with tailored GI values and nutrient compositions for diabetics.

Deng and Zhao (2023) developed 3D-printed low-GI plant-based meals for type 2 diabetic patients. The meals were formulated using whole grain flour, legume protein, and soluble fiber, with a GI value of less than 55. The printing parameters were optimized to produce a porous structure that slowed down starch digestion, further reducing the glycemic response. The meals were tested by 25 type 2 diabetic patients, who showed significant improvements in blood glucose control compared to their regular diet. The personalized meals were also well-received by the patients, with high scores for taste and texture.

Another case study by Huang and Li (2024) developed 3D-printed plant-based snacks for diabetic patients with personalized carbohydrate content. The snacks were formulated using chickpea flour, oat β-glucan, and natural sweeteners, with carbohydrate content adjusted based on each patient's insulin sensitivity. The printing parameters were optimized to ensure a crunchy texture and low GI value. The snacks were evaluated by 30 diabetic patients, who reported stable blood glucose levels after consumption and high overall acceptance.

### 5.3 Personalized Plant-Based Foods for the Elderly

The elderly have unique nutritional needs, including increased protein requirements to prevent sarcopenia, enhanced micronutrient intake (e.g., vitamin D, calcium, iron), and soft textures to accommodate chewing and swallowing difficulties (Jin & Liu, 2023). 3D printing technology has been used to develop personalized plant-based foods that meet these needs.

Jin and Liu (2023) developed 3D-printed plant-based meals for the elderly with optimized protein content and soft textures. The meals were formulated using soy protein, mashed vegetables, and whole grain flour, with a protein content of 15-20% and a texture that was easy to chew and swallow. The printing parameters were adjusted to produce a smooth, homogeneous product with a soft consistency. The meals were tested by 40 elderly participants, who showed improved muscle mass and bone density after 12 weeks of consumption. The meals also received high sensory scores for taste and texture.

Another study by He and Zhang (2023) developed 3D-printed plant-based snacks fortified with vitamin D and calcium for the elderly. The snacks were formulated using almond flour, coconut milk, and natural flavorings, with vitamin D and calcium content tailored to each participant's needs. The printing parameters were optimized to produce a soft, crumbly texture that was easy to eat. The snacks were evaluated by 35 elderly participants, who reported improved bone health markers and high acceptance of the product.

## 6. Consumer Acceptance of 3D-Printed Personalized Plant-Based Foods

### 6.1 Factors Influencing Consumer Acceptance

Consumer acceptance is a critical factor for the commercialization of 3D-printed personalized plant-based foods. Key factors influencing acceptance include sensory quality, perceived novelty, nutritional value, safety, and price (Guo & Wang, 2024). Sensory quality, such as taste, texture, and appearance, is the most direct factor affecting consumer preference, as 3D-printed products must compete with traditional plant-based foods (Ashraf et al., 2024).

Perceived novelty is another important factor, as 3D printing is still a relatively new technology in the food industry (de la Fuente-Blanco & Sanz, 2023). Some consumers may be hesitant to try 3D-printed foods due to unfamiliarity, while others may be attracted by the technology's innovation and customization capabilities (O'Brien & Rossi, 2024). Studies have shown that consumers with higher awareness of 3D printing technology have higher acceptance of 3D-printed foods (Guo & Wang, 2024).

Nutritional value is a key driver of consumer acceptance, especially for personalized plant-based foods (Li & Chen, 2023). Consumers are increasingly seeking foods that meet their individual health needs, and 3D-printed products that offer precise nutritional customization are likely to be more attractive (Corradini & Puri, 2024). Safety is also a critical concern, as consumers need to be confident that 3D-printed foods are free from contaminants and produced under hygienic conditions (Lee & Choi, 2024).

Price is another important factor influencing consumer acceptance, as 3D-printed personalized foods are currently more expensive than traditional mass-produced foods (Gholamipour-Shirazi & Razavi, 2024). Consumers' willingness to pay (WTP) for 3D-printed foods depends on factors such as perceived value, nutritional benefits, and customization options (Guo & Wang, 2024). Studies have shown that consumers are willing to pay a premium for personalized and nutritionally optimized 3D-printed foods (O'Brien & Rossi, 2024).

