Japan Bilingual Publishing Co.

Philosophy and Realistic Reflection
https://ojs.bilpub.com/index.php/prr

ARTICLE

Joy, Learning, and Emotional Intelligence: Confucian Wisdom in Dialogue with the Bible and Contemporary Social Science

Ann-Marie Hsiung

Department of Global Communications and Applied English, I-Shou University, Kaohsiung 84001, Taiwan

ABSTRACT

Confucian philosophy has shaped East Asia's moral, social and educational life and still offers resources for human growth, yet its relevance is often underestimated. This article re-examines three interlocking themes—joy (*le* 樂), learning (*xue* 學), and self-cultivation (*xiushen* 修身)—in conversation with the contemporary framework of emotional intelligence (EQ) in both educational and everyday contexts. Through close readings of the *Analects*, *Mencius*, the *Doctrine of the Mean*, and the *Great Learning*, this study sets classical insights alongside biblical passages that highlight joy, resilience, and relational harmony, as well as recent works in psychology and happiness studies. The comparison suggests that both Confucian and biblical traditions cultivate capacities now grouped under EQ—self-awareness, self-management, social awareness, and relationship management—while rooting them in purpose and community. Distinctive emphases emerge: Confucian texts stress attentional discipline and watchfulness (*shendu* 慎獨); biblical writings emphasize prevailing joy that steadies people in adversity. Read with research on emotional intelligence, growth mindset, grit, and well-being, these sources broaden current understandings by embedding EQ within ethical and, for many, spiritual frameworks. The article argues that bringing ancient wisdom into dialogue with contemporary social science can enrich modern education, organizational leadership, and intercultural engagement, offering a more holistic and resilient vision of human flourishing in an increasingly fragmented world.

Keywords: Confucian Philosophy; Self-Cultivation; New Testament; Emotional Intelligence; Resilience; Happiness Studies

*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:
Ann-Marie Hsiung, Department of Global Communications and Applied English, I-Shou University, Kaohsiung 84001, Taiwan; Email: hkhsiung@isu.edu.tw

ARTICLE INFO
Received: 27 December 2025 | Revised: 15 January 2026 | Accepted: 22 January 2026 | Published Online: 30 January 2026
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55121/prr.v3i1.749

CITATION
Hsiung, A.-M., 2026. Joy, Learning, and Emotional Intelligence: Confucian Wisdom in Dialogue with the Bible and Contemporary Social Science. Philosophy and Realistic Reflection. 3(1): 126–141. DOI: https://doi.org/10.55121/prr.v3i1.749

COPYRIGHT
Copyright © 2026 by the author(s). Published by Japan Bilingual Publishing Co. This is an open access article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0).

1. Introduction

Confucian thought has long steadied East Asia's moral and educational imagination. Even where institutions receded, a formative pattern has endured—joy (*le* 樂), learning (*xue* 學), ritual practice (*li* 禮), and ongoing self-cultivation (*xiushen* 修身). Read alongside biblical texts that have shaped global moral imaginaries, these sources still speak to today's questions of character, community, and well-being. This article brings them into disciplined conversation with contemporary research on emotional intelligence (hereafter EQ)—not to collapse categories, but to let each tradition illuminate, test, and sometimes correct the other.

Here, joy is not a passing mood but something cultivated in practice. In the Confucian corpus, it accompanies study, recollection, and ritual enactment; in biblical materials, it is participatory, arising from belonging to a people formed by God's presence and promise. The EQ literature is not monolithic: it includes ability-based approaches (performance measures of emotion perception and reasoning) and trait/mixed approaches (self-report dispositions or competencies). As these differ in tools and targets, claims are measure- and context-bound. Accordingly, the comparison is analogical rather than equative—mapping practices and ends (e.g., attentional discipline, watchfulness, ritual-shaped regulation, reciprocal regard) rather than one-to-one identities between ancient terms and modern subscales.

The thesis is twofold. First, classical sources offer a thick practical framework that overlaps with capacities tracked by EQ and, in specified settings, fosters well-being and prosocial life. Second, the same sources counterbalance technical approaches by ordering emotion toward virtue, harmony, and communion with God. What follows is a close study of the Four Books and selected biblical passages, read alongside social-scientific findings, to show how ancient wisdom and contemporary psychology can illuminate one another and suggest grounded practices for education and flourishing.

2. Comparative Framework and Textual Corpus

This study employs a comparative framework to examine three interrelated corpora: the Confucian classics, the Bible, and selected works in contemporary social science. It traces the motifs of joy, learning, and qualities associated with emotional intelligence (EQ) in the Confucian tradition, places them alongside cognate themes in Scripture, and then considers both in light of modern empirical research on emotional development and well-being.

2.1. Confucian Sources

The analysis focuses on the *Analects*, supplemented by the *Mencius*, the *Great Learning*, and the *Doctrine of the Mean*. Composed or compiled between roughly 550 and 221 BC, these four texts were later canonized as the Four Books [1], forming the intellectual core of the civil-service examinations in imperial China and, over centuries, shaping the moral vision and educational ideals of Chinese society as well as the wider East Asian world [2].

- **Confucius** (551–479 BC), revered as the"Supreme Sage and First Teacher"(*zhisheng xianshi* 至 聖 先 師), conceived of learning as an intrinsically joyful process, integral to moral cultivation and social harmony. The *Analects* (*Lunyu* 論語) preserves his conversations with disciples, exemplifying his commitments to self-refinement, ritual propriety (*li*) [3], and relational ethics. Dedicated to transmitting the values he believed surpassed the norms of his own time, he travelled among the kingdoms teaching and advocating personal morality and government by virtue [4]. Although he called himself a "transmitter" rather than an innovator, his influence on cultural pedagogy remains profound.
- **Mencius** (371–289 BC), widely regarded as Confucius's foremost intellectual heir, developed a more explicit account of innate human goodness and moral responsibility. The *Mencius* (*Mengzi* 孟子), a collection of his dialogues, presents learning as a process of personal formation that leads to benevolent government (*renzheng* 仁 政)—linking the moral integrity of the ruler to the well-being of the people (Mencius 1A7; 7B14) [5].
- **The *Great Learning*** (*Daxue* 大 學) and **the *Doctrine of the Mean*** (*Zhongyong* 中 庸), attributed respectively to Zengzi 曾 子 and Zisi (a disciple of Confucius and his grandson), further systematize

Confucian teaching on graded self-cultivation (*xiushen*), affective balance, and harmonized social order, aligning conduct with the Way (*dao* 道) [6].

At the heart of Confucian moral vision lies *ren* 仁 — variously rendered as humanity, love, benevolence, or human-heartedness. Confucius never claimed sagely status; his reverence for Heaven (天) reflects humility toward the transcendent, even as his philosophy remains rooted in this-worldly concerns. As will be shown in Section 3, the cultivation of joy through learning and ethical self-cultivation lies at the heart of Confucian moral philosophy [7].

2.2. Biblical Sources

This study engages three biblical groupings tied to joy, learning, and character formation: the wisdom tradition, the Gospels, and the Pauline letters.

- **Wisdom tradition** (Proverbs; selected Psalms). An ethical vision where affect, virtue, and practical judgment are braided. Reverence, teachability, and disciplined attention mark growth (Prov 1:5; 4:23; 16:32). Read with EQ, these patterns align with self-awareness and self-management while insisting emotions be directed toward the good.
- **The Gospels** (Matthew, Luke, John). Emotion and virtue are set within a communal and vocational calling. Jesus teaches by story and example; formation unfolds through apprenticeship and practiced habits—prayer, table-fellowship, reconciliation, service—rather than intrapsychic technique alone (Matt 5–7; Luke 6; John 13).
- **Pauline letter**s (esp. Philippians, Romans, Ephesians). Joy is presented as a durable orientation tied to identity, belonging, and hope (Phil 4:4; Rom 5:3–5), sustained within a Spirit-formed community (Gal 5:22–23).

To preserve focus, the corpus is limited to passages that recur on these themes. Scripture is cited in a standard modern English translation (NIV, 2011) [8].

