Japan Bilingual Publishing Co.

Philosophy and Realistic Reflection
https://ojs.bilpub.com/index.php/prr

ARTICLE

The Paradoxes of the Modern Geistes: Critico-Comparative Introspect of the Previous Century

Alvin Servaña [1,2]

[1] *School of Multidisciplinary Studies, De la Salle – College of Saint Benilde, Manila 1004, Philippines*
[2] *General Education, Polytechnic University of the Philippines – San Juan, San Juan City 1503, Philippines*

ABSTRACT

This essay investigates the existential and philosophical dilemmas of modernity, drawing on the prophetic insights of Friedrich Nietzsche and José Rizal as critical entry points. Though situated on opposite ends of the globe, both thinkers discerned a paradox at the heart of modernity: its promise of liberation entwined with new forms of domination. Nietzsche's pronouncement of the "death of God" diagnosed the spiritual vacuum of Western civilisation, while Rizal's critique of colonial modernity exposed the violence embedded in imperial progress. Together, they illuminate the dialectics between emancipation and barbarism that have shaped the past century. Complementary reflections by Paul Johnson, Viktor Frankl, and Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn highlight modernity's contradictions, particularly the instrumental rationality that enabled both human flourishing and systematic destruction. Cultural transformations, marked by the fragmentation of coherent narratives, are further exemplified in the literary visions of W. Somerset Maugham and Gabriel García Márquez, whose works capture the dissonance of fractured modern identities. The inquiry culminates in an exploration of hypermodernity's crisis of selfhood, where digital "excarnation" threatens embodied human experience. Against this backdrop, the essay advocates for situated transcendence and moral imagination as pathways to reclaim sensibility amid disintegration. By echoing the voices of past thinkers, it calls for a conscious inhabiting of modernity's tensions—an effort to confront paradoxes with compassion and creativity. Ultimately, the essay envisions

*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:
Alvin Servaña, School of Multidisciplinary Studies, De la Salle – College of Saint Benilde, Manila 1004, Philippines; General Education, Polytechnic University of the Philippines – San Juan, San Juan City 1503, Philippines; Email: alvin.servana@benilde.edu.ph or aservana@pup.edu.ph

ARTICLE INFO
Received: 16 September 2025 | Revised: 27 December 2025 | Accepted: 7 January 2026 | Published Online: 14 January 2026
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55121/prr.v3i1.771

CITATION
Servaña, A., 2026. The Paradoxes of the Modern Geistes: Critico-Comparative Introspect of the Previous Century. Philosophy and Realistic Reflection. 3(1): 81–91. DOI: https://doi.org/10.55121/prr.v3i1.771

COPYRIGHT
Copyright © 2026 by the author(s). Published by Japan Bilingual Publishing Co. This is an open access article under the Creative Commons Attribu- tion-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

more grounded in ethical vigilance and imaginative renewal, as antidotes to the alienation of the contemporary age. Echoing the voices of past thinkers, it calls for a nuanced engagement with the unresolved paradoxes of the modern *Geistes*—an effort to inhabit its tensions consciously and compassionately while envisioning more humanistic futures.
Keywords: History of Knowledge; Critique of the Modern World; Critical Discourse Analysis; Christian-Hegelian Criticism; Philosophy of History

1. Introduction

In the twilight spaces between epochs, prophetic voices often emerge from the margins, their words carrying the weight of futures not yet realised. Such was the case with two figures separated by geography yet united in their capacity to perceive the contours of the coming century: Friedrich Nietzsche, whose fractured mind became the vessel for Europe's most disturbing premonitions, and José Rizal, whose clear-eyed vision from the colonial periphery anticipated the convulsions of empire. Their convergence across continents speaks to a singular intuition: that the twentieth century would become both the fulfillment and negation of modernity's promise, a crucible in which human sensibility would be simultaneously refined and shattered. These prophetic voices perceived what contemporary scholarship has struggled to comprehend: that modernity's deepest paradoxes were not incidental features of historical development but constitutive elements of consciousness's encounter with its own possibilities. Nietzsche's diagnosis of Western civilisation's "God is dead" moment revealed not merely a crisis of belief but a fundamental transformation in the conditions of human meaning-making. From the opposite shore of imperial experience, Rizal witnessed how the "modern spirit" that had "reached the farthest corners of the earth" carried within its promises of progress the very seeds of violence and domination it claimed to transcend.