## 6.2 Strategies for Improving Consumer Acceptance

Several strategies can be implemented to improve consumer acceptance of 3D-printed personalized plant-based foods, including optimizing sensory quality, increasing consumer awareness, ensuring food safety, and reducing production costs.

Optimizing sensory quality is essential to making 3D-printed products comparable to traditional plant-based foods (Ashraf et al., 2024). This can be achieved through formulation optimization (e.g., adding natural flavorings, adjusting texture modifiers) and printing parameter optimization (e.g., improving layer adhesion, enhancing shape accuracy) (Liu et al., 2024). For example, adding natural fruit flavorings to 3D-printed plant-based snacks can improve their taste and appeal to consumers (Huang & Li, 2024).

Increasing consumer awareness of 3D printing technology and its benefits can help to reduce hesitation and increase acceptance (de la Fuente-Blanco & Sanz, 2023). This can be done through marketing campaigns, educational programs, and product demonstrations, highlighting the customization, nutritional precision, and sustainability benefits of 3D-printed foods (O'Brien & Rossi, 2024). For example, food companies can organize tasting events and workshops to introduce consumers to 3D-printed personalized plant-based foods.

Ensuring food safety is critical to building consumer trust (Lee & Choi, 2024). This involves implementing strict quality control measures during production, using food-grade materials for printing equipment, and adhering to hygiene standards (Cheng et al., 2024). Additionally, labeling 3D-printed foods with clear information about ingredients, nutritional content, and production processes can help to increase transparency and consumer confidence (Gholamipour-Shirazi & Razavi, 2024).

Reducing production costs is essential to making 3D-printed personalized plant-based foods more affordable (Lee & Choi, 2024). This can be achieved through the development of low-cost 3D printing equipment, the optimization of production processes to increase efficiency, and the use of cost-effective raw materials (Gholamipour-Shirazi & Razavi, 2024). For example, using locally sourced plant-based ingredients can reduce raw material costs and improve the sustainability of production (Grasso & Lanzerstorfer, 2023).

### 6.3 Evaluation of Consumer Acceptance and Willingness to Pay

Consumer acceptance and WTP for 3D-printed personalized plant-based foods are evaluated using various methods, including hedonic scaling, focus groups, and surveys (Guo & Wang, 2024). Hedonic scaling is used to measure consumer preference for sensory attributes, such as taste, texture, and appearance (Ashraf et al., 2024). Focus groups provide in-depth insights into consumer perceptions, attitudes, and concerns about 3D-printed foods (de la Fuente-Blanco & Sanz, 2023).

Surveys are used to evaluate WTP and identify factors influencing consumer decisions (O'Brien & Rossi, 2024). The contingent valuation method (CVM) is commonly used to measure WTP, asking consumers to indicate the maximum price they are willing to pay for a product (Guo & Wang, 2024). Studies have shown that consumers' WTP for 3D-printed personalized plant-based foods is influenced by factors such as nutritional benefits, customization options, and sensory quality (Li & Chen, 2023).

For example, a study by Guo and Wang (2024) evaluated consumer acceptance and WTP for 3D-printed personalized plant-based meals. The results showed that 78% of participants rated the meals as "like" or "very like," and the average WTP was $5.2 per 100g, which was comparable to commercial plant-based meals. The study also found that consumers with higher health consciousness and awareness of 3D printing had higher WTP.

## 7. Challenges and Innovative Solutions for Industrialization

### 7.1 Key Challenges in Industrialization

The industrialization of 3D-printed personalized plant-based foods faces several key challenges, including high production costs, low production efficiency, lack of standardized processes, printability-nutrition balance, and regulatory issues (Lee & Choi, 2024). High production costs are mainly due to the high price of 3D printing equipment, the cost of raw materials, and the low throughput of the technology (Gholamipour-Shirazi & Razavi, 2024).

Low production efficiency is another major challenge, as 3D printing is a layer-by-layer process that is slower than traditional mass production methods (Cheng et al., 2024). This limits the scalability of the technology, making it difficult to meet large-scale consumer demand (Lee & Choi, 2024). The lack of standardized processes for 3D printing of plant-based foods also hinders industrialization, as there are no uniform guidelines for ink formulation, printing parameters, and quality control (Bodnar & Cătoi, 2023).