2.3. Contemporary Social Science Sources

The third body of material comes from psychology and social science research on well-being, happiness, and emotional intelligence (EQ). In this study, these works function as conversation partners: they offer operational concepts and empirical findings that help clarify how emotions can be cultivated, directed, and sustained.

Drawing on earlier academic work by Mayer and Salovey, Daniel Goleman's *Emotional Intelligence* (1995) popularized EQ for a wide audience, emphasizing learnable capacities [9,10]. Later syntheses take a cautious view: they report small-to-moderate, context-sensitive links between EQ and outcomes such as adaptability, learning, and job performance, with patterns differing by instrument (ability-based vs. self-perceived emotional competence) [11–13]. Read alongside the classical materials here, EQ functions less as a master key and more as a set of practical levers—attention, regulation, empathy, and role-based reciprocity—that can be built into specified practices.

Beyond EQ, research on happiness and character formation widens the lens. Sonja Lyubomirsky's *The How of Happiness* (2008; rev. 2013) highlights intentional practices—gratitude, kindness, meaning-making—linked to well-being, while long-term work associated with the Harvard Study of Adult Development underscores the importance of enduring relationships for health and life satisfaction [14,15]. Related popular-level books (e.g., David Brooks; Arthur C. Brooks) are discussed later as interpretive companions rather than primary evidence. Taken together, these studies suggest that joy and resilience can be cultivated through disciplined habits, meaningful bonds, and durable virtues.

2.4. Methodological Considerations

This study reads across traditions with a light but explicit touch. Modern constructs—especially emotional intelligence (EQ)—are not projected onto classical terms; affinities are traced analogically (at the level of practices and ends), not as category equivalences. Key Chinese concepts appear at first mention as pinyin with characters—*ren* 仁 , *li* 禮 , *cheng* 誠 , *tian* 天 —and are later streamlined for readability (e.g., *ren*, *li*).

Selection is guided by recurrence and analytical weight: passages are included when they repeatedly bear on joy, learning, and formation; peripheral texts are set aside to keep the argument focused. For the Confucian corpus, citations use standard modern editions with En-

glish renderings taken primarily from **D. C. Lau** (*Analects*; *Mencius*) [5,7] and **James Legge** (*Great Learning*; *Doctrine of the Mean*) [16], checked against Wing-tsit Chan where wording nuances matter [3]; other translations are noted when used. For biblical materials, a standard modern English translation (**NIV, 2011**) serves as the base, with recognized scholarly tools consulted where lexical or contextual decisions shape interpretation. Scriptural citations follow the book–chapter–verse convention (e.g., Prov 1:5; Phil 4:4).

Because EQ research spans ability-based tests and self-report orcompetency inventories, claims here are treated as measure- and context-bound. Cross-domain links are framed in terms of practical mediating mechanisms (e.g., attention → recollection → practice → moderation). These choices acknowledge real limits—analogy can flatten differences, and translation or corpus boundaries can shape emphasis—yet they keep the comparison clear and usable, allowing classical insight and contemporary evidence to correct and enrich one another without collapsing into a single frame.

3. Bridging Joy and Learning in Confucian and Biblical Texts

Joy and learning are closely interwoven in both Confucian and Biblical traditions, forming not only a path to personal cultivation but also a basis for communal flourishing. Both traditions present learning as more than the acquisition of knowledge: it is a moral practice, and, in the biblical case, a spiritual one, generating joy, resilience, and harmony. The following subsections examine how this connection is articulated in Confucian classics and in Biblical writings, before turning to implications for contemporary discourse.

3.1. Confucian Joy and Learning

In Confucian philosophy, joy (*le*) is intimately linked to learning (*xue*), and both are integral to the cultivation of the *junzi* 君子 —the ideal personhood in Confucian philosophy, often rendered "gentleman," but better taken as "profound person" or "exemplary person," as Tu Wei-ming and others note [17,18]. Confucian virtues interrelate and converge in *ren* 仁 , described by David Hall and Roger Ames as "an achieved state of humanity… a qualitative transformation of person" [18]. *Junzi* thus embodies *ren* through lifelong learning, joined by the joy it engenders—the focus here.

The opening line of the *Analects* sets the tone: "Is it not a joy to learn and practice what you have learned at the proper time? Is it not a joy to have friends come from afar?" (1.1). Joyful learning here is an active, repeated engagement, aimed at moral refinement through habitual practice. It is also relational: the *junzi* gathers friends through culture (*wen* 文) and, with them, advances *ren* 仁 (12.24). Confucius stresses that learning is cumulative across the lifespan (2.4), shaping character and clarity of vision. Paired with reflective inquiry (*si* 思), Confucian learning engages the whole person—mind and body (*shenxin* 身心)—as seen in his "six arts" (*liuyi* 六藝) curriculum for his followers: ritual (*li*), music (*yue* 樂), archery, charioteering, writing, and calculation [18]. Learning thus entails both the transmission of human culture and the realization of virtue—*ren*—which is correlated to *zhi* 知 / 智 (realization/wisdom)—each accompanied by joy.

The *Analects* ranks joy as the highest stage of learning: "To be fond of it is better than merely to realize (*zhi*) it; to enjoy it is better than merely to be fond of it" (6.20; trans. Hall and Ames, p. 281) [18]. Here, realization is deepened by fondness and reaches its fullest expression in enjoyment. Contemporary educational research echoes this: the more one enjoys learning, the better one learns [19].

Confucian joy is both resilient and aesthetic, grounded in the realization of wisdom (*zhi*) and the practice of humanity (*ren*). Confucius praises Yan Hui for sustaining joy in poverty: "Admirable indeed was the virtue of Hui!... Living in his mean narrow lane, while others could not have endured the distress, Hui never lost his joy" (6.11). He likewise describes his own contentment in plain living: "With coarse rice to eat…, and my bent arm for a pillar—joy is to be found therein" (7.16). More explicitly linking joy to learning, he declares delight and self-forgetfulness: absorption in learning dispels worry and even the sense of aging (7.19). Joy here flows from within—unaffected by external circumstances—yet Confucius warns that without virtue (*ren*) one cannot long remain joyful nor endure hardship (4.2). Moreover, the Confucian *junzi* delights in nature, finding aesthetic resonance in water and mountains: "The wise find joy in water; the virtuous find joy in

mountains. … The wise are happy; the virtuous live long" (6.23). Water and mountain figure movement and stillness, resilience and steadiness—metaphors for sustaining values and dynamic transformation—qualities cultivated through joyful learning.

Zengzi embodies the union of joy and responsibility. As a faithful transmitter of Confucius' teaching, he is often remembered in later tradition as the compiler of *The Great Learning*. Zengzi practices daily self-examination (1.4)—whether he has been faithful (*zhong* 忠) to others, trustworthy in his dealings, and diligent in practicing what he has learned. At his deathbed, Zengzi summoned his disciples and testified that he had lived faithfully and dutifully, expressing serenity and fulfillment. Joy here is the fruit of perseverance and moral caution.

The Great Learning offer a stepwise model of cultivation in which sincerity of thought—*chengyi* 誠意—comes first, extending outward to ordering the family, governing the state, and harmonizing society. This suggests joy as the natural outcome of learning that nurtures personal integrity while contributing to social balance. This ideal is echoed in the *Doctrine of the Mean*, which declares "The *junzi* is at ease with himself in all circumstances" (14) [16]. This composure and inner joy are cultivated through *cheng* 誠 (sincerity), the genuine manifestation of human virtue, which, once fully developed, enables the development of virtue in others (*Zhongyong* 22).

Mencius takes up *cheng* as the wellspring of joy. He grounds joy in the realization of one's moral nature and links it explicitly to Heaven (*tian* 天). While Confucius acknowledges the working of Heaven (*Analects* 17.19), Mencius teaches the Heaven-endowed human nature as fundamentally good, containing the sprouts of humanity (*ren*), justice (*yi* 義), propriety (*li*), and wisdom (*zhi*); they are native and, when cultivated, appear as "radiant cheerfulness in the countenance" (7A.21). He declares: "There is no greater joy than, upon self-examination, to find oneself sincere (*cheng*) to one's nature. To act with steadfast empathy (*qiangshu* 強恕) comes closest to attaining *ren*" (*Mencius* 7A.4; author's adaptation based on D.C. Lau 2023). Joy thus arises from aligning with one's Heaven-given nature through reflection, sincerity, and benevolent action.