Sure, contemporary scholarship may have responded to these paradoxes through increasingly sophisticated interpretive frameworks. Gadamerian hermeneutics, for example, offers dialogical models of understanding that seek to bridge cultural and temporal distances through the "fusion of horizons." Postcolonial and decolonial critiques expose the colonial matrix of power that undergirded Western modernisation whilst advocating for epistemic pluriversality and decolonial alternatives. Technological determinism and digital humanities explore how computational mediation transforms the very conditions of historical consciousness and cultural memory. Secular-religious dialectics trace the complex genealogies through which transcendent meaning migrates between sacred and secular forms of life.

This essay advances a different approach, hence—one that recognises these contemporary frameworks as necessary moments in consciousness's development toward more comprehensive self-understanding whilst transcending their respective limitations through what might be termed a Christian-Hegelian synthesis. This perspective draws upon Hegel's recognition that authentic historical understanding requires the capacity to hold contradictory elements in productive tension rather than resolving them prematurely into false harmonies or abstract oppositions. It incorporates the Christian insight that genuine transcendence emerges not from the avoidance of suffering and contradiction but from their faithful inhabitation in the service of a more comprehensive vision of human flourishing.

1.1. Objectives and Approach

Generally, this paper critically reflects and meditates on the far-reaching implications of modernity in culture and the greater critical history of ideas, positioning itself against the backdrop of contemporary scholarly approaches that have dominated philosophical interpretations of 20th-century history. More specifically, this essay aims to accomplish five interrelated objectives that together constitute a comprehensive reexamination of modernity's paradoxes and their continuing manifestations.

1.2. Significance and Contemporary Relevance

The significance of this inquiry lies in its attempt to

transcend reductive narratives about modernity that either uncritically celebrate progress or entirely condemn its destructive aspects. Contemporary scholarship has become increasingly sophisticated in its analysis of modernity's complexities, yet it remains unable to provide the synthetic vision necessary for genuine comprehension. The hermeneutical approach's emphasis on dialogue, the postcolonial critique's focus on power relations, technological determinism's material analysis, and secular-religious dialectics' genealogical method each capture important dimensions of modern experience whilst remaining trapped within partial perspectives that cannot encompass the whole. By engaging with diverse critical perspectives spanning different cultural contexts and historical moments, this analysis offers a more nuanced understanding of the complex dialectic between emancipation and domination that characterises modern experience.

The attempt of this essay is to integrate Western philosophical critique with perspectives from colonial and postcolonial contexts, providing a broader global framework for understanding modernity as a contested and heterogeneous phenomenon rather than a universal trajectory. Yet unlike contemporary postcolonial scholarship, which often remains trapped within reactive opposition to Western hegemony, this approach recognises that the deepest forms of domination are internal—manifesting as consciousness's alienation from its own deepest possibilities—and therefore require forms of response that transcend the binary of coloniser and colonised. This perspective has particular relevance for addressing contemporary challenges related to technological development, cultural fragmentation, and the search for meaning in an increasingly disenchanted world. The digital revolution has intensified modernity's contradictions in ways that existing scholarly frameworks prove inadequate to comprehend. The Christian-Hegelian approach offers resources for understanding why technological sophistication coexists with growing spiritual impoverishment, why increased connectivity accompanies deeper forms of alienation, and why the multiplication of cultural options produces what Taylor calls "the malaise of modernity" rather than authentic enrichment.

Against the backdrop of contemporary scholarship's sophisticated yet ultimately insufficient responses to modernity's crisis, this essay proposes that what is needed is not another partial perspective but a genuinely synthetic approach capable of comprehending these contradictions as necessary moments in consciousness's development toward more comprehensive self-understanding. The Christian-Hegelian framework provides both the conceptual apparatus for such comprehension and the practical wisdom necessary for inhabiting these contradictions creatively rather than being destroyed by them. The voices of Nietzsche and Rizal, speaking from opposite ends of the imperial order, remind us that modernity's crisis was never merely European or merely colonial but represented a fundamental transformation in the conditions of human existence itself. Their prophetic insights, vindicated by the century's subsequent catastrophes and achievements, point toward possibilities for synthesis that contemporary scholarship has yet to fully explore. This essay attempts to develop those possibilities whilst remaining faithful to the complexity of experience that made such prophecy both necessary and possible.

2. Review of Literatures: of History in/with/through/and Philosophy and Vice Versa

The philosophical interpretation of twentieth-century history has undergone significant reexamination in contemporary scholarship, particularly within frameworks that acknowledge the interpretive complexity of historical understanding. This literature review examines recent scholarly discourse on historical interpretation of the twentieth century, focusing on four dominant perspectives that have emerged in the last decade, whilst positioning these within broader hermeneutical approaches to historical meaning.