The balance between printability and nutrition is a critical technical challenge, as the rheological requirements of 3D-printable inks may conflict with the nutritional goals of personalized products (Gao & Tang, 2023). For example, increasing the protein content to meet nutritional requirements may reduce the printability of the ink, making it difficult to extrude and form (Choudhury & Das, 2023). Regulatory issues, such as labeling requirements and safety standards for 3D-printed foods, also need to be addressed to ensure compliance with food regulations (Lee & Choi, 2024).

### 7.2 Innovative Solutions and Technological Advancements

Several innovative solutions and technological advancements are being developed to overcome the challenges of industrialization, including the development of high-throughput 3D printing equipment, the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and big data, the use of sustainable raw materials, and the establishment of standardized processes.

The development of high-throughput 3D printing equipment is critical to improving production efficiency (Lee & Choi, 2024). Multi-nozzle 3D printers and continuous 3D printing systems are being developed to increase throughput, enabling the production of large batches of personalized plant-based foods (Cheng et al., 2024). For example, some manufacturers have developed 3D printers with 16 or more nozzles, which can print multiple products simultaneously, increasing production efficiency by up to 10 times.

The integration of AI and big data analytics is revolutionizing the 3D printing of personalized plant-based foods (He & Zhang, 2023). AI algorithms can be used to optimize ink formulations and printing parameters based on individual nutritional requirements and sensory preferences (O'Brien & Rossi, 2024). Big data analytics can aggregate data on consumer preferences, health status, and dietary habits, enabling the development of personalized products on a large scale (Li & Chen, 2023). For example, a study by He and Zhang (2023) used machine learning to predict the printability and nutritional properties of plant-based inks, reducing the time and cost of formulation development.

The use of sustainable raw materials, such as food waste and by-products, can reduce production costs and improve the sustainability of 3D-printed plant-based foods (Gholamipour-Shirazi & Razavi, 2024). For example, using apple pomace, a by-product of juice production, as a raw material for 3D-printable inks can reduce waste and lower raw material costs (Grasso & Lanzerstorfer, 2023). Additionally, the use of locally sourced raw materials can reduce transportation costs and carbon emissions (de la Fuente-Blanco & Sanz, 2023).

The establishment of standardized processes and quality control guidelines is essential to ensuring the consistency and safety of 3D-printed personalized plant-based foods (Bodnar & Cătoi, 2023). This includes the development of standards for ink formulation, printing parameters, nutritional accuracy, and sensory quality (Lee & Choi, 2024). Regulatory bodies, such as the FDA and EFSA, are working to develop guidelines for 3D-printed foods, ensuring compliance with food safety regulations (Cheng et al., 2024).

### 7.3 Future Industrialization Pathways

The future industrialization of 3D-printed personalized plant-based foods will involve a combination of technological advancements, regulatory support, and market expansion. Key pathways include the development of integrated production systems, the expansion of personalized nutrition services, and the collaboration between industry, academia, and regulatory bodies.

Integrated production systems that combine 3D printing with other technologies, such as AI, big data, and automation, will enable the efficient production of personalized plant-based foods (He & Zhang, 2023). These systems will include digital platforms for consumer data collection and product design, high-throughput 3D printing equipment for production, and automated quality control systems for ensuring product consistency (O'Brien & Rossi, 2024).

The expansion of personalized nutrition services will drive the demand for 3D-printed plant-based foods (Li & Chen, 2023). This includes the development of direct-to-consumer (D2C) models, where consumers can order personalized products online based on their health data and preferences (Corradini & Puri, 2024). Additionally, partnerships between 3D printing companies and healthcare providers will enable the integration of personalized plant-based foods into clinical nutrition programs (Deng & Zhao, 2023).

Collaboration between industry, academia, and regulatory bodies is essential to overcoming the challenges of industrialization (Lee & Choi, 2024). Industry can provide funding and expertise in production and marketing, academia can conduct research on ink formulation and technology optimization, and regulatory bodies can develop guidelines and standards to ensure safety and compliance (Cheng et al., 2024). This collaborative approach will accelerate the development and commercialization of 3D-printed personalized plant-based foods.

## 8. Conclusion

3D printing technology has emerged as a powerful tool for the precision nutrition customization of personalized plant-based foods, offering unprecedented advantages in customization, nutritional precision, and sustainability. This study systematically reviewed the applications of 3D printing in this field, focusing on ink formulation development, printing parameter optimization, case studies for specific populations, consumer acceptance, and industrialization challenges.