This relational joy unfolds in Mencius's "three joys": honoring one's parents, living at peace with one's brothers, and educating the worthy (*Mencius* 6A.4). Joy flows outward from inner sincerity (*cheng*) into family bonds, fraternal affection, and the education of others—at once personal and communal, and ultimately oriented toward the unity of Heaven and humankind (*tianren heyi* 天人合一). As John C. H. Wu observes, this foundation situates Confucian humanism not only in ethical cultivation but also in a spiritual orientation: true joy lies in living authentically according to the moral nature bestowed by Heaven [20]. Mencius thus extends Confucius' insight by locating joy simultaneously in self-cultivation, relational harmony, and cosmic alignment, rendering it both ethical and transcendental.

These classic passages portray Confucian joy as an enduring state grounded in continuous learning, moral sincerity, and the harmonious extension of virtue from the inner self to the outer world. In short, Confucius locates joy in study and virtue; Mencius deepens it through sincerity, relationship, and cosmic attunement. Read this way, Confucian joy also resonates with biblical calls to repentance, renewal, and a life steadied by joy under God's reign (refer **Table 1**).

Before turning to the next section, a few waypoints will clarify the comparison. Confucian philosophy affirms the goodness of human nature (*Mencius* 6A.6), roughly paralleling the biblical claim that humans are made in God's image (Genesis 1:27); yet Scripture stresses humanity's fallen condition (Genesis 3), hence the need for redemption in Christ. Confucius seeks to renew the prior Zhou order—often invoking Zhou Gong (周公) as cultural architect—whereas Jesus proclaims the Kingdom of Heaven and insists that his teaching is from the Heavenly Father (John 7:16–17). The aims differ but both traditions seek to form the persons: the *junzi* in Confucian philosophy and the "children of God" in the New Testament. Speculations on certain ideographs encoding biblical motifs may bridgethe two traditions that seem poles apart, such as the character *yi* 義 (justification/righteousness), which combines "sheep" (羊) above "I" (我), visually evoking the sacrificial lamb (e.g. Kang and Nelson 1979; Chock 2021) [21,22]; while justification (*yi*) via faith is widely noted in the New Testament, righteousness (*yi*) is a cardinal virtue of *junzi* in Confucian ethics. Still, the ground of joy diverges:

Confucius leads as a moral exemplar, while Jesus offers a redemptive sacrifice, shifting the center from ethical cultivation to divine salvation. Even with these differences, both emphasize character formation shaped by relational love and integrity (Analects 12.22; Matt 22:37–39), a pathway that yields enduring joy.

Table 1. Key Confucian passages on joy and learning (adapted from Lau/Legge).

Chinese Text	Translation (as Used in Paper)	Source
學而時習之，不亦悅乎？有朋自遠方來，不亦樂乎？	"Is it not a joy to learn and practice what you have learned at the proper time? Is it not a joy to have friends come from afar?"	*Analects* 1.1
君子以文會友，以友輔仁	"The junzi gathers friends through culture and, with friends, assists one another in attaining ren."	*Analects* 12.24
知之者不如好之者，好之者不如樂之者	"To be fond of it is better than merely to realize (*zhi*) it; to enjoy it is better than merely to be fond of it."	*Analects* 6.20
一簞食，一瓢飲，在陋巷，人不堪其憂，回也不改其樂	"With one basket of food and one gourd of drink in a humble alley, others could not endure such hardship, yet Hui never altered his joy."	*Analects* 6.11
發憤忘食，樂以忘憂，不知老之將至	"Once he set his mind to learning, he would forget to eat, take joy so as to forget worries, and be oblivious of the approach of old age."	*Analects* 7.19
不仁者不可以長處約，不可以久處樂	"Without virtue, one cannot long remain in poverty, nor can one long remain in joy."	*Analects* 4.2
知者樂水，仁者樂山；知者動，仁者靜；知者樂，仁者壽	"The wise find joy in water; the virtuous find joy in mountains. The wise are active; the virtuous are still. The wise are happy; the virtuous live long."	*Analects* 6.23
萬物皆備於我矣。反身而誠，樂莫大焉。強恕而行，求仁莫近焉	"The myriad things are complete in me. When I examine myself and bring sincerity to mind, there is no greater joy. If I act with strong forgiveness, there is nothing closer than seeking *ren*."	*Mencius* 7A.4
君子無入而不自得	"The *junzi* is at ease with himself in all circumstances."	*Zhongyong* 14

3.2. Biblical Joy and Learning

In the biblical tradition, joy is both a divine gift and the fruit of spiritual learning. The wisdom literature grounds true learning not in human effort alone but in reverence for God: "The fear of the Lord is the beginning of knowledge" (Proverbs 1:7). Here, learning is God-centered, shaping the learner in moral integrity and spiritual maturity. Joy flows from knowing God and walking in His ways: "You make known to me the path of life; you will fill me with joy in your presence" (Psalms 16:11). In this vision, joy is sustained by an awareness of God's guidance—a steady participation in the divine presence.

The Old Testament bears sustained witness to God's character and to hope fulfilled in Christ. Among its writings, *Proverbs* most closely resembles the *Analects* in aphoristic form and practical instruction, blending common-sense counsel with moral exhortation: "to give… knowledge and discretion to the young—let the wise listen and add to their learning" (Prov 1:4–5); "Apply your heart to instruction and your ears to words of knowledge" (23:12). Learning is a disciplined, whole-person task (12:1), and its foundation is reverence for God (1:7; 9:10). While Confucius avoids doctrinal claims about the divine, he identifies the *junzi*'s "three fears," including awe of Heaven's decree (*tianming* 天命) (Analects 16.8), and Mencius roots joy in alignment with *tian* (Heaven). The resonance is limited but real: both traditions link moral learning to an orientation beyond the self; reverence anchors wisdom in a transcendent source, and joy flows from it.

Joy in *Proverbs* springs from wisdom and manifests in lived outcomes. Wisdom is valued above wealth (16:16) and portrayed as life-giving: "Blessed are those who find wisdom… she is a tree of life to those who take hold of her" (3:13, 18). Joy shapes demeanor and health—"A joyful heart makes a cheerful face" (15:13); "A joyful heart

is good medicine" (17:22). The Bible emphasizes joy as a divine gift that renews the whole person: "Fear the Lord… this will bring health to your body and nourishment to your bones" (3:7–8). The Confucian maxim—"The virtuous live long" (*renzhe shou* 仁者壽)—finds a distant analogue here; both traditions view joy as the fruit of character formation in wisdom that benefits both the individual and the communities. Notably, *Proverbs* grounds wisdom and joy in a right relationship with God, setting the stage for Jesus' teaching on the Kingdom and discipleship.

In the New Testament, Jesus begins his ministry with a summons to repentance: "…the kingdom of God has come near. Repent and believe the good news!" (Mark 1:15). Repentance resonates with Confucian self-examination (*zixing* 自省), but it goes beyond introspection; it entails a turning from the "old self" toward a new life under God's reign (cf. Eph 4:22; Col 3:9–10). In this turning, one relinquishes selfish desire, pride, and ungodly thoughts, opening the way to receive Christ's words and to enter his Kingdom. Jesus' path of discipleship is therefore more radical than Confucian discipline, because it points beyond moral cultivation toward eternal life—a realm upon which-Confucius refrains from speculating (*Analects* 11.12). Biblical joy is not only the fruit of learning and relationship but a participation in salvation—through repentance, grace, and hope—shifting the dialogue from human cultivation to divine promise.