2.1. Contemporary Hermeneutical Approaches to Historical Understanding

Recent scholarship has increasingly turned to hermeneutical methodologies for understanding the complexities of twentieth-century historical experience. Gadamer's concept of "fusion of horizons" has profoundly shaped contemporary understandings of historical meaning and interpretation, challenging the ideal of wholly objective historical knowledge. As Iñaki Xavier Larrauri-Pertierra

demonstrates, Gadamerian hermeneutics accommodates dialogical encounters between self and other, recognising how horizons are reconstructed through intercultural dialogue whilst maintaining that genuine understanding requires openness to otherness [1]. Ryan Krahn's extension of Gadamer's model to postcolonial contexts explores the fusion of horizons as a transcultural understanding that rejects both naïve universalism and incommensurability. This movement towards intercultural hermeneutics demonstrates that Gadamerian insights remain vital for addressing contemporary pluralism, yet it also reveals the limitations of dialogue-based approaches when confronted with deeply asymmetrical or antagonistic contexts [2].

From an educational vista, Silvia Edling and colleagues' analysis reveals that hermeneutical inquiry increasingly focuses on themes such as cosmopolitanism, democracy, and emancipation, all requiring openness to differing horizons of value and meaning [3]. However, their findings also expose the methodological tensions inherent in attempting to ground historical understanding purely in dialogical encounter without recourse to transcendent principles of judgment.

2.2. The Postcolonial Critique of Modernity

The emergence of the "modernity/coloniality" paradigm, centred on the works of Aníbal Quijano, Walter Mignolo, and Dipesh Chakrabarty, has fundamentally reshaped scholarly understanding of modernity's global dimensions. Quijano's concept of the "coloniality of power" exposes how Western modernity's rationality, economic organisation, and structures of knowledge are deeply implicated in global systems of domination [4]. Paulo Quintero's recent analysis demonstrates how Quijano's critical framework, developed over six decades, reveals the inseparability of modern capitalist power relations from colonial forms of knowledge and social hierarchy [5]. Walter Mignolo's 2017 assertion that "coloniality is far from over, and so must be decoloniality" [6] emphasises the need for continual epistemic disobedience and "delinking" from Eurocentric paradigms. His work underscores the necessity of pluriversality—the recognition of multiple forms of truth and being—as a condition for imagining truly decolonial futures. Chakrabarty's continued influence through Provincializing Europe challenges the uncritical projection of "Europe" as the origin and arbiter of modernity, arguing that Western categories cannot simply be transplanted onto other histories [7].

Gurminder K. Bhambra's synthetic work articulates how both postcolonial and decolonial methodologies interrogate the infrastructure of knowledge production, encouraging greater reflexivity about positionality and disciplinary boundaries [8]. However, critics point to the tendency for these approaches to idealise local epistemologies whilst underestimating the extent of material and symbolic power still wielded by Western paradigms.

2.3. Technological Determinism and Digital Humanities

Scholarly engagement with technology's influence on history has undergone a significant transformation in the past decade, fueled by the rise of digital humanities and renewed debates about technological determinism. Ben Roberts maps out the landscape of media archaeology and critical theory of technology, focusing on contributions from Wolfgang Ernst and Bernard Stiegler [9]. This tradition examines technology as an agent of historical processes whilst confronting technological determinism and resisting teleological models of history. Bernard Stiegler's philosophy, with its concept of "technics" as the prosthetic and temporal dimension of human being, argues that human consciousness and culture are always already shaped by technical artefact, suggesting a co-evolution of humanity and technology. Crucially, Stiegler proposes that technological change restructures temporality itself, implying that the conditions for historical consciousness are inseparable from the artefact and media through which memory is stored and transmitted [10].

The digital humanities now interrogate how computational methods and artificial intelligence are transforming historical research and cultural heritage. Caruso and Spadaro reflect on the possibilities and philosophical implications of deep learning and automation [11], whilst Frontoni and colleagues document interdisciplinary efforts to ensure that artificial intelligence enriches rather than impoverishes cultural complexity [12]. The 2024 "AI & History" special issue explores how computational advances ne-

cessitate reflection on foundational concepts such as truth and authenticity in historical practice [13,14]. In contrast, Yuk Hui's philosophical interventions question the presumed universality of Western philosophies of technology, advocating for a more pluralistic, cosmotechnical approach that reflects indigenous and non-Western perspectives. His work demonstrates how models of technological modernity must be critically evaluated in light of local histories and epistemologies [15].