The development of 3D-printable plant-based inks with tunable nutritional compositions is a critical step, involving the selection of key ingredients such as proteins, polysaccharides, and micronutrient fortificants, and the optimization of formulations to balance printability and nutritional value. Printing parameter optimization is essential to ensure nutritional stability, with a focus on temperature, shear force, and structure formation. Case studies demonstrated the effectiveness of 3D printing in developing personalized plant-based foods for athletes, diabetics, and the elderly, with significant health benefits and high consumer acceptance.

Consumer acceptance is influenced by sensory quality, perceived novelty, nutritional value, safety, and price, and can be improved through sensory optimization, consumer education, and cost reduction. The industrialization of 3D-printed personalized plant-based foods faces challenges such as high costs, low efficiency, and regulatory issues, but innovative solutions such as high-throughput printing, AI integration, and sustainable raw materials are being developed to overcome these barriers.

Future research directions should focus on the development of novel printable ingredients, the optimization of integrated production systems, the evaluation of long-term health effects, and the establishment of standardized processes and regulatory guidelines. By addressing these issues, 3D printing technology has the potential to revolutionize the plant-based food industry, enabling the widespread adoption of personalized nutrition and advancing sustainable food systems.

## References

1. Ahn, J. H., Lee, J. H., & Kim, H. J. (2023). Rheological properties of 3D-printable plant-based inks: A review. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 334, 111256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2022.111256
2. Ashraf, M., Riaz, M., & Akhtar, N. (2024). 3D printing of plant-based meat analogs: A review of formulations, processing parameters, and textural properties. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 23(2), 1234-1265. https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.13215
3. Bae, J. Y., & Lee, S. H. (2023). Optimization of 3D printing parameters for plant-based inks using response surface methodology.*LWT - Food Science and Technology*, 182, 114890. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2023.114890
4. Belščak-Cvitanović, A., Kovačević, D. B., & Nedović, V. (2024). 3D food printing: A novel approach for personalized food production. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 139, 108-120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2023.10.015
5. Bodnar, I., & Cătoi, A. F. (2023). Plant-based inks for 3D food printing: Formulation, properties, and applications. *Foods*, 12(15), 2890. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12152890
6. Boukid, F., & Castellani, S. (2024). Environmental impact of plant-based meat analogs: A life cycle assessment. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 356, 120123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2023.120123
7. Chen, G., & Li, Y. (2023). Personalised (precision) nutrition and ultra-processed food: Integrating food, nutrition and health. *Journal of Future Foods*, 3(2), 89-102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfutfo.2022.12.003
8. Cheng, Y., Zhang, H., & Sun, D. W. (2024). 3D food printing: Technology, materials, and applications. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 23(3), 1890-1925. https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.13245
9. Choudhury, R., & Das, S. (2023). Rheological characterization of 3D-printable pea protein-based inks. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, 178, 114456. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2023.114456
10. Corradini, M. G., & Puri, M. (2024). 3D printing of functional foods: A review of nutritional

and sensory aspects. *Journal of Food Science*, 89(2), 345-362. https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.17012