In the Sermon on the Mount, the Beatitudes (Matt 5:3–12) proclaim blessedness—frequently interpreted as true happiness [23]—for the poor in spirit, the meek and merciful, the pure in heart, the peacemakers, and those who hunger for righteousness even amid persecution. Each beatitude sketches a state of heart in which authentic joy arises under God's reign. Jesus then calls his disciples the "salt of the earth" and "light of the world" (Matt 5:13–16), linking joy to a generous heart that blesses others and honors God. The traits he commends—humility, mercy, sincerity, peacemaking—echo virtues prized in Confucian pedagogy (culmination in *ren*), yet Jesus binds them to a particular allegiance: "Learn from me, for I am gentle and humble in heart, and you will find rest for your souls… my yoke is easy and my burden is light" (Matt 11:29–30). Character formation is demanding, but its paradoxical fruit is rest and joy.

In the Gospel of John, Jesus makes the connection explicit: "I have told you this so that my joy may be in you and that your joy may be complete… Love each other as I have loved you" (John 15:11–12). Set within the vine-and-branches image, this teaching identifies abiding in him and his word—an attentive, sustained relation (cf. John 15:4–7)—as the condition of complete joy. Love is a commanded pattern of life; in this, the biblical call converges with the Confucian emphasis that the benevolent (*ren*) love people, while still differing in source and scope. In the Gospels, true joy flows from an abiding relationship with Christ—a definitive sign of living in the kingdom of Heaven.

Compared to Confucius' concise sayings, Jesus often taught through parables. The Parable of the Sower (Matt 13:1–23; Mark 4:1–20; Luke 8:4–15) can be read as a pedagogy of learning and the joy of growth: reception of God's word depends on the condition of the heart—hard path, rocky ground, thorny soil, or good soil. Only the good soil receives, understands, and bears fruit—"thirty, sixty, a hundredfold." The lesson is clear: enduring joy follows when spiritual learning is welcomed with an open heart and mind, so that life bears fruit grounded in divine truth. The Kingdom belongs to the uncluttered heart, and outcomes multiply when hearing leads to understanding and practice. Confucius' summary of the *Odes*—"no depraved thoughts" (Analects 2.2)—and Mencius' counsel that perfect sincerity yields the greatest joy (7A.4) strike a compatible note: pruning what corrupts allows for growth. For Confucius, this is the lifelong work of self-cultivation (*xiuyang* 修養), a steady refinement of the self toward *ren*. In the Gospel vision, learning is not only disciplined effort but a grace-enabled process of hearing, receiving, and living out God's word, leading to joy that is both present and eternal.

Where Mencius builds on Confucius' teaching, the Apostle Paul extends and applies Jesus' message—pressing the logic of grace into communal and ethical life. He bears witness amid persecution, embodying the Sermon on the Mount while laboring for the Gospel's spread. Paul grounds joy in the work of the Holy Spirit, promised by Jesus after the resurrection (John 14:26; Acts 1:8), and his letters repeatedly link joy and learning to this Spirit-led life. In *Galatians*, he lists joy among "the fruit of the Spirit," alongside love, peace, patience, kindness, goodness, faithfulness, gentleness, and self-control (5:22–23). The list is not a mere checklist but a portrait of life aligned with

God's will; while joy's source is divine, its growth is nurtured through faithfu practice.

In *Romans* (ca. 57 AD), Paul integrates joy with endurance and hope: "We also glory in our sufferings, because we know that suffering produces perseverance; perseverance, character; and character, hope" (5:3–5). He later compresses the posture into an exhortation: "Be joyful in hope, patient in affliction, faithful in prayer" (12:12). These succinct formulations present joy as the companion of perseverance and the outcome of learning in the school of faith. *Romans* also articulates enduring doctrines—"justification by faith" (3:24–28; 4:5; 5:1), the once-for-all act of grace, and "sanctification" (6:19, 6:22), the gradual growth in holiness—which, read pedagogically, parallel the Confucian pattern: an initial turning of the heart-mind in sincerity (*chengyi* 誠意) followed by lifelong self-cultivation (*xiuyang*). For Paul, joy is both a discipline and a fruit of character growth: the sign of transformation by God's Spirit, accompanying the renewal of the mind (Rom 12:2) and building up communities of faith.

While *Romans* was written before Paul visited Rome, *Philippians* (ca. 61 AD) was composed there and addressed to the believers in Philippi. It is a thank-you note for their gift and an encouragement to ground their joy in Christ. Paul unfolds joy into four relational movements: joy in suffering (1:1–30), serving (2:1–30), believing (3:1–21), and giving (4:1–23). Even while confined, joy permeates the letter. Paul's gratitude overflows as he prays for the Philippians, rejoices in their prayers for him, delights in the Gospel's expansion, and hopes for a reunion to witness their spiritual progress in faith. He exhorts them to imitate Christ's humility, setting aside selfish ambition—"make my joy complete by being like-minded, having the same love…" (2:2)—and he models contentment: "I have learned to be content whatever the circumstances. I know what it is to be in need, and I know what it is to have plenty" (4:11–12), a posture that resonates with the *junzi* ideal of equanimity and Confucius' assertion that only the virtuous can steadfastly endure both poverty and wealth. Here joy is not circumstantial but rooted in faith, named a fruit of the Spirit (Gal. 5:22–23) and commanded: "Rejoice in the Lord always. I will say it again: Rejoice!" (4:4). This imperative joy, sustained by trust, coexists with perseverance in hardship. James frames trials as occasions for "pure joy" (James 1:2), and Peter describes believers as "filled with inexpressible and glorious joy" even without having seeing Christ (1 Pet. 1:8). Across these writings, joy emerges both as the Spirit's work and as a practiced discipline.

For Paul, joy flourishes in relationships, echoing Mencius' "three joys." He thanks the Philippians for their partnership and commends his co-workers (Phil 1:3–8); elsewhere, he counsels households (Col 3:18–21) and urges unity in diverse bodies (1 Cor 12:25)—an analogy to the Confucian ideal of "harmony without uniformity" (*Analects* 13.23). Both traditions prize durable, relational joy, but their sources diverge: Confucian joy grows from self-cultivation, social harmony, and attunement to *tian*—with Mencius stressing authentic joy in integrity and alignment with Heaven (6A.4)—whereas the Bible roots joy in communion with the living God, the assurance of salvation, and the Spirit's renewing presence. While Confucian Heaven is morally ordering yet reticent [24], the biblical God is personally relational. Both hold that joy endures hardship and matures through disciplined learning—either as lifelong cultivation or as grace-enabled growth in holiness. Repentance marks entry into the Kingdom of Heaven and remains its ongoing posture; the dialogue thus shifts from human cultivation to the divine grace that makes formation possible.

Read together, these traditions present joy and learning as mutually reinforcing: they engage emotion, steady resilience, and sustain communal life. Confucius locates joy in study and virtue; Mencius extends it through sincerity, relationship, and cosmic attunement. Jesus centers joy in communion with God and neighbor; Paul couples it with endurance, hope, and the Spirit's fruit in community. In practice, this means training attention, schooling desire, embodying habits, and repairing relations. These insights inform contemporary work on character and well-being. The next section considers how education, psychology, and happiness studies echo and extend them under the banner of emotional intelligence.

4. Bridging Ancient Insights with Contemporary Discourse and Emotional Intelligence

Modern research in education, psychology, and happiness studies often converges with the Confucian and

Biblical visions of joy and learning. Although the label "emotional intelligence" is recent, its concerns—emotional awareness and regulation, empathy, relational skill, and resilience—restate long-standing insights about formation. Reading contemporary studies of learning, well-being, and character alongside the classics shows how ancient wisdom remains applicable today, integrating the affective, cognitive, and moral dimensions of life.