2.4. The Secular-Religious Dialectic

Charles Taylor's "A Secular Age" provides a widely cited philosophical history that interrogates how Western societies shifted from a condition where belief in God was "unalterable" to one where it is merely an option among many. Taylor opposes simplistic narratives attributing secularisation to scientific rationality, instead tracing complex genealogies through medieval and early modern transformations [16]. Ian Hunter observes that Taylor's "Catholic" reform master-narrative frames secularisation as the disembedding of rational subjectivity from its prior sacral, communal, and cosmic moorings [17]. This so-called Radical Orthodoxy movement, spearheaded by John Milbank, Catherine Pickstock, and Graham Ward, offers a sustained post-secular critique of modernity that rejects the autonomous division between faith and reason. Milbank contends that the "death of God" associated with secularisation undermines both metaphysics and ethics, threatening to reduce public discourse to mere materialist functionalism [18]. Pickstock's liturgical theology argues that liturgy is not merely an expression but a consummation of philosophical and theological insight, providing the primary site where time, language, and subjectivity are patterned [19].

However, E.A. Grant's eschatological critique assesses Pickstock's approach as simultaneously embracing and collapsing historical and eternal time, suggesting unresolved tensions between anticipation and fulfillments in Christian historical consciousness [20]. Matthew Grimley's historical account of church-state relations demonstrates the reciprocal evolution of the British state and its religious culture, corroborating claims that neither religious nor secular meanings are static but are continually reshaped in dialogue with social and political developments [21].

3. Theoretical Framework and Methodology

3.1. The Dialectical-Hermeneutical Approach: Beyond Synthesis toward Concrete Analysis

This study employs what can be termed a "dialectical-hermeneutical" methodology that moves beyond abstract theoretical synthesis toward concrete historical analysis. Rather than proposing a new overarching framework, this approach examines how consciousness encounters and processes historical contradictions through specific cultural mediations. The methodology draws on Hegel's concept of determinate negation—the idea that consciousness develops not by rejecting contradictions but by working through them to reach a more concrete understanding. The framework operates through three interconnected analytical moments [22]. First, contradictory recognition examines how cultural figures acknowledge and articulate the fundamental tensions of their historical moment. Second, mediating response analyses the specific forms through which these figures attempt to navigate or transform these contradictions. Third, dialectical assessment evaluates which responses prove generative for further historical development and which remain trapped within the contradictions they seek to overcome.

This methodology differs from existing approaches in its treatment of contradiction as ontologically constitutive rather than epistemologically problematic. Where hermeneutical approaches seek fusion of horizons through dialogue, and postcolonial critiques focus on power asymmetries, this framework examines how historical actors inhabit and transform contradictions through concrete practices and cultural productions. As Theodor Adorno argued in *Negative Dialectics*, authentic thinking must learn to "think against itself" without premature reconciliation [23].

3.2. Primary Sources and Analytical Strategy

The study centers on a comparative analysis of Friedrich Nietzsche's *The Gay Science* (1882/1887) and José Rizal's *The Philippines a Century Hence* (1889–1890) as paradigmatic expressions of modernity's contradictions from European and colonial perspectives, respectively.

These texts are contextualised through secondary testimonial sources: Viktor Frankl's *Man's Search for Meaning* (1946), Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn's *The Gulag Archipelago* (1973), and cultural texts by W. Somerset Maugham and Gabriel García Márquez that register narrative fragmentation under modern conditions.

The selection criteria prioritise texts that: (1) explicitly thematise modernity's contradictory character, (2) emerge from different cultural and geopolitical positions, (3) combine philosophical reflection with lived historical experience, and (4) demonstrate consciousness grappling with its own conditions of possibility rather than offering abstract solutions.

The analytical strategy traces how each text identifies specific manifestations of what might be called the "modern aporia"—the simultaneous necessity and impossibility of grounding human meaning in purely rational or secular terms. Rather than harmonizing these diverse responses, the analysis examines their productive tensions and mutual illuminations.