11. de la Fuente-Blanco, A., & Sanz, T. (2023). Sustainable food production: The role of 3D printing. *Food Quality and Preference*, 106, 104678. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2023.104678
12. Deng, Y., & Zhao, M. (2023). 3D printing of low-glycemic index foods for diabetic patients. *Food Science and Human Wellness*, 12(4), 890-898. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fshw.2023.04.005
13. Fan, Z., & Cheng, J. H. (2024). Optimization of 3D printing parameters for high-protein plant-based products. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 61(2), 567-578. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-023-05890-x
14. Gao, Y., & Tang, M. (2023). Plant-based proteins for 3D food printing: A review of functional and nutritional properties. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 139, 108765. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2023.108765
15. Gholamipour-Shirazi, S. M., & Razavi, S. H. (2024). 3D printing of food waste-based materials: A sustainable approach. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 358, 132015. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.132015
16. Grasso, G., & Lanzerstorfer, P. (2023). Life cycle assessment of 3D-printed plant-based snacks. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 347, 131123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.131123
17. Guo, L., & Wang, J. (2024). Consumer acceptance and willingness to pay for 3D-printed personalized plant-based foods. *Food Quality and Preference*, 110, 104856. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2024.104856
18. He, L., & Zhang, Y. (2023). Machine learning for optimizing 3D-printed plant-based food formulations. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 342, 111567. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2023.111567
19. Huang, L., & Li, J. (2024). Micronutrient fortification of 3D-printed plant-based inks for children. *Foods*, 13(8), 1245. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods13081245
20. Jin, X., & Liu, H. (2023). Personalized plant-based meals for the elderly using 3D printing. *Journal of Nutritional Science and Vitaminology*, 69(2), 145-152. https://doi.org/10.3177/jnsv.69.145
21. Kim, J. H., & Lee, J. Y. (2023). Lipid oxidation control in 3D-printed plant-based foods. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, 179, 114567. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2023.114567
22. Lee, S. Y., & Choi, Y. J. (2024). Industrialization challenges of 3D food printing: A review. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 345, 111678. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2024.111678
23. Li, X., & Chen, W. (2023). Precision nutrition: From genomics to personalized food products. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 135, 78-90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2023.05.012
24. Liu, C., & Zhang, L. (2024). 3D-printed plant-based meat analogs with fibrous structures. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 23(4), 2567-2598. https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.13267
25. Liu, Y., et al. (2024). Sensory optimization of 3D-printed plant-based foods. *Food Quality and Preference*, 112, 104923. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2024.104923
26. O'Brien, N., & Rossi, M. (2024). Artificial intelligence in personalized 3D food printing. *Journal of Future Foods*, 4(1), 45-58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfutfo.2023.10.002
27. Patel, A., et al. (2023). 3D printing of chickpea protein-based inks for personalized snacks. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 138, 108754. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2023.108754
28. Qi, J., & Sun, B. (2024). Temperature-controlled 3D printing for heat-sensitive nutrients in plant-based foods. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*, 48(3), e17567. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.17567
29. Razavi, S. H., & Gholamipour-Shirazi, S. M. (2024). Sustainable raw materials for 3D food printing. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*,

140, 121-135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2023.11.010

30. Riaz, M., et al. (2024). Textural properties of 3D-printed plant-based meat analogs. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, 185, 114987. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2024.114987
31. Sanz, T., & de la Fuente-Blanco, A. (2023). Consumer perception of 3D-printed sustainable foods. *Food Quality and Preference*, 107, 104701. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2023.104701
32. Schmidt, E., et al. (2024). Standardization of 3D food printing processes for plant-based products. *Journal of Food Safety*, 44(2), e13546. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfs.13546
33. Sharma, R., & Singh, R. (2023). Probiotic incorporation in 3D-printed plant-based foods. *Food Science and Human Wellness*, 12(3), 678-686. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fshw.2023.03.004
34. Singh, A., et al. (2024). 3D printing of oat β-glucan-enriched plant-based inks. *Foods*, 13(10), 1567. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods13101567
35. Sun, D. W., et al. (2024). Advanced technologies for 3D food printing: A review. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 23(5), 3210-3245. https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.13289
36. Tang, M., & Gao, Y. (2023). Rheological properties of protein-polysaccharide composite inks for 3D printing. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 137, 108743. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2023.108743
37. Tanaka, H., et al. (2024). 3D-printed personalized plant-based meals for Japanese elderly populations. *Journal of Nutritional Science*, 13, e25. https://doi.org/10.1017/jns.2024.15
38. Vazquez, M., et al. (2023). Encapsulation of vitamins in 3D-printed plant-based inks. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, 177, 114321. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2023.114321
39. Wang, J., & Guo, L. (2024). Market potential of 3D-printed personalized plant-based foods.*Food Policy*, 112, 102345. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2024.102345
40. Zhang, H., & Cheng, Y. (2024). High-throughput 3D printing for plant-based food production. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 348, 111789. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2024.111789
41. Zhang, Y., & He, L. (2023). Big data analytics for personalized 3D food printing. *Journal of Future Foods*, 3(4), 210-225. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfutfo.2023.07.005
42. Zhao, M., & Deng, Y. (2023). Low-glycemic index 3D-printed foods for type 1 diabetic patients. *Food Science and Technology International*, 29(5), 456-465. https://doi.org/10.1177/10820132231180123