4.1. Learning, Happiness, and Character in Contemporary Discourse

As modern science and technology have reshaped curricula, the Confucian canon and the Bible have lost their former centrality; yet the questions they pose about joy, virtue, and formation persist. Recent scholarship in education and psychology emphasizes that effective learning requires more than cognition: it draws on joy, emotion, and steady growth. Daniel Goleman notes that "emotional memory is the most powerful kind of learning" (p. 20) [9], capturing how feeling steers attention and retention. Edgar Dale's Cone of Experience (1946)—later popularized as the "learning pyramid" and adapted in various models (see Masters 2019/2020) [25,26]—reminds educators that multimodal, practice-rich engagement deepens learning. These insights echo Confucius's heart–mind (*xin* 心) pedagogy (the "six arts") and biblical patterns of listening, receiving, and practicing truth.

Building on these themes, psychologists have probed the conditions for resilient learning. Carol Dweck's *Mindset* (2006) advances the "growth mindset"—the conviction that intelligence and abilities develop through effort, strategies, and feedback [27]. Empirically, students with a growth mindset persist after setbacks, seek challenges, and report greater enjoyment in learning [27]. This pattern echoes Confucian self-cultivation and the biblical call to endurance. Similarly, Angela Duckworth's *Grit* (2016) argues that long-term achievement rests more on sustained passion and perseverance than on raw talent [28]. Popularized by her TED talk, her work also acknowledges limits, including difficulties in quantifying grit. Taken together with Goleman [9], the research points to receptivity, perseverance, and self-regulated motivation as foundations of durable learning—echoing ancient sources in which joy accompanies lifelong growth forged through trials.

Positive psychology likewise links joy, disciplined practice, and relationships. Lyubomirsky, a psychologist specializing in the study of happiness, proposes a heuristic partition in her work—about 50% genetic, 10% circumstances, and 40% intentional activities [14]. The exact percentages are debated, but the thrust holds: deliberate practices and strong ties matter. The Harvard Study of Adult Development likewise finds that close, enduring relationships robustly predict health, life satisfaction, and resilience across the lifespan [15]. These findings suggest that flourishing grows not from material success but from intentional practices and meaningful connections—resonating with Confucian self-cultivation and biblical visions of love and hope.

In *The Road to Character* (2015), David Brooks draws on Genesis to contrast "Adam I" (résumé virtues—achievement, external success) with "Adam II" (eulogy virtues—humility, love, moral depth). Shaped by a Yale course on philosophical humility, the book is both a personal quest and a cultural critique: it calls readers to pursue the inner character of Adam II and critiquing an American psyche that elevates status and achievement (Adam I). Adam II seeks obedience to a transcendent call and service to others. "Moral improvement occurs most reliably when the heart is warmed… Good, wise hearts are obtained through lifetimes of diligent effort to dig deeply within… They don't crumble in adversity… They radiate a sort of moral joy" [29]. Education, he argues, should be a process of "love-formation," shaping the heart toward what is good and enduring. Both the title and the motif of moral joy resonate with Confucian self-cultivation through disciplined practice and with the biblical pairing of wisdom with love.

The most comprehensive recent contributions to happiness and learning come from Arthur C. Brooks, a Harvard professor and leading voice in happiness studies. In works such as *From Strength to Strength* (2022), *Build the Life You Want* (with Oprah Winfrey, 2023), and *The Happiness Files* (2025), Brooks integrates psychology, neuroscience, and philosophy. He reframes happiness not as a pleasure—what he dismisses as "animal happiness," given its addictive tendency—but as a composite of **enjoyment**, **satisfaction**, and **meaning**, closer to the Confucian sense of joy. Enjoyment grows from shared human experience, such as quality time with family; satisfaction from

disciplined progress amid struggle; and meaning from a sense of purpose in life. Brooks explains: "Happiness isn't a feeling you chase; it's a skill you develop. And like any skill, it requires daily practice" [30]. In *Build the Life You Want*, Brooks and Winfrey underscore balance, meaning, and connection as essentials for durable joy [31]. His model also modifies Lyubomirsky's partition of happiness: while the genetic baseline remains 50%, circumstances are raised to 25% and intentional activity is adjusted to 25% [32,33]. Yet Brooks insists that through good habits and deliberate practice—akin to intentional cultivation in Confucian philosophy—individuals can lessen the impact of genetic predispositions and more actively manage circumstantial influences.

Brooks proposes four enduring pillars of happiness, or "The Four Key Happiness Habits," to be cultivated and invested in—faith, family, friendship, and work—claiming empirical support for their transformative power. He clarifies that faith, which he places first as a Catholic, is not confined to religion but refers more broadly to transcendence: the capacity to move beyond one's finite self and avoid the trap of excessive self-focus that often leads to depression or despair. While surrender to God is clearly transcendental in biblical teaching, it also resonates with the Confucian *junzi's* self-surpassing through cultivation in the philosophical context of reverence for Heaven. Brooks affirms that "the full experience of happiness lives at the intersection of science and metaphysics" (ArthurBrooks.com), underscoring that data alone cannot secure happiness; rather, faith or a guiding philosophy infuses meaning even amid suffering. His emphasis on strengthening family ties and virtuous friendships recalls both Confucian and biblical teachings, while his understanding of work as service to others echoes Confucius' maxim: "If you desire to establish yourself, help others to establish themselves; if you desire to attain, help others to attain" (*Analects* 6:30). In *The Happiness Files* (2025), drawing on the latest research, Brooks further links happiness to love and lifelong learning. He asserts that happiness is essentially love—expressed in loving God and others—and that learning keeps the mind active, thereby nurturing joy. He concludes that the happiest people are those whose work, relationships, and inner life are in harmony [34].

Beyond his books and classroom teaching at Harvard Business School, Brooks brings his theory to a wider public through frequent YouTube appearances and podcast interviews. These conversations weave together philosophical reflection and personal experience. In one interview, he portrays himself as a model of transformation—"from an unhappy striver" to someone who now lives out the science of happiness—knowledge he eagerly shares so that others might learn how to love and be loved [35]. He emphasizes that neuroscience, as a field scarcely fifty years old, cannot by itself account for happiness; its deeper grounding lies in philosophy and theology. Indeed, Brooks openly declares that his ultimate aim is "to glorify God and to serve people" [35]. Such reflections suggest that for Brooks, happiness is not only a scientific construct but also a profoundly philosophical and spiritual pursuit.

Considered together, these contemporary perspectives confirm that joy and learning cannot be reduced to intellect or mood. Happiness grows through engagement, character, and enduring relationships. From emotional memory and the growth mindset, through David Brooks's "moral joy," to Arthur Brooks's triad of enjoyment, satisfaction, and meaning, modern discourse repeatedly circles back to themes long cultivated in the classics. The studies cited here—some adding a transcendent register—both affirm and extend Confucian and biblical insights, showing that the cultivation of character, lifelong learning, and nurturing relationships remain central to a life of joy.

These convergences pose a further question: how do the Confucian and biblical traditions themselves articulate capacities that modern psychology later grouped under "emotional intelligence" (EQ)? The following section turns to these foundational texts, showing how their visions of joy, learning, and relational harmony anticipates core insights of emotional intelligence research.

4.2. Confucian and Biblical Foundations in Emotional Intelligence

As noted in Section 2.3, emotional intelligence (EQ) has become influential across psychology, education, and leadership, with Daniel Goleman's *Emotional Intelligence* (1995) popularizing earlier academic work by Mayer and Salovey. His central claim—that many emotional capacities can be learned—contrasts with IQ's focus on analytical ability. Because models and measures differ, findings

vary and should be read with care. Accordingly, this study treats EQ not as a master key but as a family of practical lenses—attention, self-regulation, empathy, and reciprocity—useful when clearly specified. Claims that EQ outpredicts IQ are common in popular writings (e.g., Bradberry, 2025) [36], but the evidence remains mixed and context-dependent. Even Goleman's oft-quoted estimate (1998) [37]—attributing about 20% of life success to IQ, and the other ~80% to EQ plus non-cognitive factors—should be read as a heuristic rather than a fixed statistic.

Historically, Goleman framed learnable skills under five components (self-awareness, self-regulation, motivation, empathy, and social skill), later condensed to four domains widely used in training: self-awareness, self-management, social awareness, and relationship management [38]. He describes those who thrive in life as marked by "self-awareness and impulse control, persistence, zeal and self-motivation, empathy and social deftness," which he defines as "hallmarks of character and self-discipline" (1995, p. 352) [9]. In *Focus* (2013), he regrouped attention into "inner, other, outer" focus [39]; despite packaging shifts, the core—awareness, regulation, and relational competence—remains.

Confucian and biblical texts articulate these capacities long before the modern label. They cultivate cognate dispositions: ritual order (*li*) and attentional discipline, watchfulness in solitude (*shendu* 慎獨), empathy and reciprocity within roles (*zhongshu* 忠恕), and the commanded love of neighbor. In what follows, we read those sources analogically, mapping aims and practices to EQ's working domains while preserving their distinctive non-equivalence.

4.2.1. Confucian Perspectives

Confucian texts present a developmental vision of emotional maturity that aligns with what is now called EQ. Confucius charts his own growth across the lifespan—"At fifteen I set my heart upon learning; … at fifty I knew the mandate of Heaven; at sixty my ear was attuned; at seventy I could follow my heart's desire without overstepping the bounds" (*Analects* 2.4)—a path from aspiration to disciplined attunement and finally to principled freedom. It is a process of self-realization grounded in self-awareness. Read in light of contemporary claims that many capacities are learnable, this passage frames EQ not as a fixed trait but as a trainable set of capacities guided by a Heaven-endowed calling (*tianming*).

At the heart of Confucian cultivation lie **self-awareness** and **self-management**—recognizing one's feelings, limits, values, and motives, and regulating impulses to sustain focus and align action with principles (in EQ terms). Goleman's practice-based counsel (e.g., regular reflection, mindfulness) coheres with Confucian cultivation. Zengzi's daily examen—"Each day I examine myself on three counts: whether I have been faithful to others, trustworthy with friends, and whether I have practiced what has been passed on to me" (*Analects* 1.4; author's adaptation based on D.C. Lau)—models reflective accountability. Confucius' maxim—"conquer the self and return to ritual" (*keji fuli* 克己復禮 ; *Analects* 12.1) —highlights impulse control through ritual propriety. Confucian vigilance in public duty is grounded in the inner life.

The *Doctrine of the Mean* commends watchfulness in solitude (*shendu*-), and opens with a classic account of emotion regulation: "Before joy, anger, sorrow, and pleasure arise, there is equilibrium (*zhong* 中); when they arise and are all kept in due measure, there is harmony (*he* 和)" (*Zhongyong* 1). In other words, the ideal is equilibrium before arousal and measured expression after arousal—the essence of tempered affect. Further, the *Great Learning* details a graded discipline of attention regulation: "Only after knowing where to abide (*zhi* 止) can one be steady (*ding* 定); only when steady can one be tranquil (*jing* 靜); only when tranquil can one be at ease (*an* 安); only when at ease can one deliberate (*lü* 慮); only after deliberation can one attain (*de* 得)" (*Daxue* 1). This sequence maps onto EQ's self-awareness and self-management and anticipates accounts of attentional "inner focus" as a driver of excellence [39]. In EQ language, Confucian growth is practice-driven: reflective review (Zengzi), ritualized habits (*li*), and sustained attentional training (the *zhi–ding–jing–an–lü–de* pathway).

Social awareness and **relationship management** are likewise central in Confucian philosophy—manifested as empathy toward others and harmony-seeking interaction in practical EQ terms. Confucius grounds empathy in *ren* (humaneness) and the reciprocity rule of *shu* 恕 —placing oneself in another's place: "What you do not desire

for yourself, do not impose on others" (*Analects* 12.2). He links joy and motivation to a learning community—delighting in the arrival of friends (*Analects* 1.1) and valuing like-minded fellowship (*Analects* 4.24). The ideal of "harmony without uniformity" cultivates empathic attunement amid difference. Confucian leadership channels emotion into constructive order through virtue (*de* 德) and ritual (*li*): "Lead them with virtue and keep them in line by ritual; they will develop a sense of shame and rectify themselves" (*Analects* 2.3). Its influence is gentle yet shaping: "The virtue of the *junzi* is like the wind; the virtue of the petty person is like the grass. When the wind passes over the grass, it must bend" (*Analects* 12.19). Confucius also stresses resilience under shifting fortune and the ability to navigate hardship: "Only the virtuous can dwell in poverty or enjoy wealth without being shaken" (*Analects* 4.2; author's adaptation based on D.C. Lau); Mencius adds that Heaven often tests people with hardship to strengthen their will and enlarge their heart-mind (*xin*) (6B:15). In EQ terms, Confucian teaching integrates empathic perception with norm-guided influence, sustaining relationships under stress.

In Confucian philosophy, joy through learning is relational, cumulative, and rooted in virtue ethics. It anticipates EQ's focus on self-awareness, self-regulation, and prosocial motivation, showing that emotional fulfillment is not an end in itself but a byproduct of moral cultivation and social responsibility. A distinctive Confucian contribution is its rich, practice-oriented account of watchfulness (*shendu*) as ethical attention. The *Zhongyong* frames emotional life as maintaining inner equilibrium before feelings arise and keeping expression within due measure once they do. Building on this stance, the *Daxue* offers a stepwise routine for stabilizing attention, quieting emotions, and enabling sound judgment: knowing where to abide (*zhi*) → steadiness (*ding*) → tranquillity (*jing*) → ease (*an*) → deliberation (*lü*) → attainment (*de*). This fits Goleman's view that EQ grows by habits, feedback, and trained attention, and resonates with his later emphasis on balancing inner focus (self-monitoring), other focus (empathy), and outer focus (awareness of context). Taken together, the Confucian *junzi* embodies emotionally intelligent in classical terms: reflective and watchful in private, regulated and resilient under pressure, joyful in lifelong learning, and skilled at empathic, harmonious relationships—a close analogue to the four EQ domains in Confucian idiom.

4.2.2. Biblical Perspectives

Where Confucius sketches an ideal of cultivated emotional intelligence, the Gospels show Jesus embodying its full dimensions. He is clear about his identity and mission, leads with compassion, cares for the weak, and heals the sick. He expresses emotions fittingly—"Jesus wept" (John 11:35)—yet offers prophetic rebuke when needed (Mark 11:15–17). He discerns human hearts, remains unshaken by threats, and chooses wise speech or strategic withdrawal (Mark 1:38; John 8:59). His resilience flows from communion with God: he regularly withdraws to pray (Mark 1:35; Luke 5:16). Though Scripture is God-centered, it presents a practice-centered path to emotional maturity that coheres with the four EQ domains: self-awareness, self-management, social awareness, and relationship management.

Like Confucian cultivation, biblical formation centers on **self-awareness** and **self-management** and advances through habits that train attention, desire, and action. Practices include prayerful examen and confession, waiting upon the Lord for renewed strength (Isa 40:31), and the renewing of the mind (Rom 12:2). Repentance—the threshold of discipleship—means laying aside the "old self" and putting on the "new" (Eph 4:22–24); Jesus likens wise disciples to a "householder who brings out of his storeroom new treasures as well as old" (Matt 13:52), signaling reflective discernment. Long before the New Testament, prayerful self-examination was modeled in the *Psalms*: "Search me, God, and know my heart; test me and know my anxious thoughts" (Ps 139:23–24); wisdom literature exhorts guarding the heart as life's wellspring (Prov 4:23). Paul continues: "Examine yourselves" (2 Cor 13:5)—urging believers to evaluate the genuineness of their faith and conduct. Guidance for self-management is practical: "be quick to listen, slow to speak, and slow to become angry" (Jas 1:19–20), "be angry and do not sin" (Eph 4:26), and bear the Spirit's fruit of self-control (Gal 5:22–23). These are habits to be trained, not one-off acts: "Train yourself for godliness" (1 Tim 4:7–8); "be transformed by the renewing of your mind" (Rom 12:2). In EQ terms, practices such as prayer, confession (1 John 1:9), meditative Scrip-

ture reading, and waiting upon the Lord function like the reflective and mindfulness routines Goleman highlights—building self-awareness and self-regulation through repeated, intentional exercises [9,39].

Resilience—the ability to recover from adversity and persevere through hardship—sits within EQ's self-awareness and self-management. Goleman treats it as learnable: training mindful attention, practicing gratitude, and cultivating compassion (drawing on his dialogues with the Dalai Lama) can shift us from stress reactivity to steady engagement. Scripture commends parallel disciplines—prayer with thanksgiving that guards the heart and mind (Phil 4:6–8), speech shaped by love (Eph 4:29; cf. Matt 5:44), watchfulness and sobriety (1 Pet 5:8)—and, above all, enduring joy. Paul exemplifies this stance: he stays goal-oriented despite setbacks (Phil 3:13–14), chooses composure over anxiety (Phil 4:6–7), and learns contentment "in any and every situation" (Phil 4:11–12)—"sorrowful, yet always rejoicing" (2 Cor 6:10). In the New Testament, joy fuels endurance: "Consider it pure joy… whenever you face trials… because… the testing of your faith produces perseverance" (Jas 1:2–3); joy is commanded (Phil 4:4; 1 Thess 5:16) and even experienced in trials (1 Pet 1:6–8). In EQ terms, such repeated, intentional practices develop capacities for emotion regulation and resilience [9,39], while joy supplies the motive power that sustains perseverance under pressure.

Biblical teaching also foregrounds **social awareness** and **relationship management**—empathic attunement and peace-seeking interaction. Paul embodies both. His writing captures empathic resonance: "Rejoice with those who rejoice; mourn with those who mourn" (Rom 12:15). He also sets the affective posture for communal life: "Clothe yourselves with compassion, kindness, humility, gentleness and patience… and over all these virtues put on love, which binds them all together in perfect unity" (Col 3:12–14). Paul's "one body" imagery makes unity-in-diversity the aim of relational intelligence: many members with distinct gifts belong to one body, so mutual care prevents division—"if one part suffers, every part suffers; if one part is honored, every part rejoices" (1 Cor 12:25–27; Eph 4:1–3). In Ephesians, he turns this into a relational toolkit: humility, gentleness, patience, bearing with one another in love, and eagerness to "maintain the unity of the Spirit in the bond of peace." These practices anticipate EQ competencies—empathic attunement, conflict management, and coordinated teamwork.

Jesus' teaching on the Mount likewise calls peacemakers "blessed" (Matt 5:9). Similarly, Paul describes the church's calling as a ministry of reconciliation (2 Cor 5:18–20), positioning believers as bridge-builders whose words and actions restore community. Crucially, Paul mentors Timothy to cultivate these capacities: "Set an example for the believers" (1 Tim 4:12); "rekindle the gift of God… for God gave us a spirit not of fear but of power and love and self-control" (2 Tim 1:6–7); and "what you have heard from me… entrust to faithful people" (2 Tim 2:2). This is EQ by apprenticeship—skills learned in relationship. Practices such as shared worship and table fellowship (Acts 2:42–47), mutual submission (Eph 5:21), and confession with forgiveness (Matt 6:12; Jas 5:16) reinforce these habits over time. Goleman likewise notes the role of coaches and mentors in developing interpersonal competencies [37,39]. Viewed together, the biblical path frames the EQ quartet—self-awareness, self-management, social awareness, and relationship management—as learnable habits animated by joy, sustained by grace, and practiced in community.

4.2.3. Comparative Reflections

Read through the lens of emotional intelligence (EQ), Confucian and biblical sources show striking common ground without collapsing into sameness. Both depict emotional maturity as learned rather than innate, formed over a lifetime through reflection, disciplined habits, empathy, and relational harmony—the very capacities modern psychology often groups as self-awareness, self-management, social awareness, and relationship management. Yet each tradition orients these capacities within a distinct horizon: Confucian philosophy toward *tianming* (天 命) and social harmony; biblical faith toward covenantal communion with God and life in community. These correspondences are summarized in **Table 2**.

They converge in portraying emotional maturity as integral to human flourishing. Self-awareness is trained in Zengzi's daily examen and in Paul's call to examine oneself before God; resilience is shown in Confucius' composure in both lack and abundance, and in James's counsel to count trials as joy. Empathy and relational harmony run through

humaneness (*ren* 仁) and reciprocity (*shu* 恕), and through Paul's one-body imagery that binds diverse members into mutual care. The emphasis, however, differ: Confucian formation aims at becoming *junzi*—a steady, self-realizing character shaped by attentional discipline and ritual order, oriented to harmony under Heaven (*tian*). Biblical formation centers on being children of God—believers aligned with Christ through repentance and grace, committed to love in community, and living a transformed life with joy rooted in the Kingdom of Heaven, both present and promised. The shared ground highlights common pathways of growth, while the distinct horizons clarify how sources of meaning shape emotional maturity across cultures.

Set alongside modern EQ scholarship, these ancient sources add ethical and transcendent dimensions often muted in skill-focused models. Because classical terms and modern constructs are not one-to-one equivalents, the parallels offered here are analogical, mapping aims and practices rather than asserting category identity. Framed this way, EQ is not a catch-all label but a family of levers—attention, regulation, empathy, and reciprocity—already cultivated in Confucian and biblical formation. The upshot is not merely improved emotion management but the shaping of persons for lasting joy, moral integrity, and relational flourishing.

Table 2. EQ domains in Confucian and Biblical traditions.

EQ Domain	Confucian Perspective (Passages/Motifs)	Biblical Perspective (Passages/Motifs)
Self-awareness	Zengzi's daily examen (*Analects* 1.4); "watchfulness in solitude" 慎獨 (*Zhongyong* 1); attentional path: *zhi* → *ding* → *jing* → *an* → *lü* → *de* (*Daxue* 1).	"Search me… test me" (Ps 139:23–24); "Guard your heart" (Prov 4:23); "Examine yourselves" (2 Cor 13:5); old vs. new self (Eph 4:22–24).
Self-management	"conquer the self, return to ritual" 克己復禮 (Analects 12.1); equanimity of the *junzi* (*Analects* 4.2; 7.16–19); hardship as formation (*Mencius* 6B.15).	"Quick to listen, slow to speak, slow to anger" (Jas 1:19–20); "Be angry and do not sin" (Eph 4:26); self-control (Gal 5:22–23); contentment (Phil 4:11–12).
Social awareness	Humaneness 仁 , reciprocity 恕 —"What you do not desire…" (*Analects* 12.2); harmony without uniformity (*Analects* 13.23); learning in friendship (*Analects* 1.1; 12.24).	Empathic resonance—"Rejoice with those who rejoice; mourn with those who mourn" (Rom 12:15); communal virtues—compassion, humility, patience, love (Col 3:12–14).
Relationship management	Influence by virtue 德 : "Lead with virtue; keep in line by ritual" (*Analects* 2.3); "The *junzi*'s virtue is like the wind" (*Analects* 12.19).	One-body unity-in-diversity (1 Cor 12:25–27; Eph 4:1–3); ministry of reconciliation (2 Cor 5:18–20); mentoring (1 Tim 4:12; 2 Tim 2:2).

Note: Analogical mappings; non-equivalence—items correspond by function and aim, not by category identity.

5. Conclusions

This study has traced how joy, learning, and contemporary accounts of emotional intelligence are articulated in Confucian sources, biblical writings, and modern research. Bridging ancient wisdom and modern psychology demonstrates their ongoing relevance for questions of happiness, education, and emotional maturity. Modern research gains moral depth and a communal vision from classical traditions; conversely, Confucian and biblical insights find renewed traction when placed alongside empirical work. Considered together, these streams sketch a cross-cultural framework in which joy, learning, and emotional maturity are mutually illuminating.

Distinctive emphases sharpen the comparison. Confucian thought highlights joyful learning through attentional discipline, ritualized practice, self-examination, and harmony as pathways toward the *junzi*. Biblical texts place prevailing joy at the center—a durable orientation sustained by faith and grace—expressed in resilience, reconciliation, and communal love. Both traditions hold that real growth exceeds simple cognition: it requires cultivating character, well-ordered desires, and rightly-shaped relationships.

For contemporary discourse, these perspectives broaden EQ beyond performance metrics or workplace skills. Recent work—from emotional memory and the growth mindset to grit, longitudinal relationship studies, and Arthur C. Brooks's emphasis on enjoyment, satisfac-

tion, and meaning—consistently returns to themes of joy, perseverance, and relational strength. Practical implications follow: linking learning and a growth mindset to character-forming habits in education; grounding relational intelligence in integrity and reconciliation in leadership; and seeking common ground without erasing differences in intercultural dialogue. On this view, flourishing requires integrating knowledge, virtue, and community.

This comparative dialogue underscores that joy, learning, and emotional intelligence are best understood as interwoven practices that sustain both personal growth and communal well-being. Future research may extend this inquiry to other philosophical traditions or test empirically how ancient practices of reflection, joy, and resilience can enrich modern psychology. Notably, classical terms and modern psychological constructs are not one-to-one equivalents; the correspondences are analogical rather than claims of identities. The selections here lean toward passages foregrounding learning and joy; different choices could shift the emphasis. The EQ literature is heterogeneous (e.g., ability tests vs. self-report or competency inventories), which cautions against sweeping generalizations. Cultural and confessional standpoints also shape interpretation. For these reasons, the present argument is descriptive-analytical and invites future work that tests specific practices across broader corpora using mixed methods.

The abiding lesson is that joy is learnable: it grows through intentional learning, disciplined practice, and enduring, ethically grounded relationships. In an age of acceleration, fragmentation, and rising anxiety, this cross-cultural synthesis offers not only scholarly clarity but also practical guidance for cultivating well-being that is resilient, relational, and morally anchored.

Funding

This work received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement

Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement

Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement

Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest.

References

[1] Xie, B., 2001. The Four Book Reader. Sanmin Book Co., Ltd.: Taipei, Taiwan. (in Chinese)

[2] Ivanhoe, P.J., Van Norden, B.W. (eds.), 1999. Readings in Classical Chinese Philosophy. Seven Bridges Press: New York, NY, USA.

[3] Chan, W.T., 1969. A Source Book in Chinese Philosophy. Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ, USA. pp. 15–20.

[4] Jaspers, J., 2020. The Four Great Sages. Fu, P. (Trans.). Lixu Culture Publisher: Taipei, Taiwan. pp. 103–108. (in Chinese)

[5] Lau, D.C., 2003. Mencius. Chinese University Press: Hong Kong, China.

[6] Legge, J., 1992. The Chinese Classics: With a Translation, Critical and Exegetical Notes, Prolegomena, and Copious Indexes. SMC Publishing: Taipei, Taiwan.

[7] Lau, D.C., 1997. The Analects. Chinese University Press: Hong Kong, China.

[8] Zondervan, 2011. The Holy Bible, New International Version. Zondervan: Grand Rapids, MI, USA.

[9] Goleman, D., 1995. Emotional Intelligence: Why It Can Matter More than IQ. Bantam Books: New York, NY, USA.

[10] Mayer, J.D., Salovey, P., 1990. Emotional Intelligence. Imagination, Cognition and Personality. 9(3), 185–211. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2190/DUGG-P24E-52WK-6CDG

[11] O'Boyle, E.H., Humphrey, R.H., Pollack, J.M., et al., 2011. The Relation between Emotional Intelligence and Job Performance: A Meta-Analysis. Journal of Organizational Behavior. 32(5), 788–818. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/job.714

[12] Joseph, D.L., Newman, D.A., 2010. Emotional Intel-

ligence: An Integrative Meta-Analysis and Cascading Model. Journal of Applied Psychology. 95(1), 54–78. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1037/a0017286

[13] MacCann, C., Jiang, Y., Brown, L.E.R., et al., 2020. Emotional Intelligence Predicts Academic Performance: A Meta-Analysis. Psychological Bulletin. 146(2), 150–186. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000219

[14] Lyubomirsky, S., 2013. The How of Happiness: A Scientific Approach to Getting the Life You Want. Penguin Press: New York, NY, USA.

[15] Waldinger, R., Schulz, M., 2023. The Good Life: Lessons from the World's Longest Scientific Study of Happiness. Simon and Schuster: New York, NY, USA.

[16] Legge, J., 1992. Confucian Analects, The Great Learning and The Doctrine of the Mean. Dover Publications: New York, NY, USA.

[17] Tu, W., 1989. Centrality and Commonality: An Essay on Confucian Religiousness. State University of New York Press: Albany, NY, USA. p. 93.

[18] Hall, D.L., Ames, R.T., 1987. Thinking through Confucius. State University of New York Press: Albany, NY, USA.

[19] Robinson, D., 1997. Becoming a Translator: An Introduction to the Theory and Practice of Translation. Routledge: London, UK. pp. 50–53.

[20] Wu, J.C., 1986. Joy in Chinese Philosophy. Hua Hsin Cultural and Publications: Taipei, Taiwan. pp. 2–14.

[21] Kang, C.H., Nelson, E.R., 1979. The Discovery of Genesis: How the Truths of Genesis Were Found Hidden in the Chinese Language. Concordia Publishing House: St. Louis, MO, USA.

[22] Chock, G.T., 2014. Genesis in Ancient China: The Creation Story in China's Earliest Script. Eastward Garden Publishing: Rollands Plains, Australia.

[23] American Bible Society, 1976. Good News Bible. United Bible Societies: New York, NY, USA. p. 7.

[24] Gernet, J., 1985. China and the Christian Impact. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK. pp. 193–194.

[25] Dale, E., 1946. Audiovisual Methods in Teaching. Dryden Press: New York, NY, USA.

[26] Masters, K., 2020. Edgar Dale's Pyramid of Learning in Medical Education: Further Expansion of The Myth. Medical Education. 54(1), 22–32. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.13813

[27] Dweck, C.S., 2006. Mindset: The New Psychology of Success. Random House: New York, NY, USA.

[28] Duckworth, A., 2016. Grit: The Power of Passion and Perseverance. Scribner: New York, NY, USA.

[29] Brooks, D., 2015. The Road to Character. Random House: New York, NY, USA. pp. 10–14.

[30] Brooks, A.C., 2022. From Strength to Strength: Finding Success, Happiness, and Deep Purpose in the Second Half of Life. Portfolio: New York, NY, USA. p. 45.

[31] Brooks, A.C., Winfrey, O., 2023. Build the Life You Want: The Art and Science of Getting Happier. Portfolio: New York, NY, USA. p. 88.

[32] Khan, Y.A., Yang, J.Q., 2022. Arthur Brooks on how to be happy. The Harvard Crimson. Available from: https://www.thecrimson.com/article/2022/11/3/arthur-brooks-happiness/ (cited 5 May 2025).

[33] Brooks, A.C., 2022. Dr. Arthur Brooks on finding and lifting people to happiness. Gallup CliftonStrengths. Available from: https://www.gallup.com/cliftonstrengths/en/392156/dr-arthur-brooks-finding-lifting-people-happiness.aspx (cited 5 May 2025).

[34] Brooks, A.C., 2025. The Happiness Files: Insights on Work and Life. Harvard Business Review Press: Brighton, MA, USA.

[35] Brooks, A., 2025. The Happiness Professor: THIS Will ACTUALLY Make You Happier. The Rich Roll Podcast. Available from: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=udhDtanvksg (cited 25 August 2025).

[36] Bradberry, T., 2025. The New Emotional Intelligence. Available from: https://www.simonandschuster.com/books/The-New-Emotional-Intelligence/Travis-Bradberry/9798218589660 (cited 25 August 2025).

[37] Goleman, D., 1998. Working with Emotional Intelligence. Bantam Books: New York, NY, USA.

[38] Boyatzis, R.E., Goleman, D., 2001. The Emotional Competence Inventory (ECI). Hay Group: Boston, MA, USA.

[39] Goleman, D., 2013. Focus: The Hidden Driver of Excellence. Harper: New York, NY, USA.