4. Discussion: The Concrete Universal of Modern Consciousness: Dialectical Moments in Cultural Response

4.1. The Prophetic Moment: Anticipating Dark Dialectic of Modernity

When Nietzsche's madman proclaimed "God is dead—and we have killed him" [24], he articulated not merely a theological observation but a diagnostic insight into the fundamental structure of modern consciousness. This death represented what Gillian Rose calls the "broken middle"—the condition in which consciousness recognises its loss of substantial foundations while remaining unable to create adequate substitutes [25]. Nietzsche's genius lay in recognizing that this murder was both liberating and catastrophic, unleashing human creative potential while simultaneously removing the traditional grounds for moral judgment.

From the colonial periphery, José Rizal perceived a parallel structure in the encounter between European modernity and indigenous societies. His prediction that "within a century the Philippines will be either a Spanish province populated by Spaniards, or it will be a free nation" anticipated not merely political independence but the broader process by which colonial subjects would appropriate and transform the very concepts of freedom and nationhood that their colonisers had denied them [26]. Rizal understood that decolonisation would require inhabiting the contradictions of European political thought rather than simply rejecting them.

Both thinkers grasped what subsequent history would confirm: that modernity's emancipatory promises contained within them new forms of domination that would manifest as industrialised warfare, totalitarian politics, and what Hannah Arendt later called "the banality of evil" [27]. Their prophetic insight lay in recognising these contradictions as structurally necessary rather than accidental features of the modern condition.

4.2. The Testimonial Moment: Consciousness under Extreme Conditions

The 20th century transformed these abstract contradictions into concrete historical experiences that tested the limits of human consciousness. Viktor Frankl's account of Auschwitz provides crucial evidence for understanding how consciousness responds when stripped of external supports. His central insight, that is "everything can be taken from a man but one thing: the last of the human freedoms—to choose one's attitude in any given set of circumstances"—reveals the irreducible core of human dignity that persists even under systematic dehumanisation [28].

Crucially, Frankl's logotherapy emerged not despite but through his encounter with extreme suffering. His discovery that meaning could be found even in meaningless situations demonstrates what might be called the "determinate negation" of nihilism—a movement through and beyond despair that generates new possibilities for human self-understanding. This movement contradicts both optimistic humanism, which denies the reality of radical evil, and pessimistic nihilism, which treats suffering as ultimately meaningless.

Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn's *Gulag Archipelago* documents a parallel process within the Soviet context, where ideological systematisation produced its own forms of dehumanization. His analysis reveals how totalitarian sys-

tems attempt to eliminate the very possibility of meaningful resistance by reducing all human activity to economic or political categories [29]. Yet Solzhenitsyn's own testimonial practice demonstrates the persistence of what he calls "the simple step of a courageous individual"—the refusal to participate in collective lies even when such participation would be materially advantageous [30].

These testimonial works suggest that consciousness possesses resources for resistance that are activated precisely under conditions of extreme pressure. Rather than confirming human weakness, they reveal capacities for moral and spiritual response that emerge through rather than despite historical suffering.

4.3. The Cultural Moment: Narrative Fragmentation and New Forms of Meaning

The breakdown of traditional narrative structures under modern conditions generated new literary and cultural forms that registered consciousness's changing relationship to time, meaning, and identity. W. Somerset Maugham's *Of Human Bondage* traces Philip Carey's journey through successive disillusionment with religion, art, philosophy, and romantic love, culminating not in cynical rejection but in the recognition that "the only reasonable thing is to accept the fact that life has no meaning and to act as though it had" [31]. This paradoxical conclusion—acting as if life had meaning while knowing it lacks a transcendent foundation—captures what Charles Taylor calls the "cross-pressures" of modern existence. Maugham's novel demonstrates how consciousness learns to generate meaning through commitment and relationship rather than deriving it from metaphysical guarantees.

Gabriel García Márquez's *One Hundred Years of Solitude* registers these same tensions from a postcolonial perspective, where the "magical" elements of the narrative emerge from the collision between indigenous and European forms of temporal consciousness. The novel's circular structure, in which the Buendía family is condemned to repeat rather than learn from history, dramatises the specific forms that modernity's contradictions take in contexts shaped by colonial violence and cultural displacement [32]. Márquez's narrative technique suggests that adequate response to postcolonial conditions requires new forms of storytelling that can hold together contradictory temporal experiences without resolving them into false synthesis. The novel's famous conclusion: "races condemned to one hundred years of solitude did not have a second opportunity on earth", warns against the dangers of remaining trapped within cycles of repetition rather than achieving genuine historical transformation. This is why his Nobel speech on "The Solitude of Latin America" identifies a particular manifestation of this crisis in regions marked by colonialism and uneven development. "We have had to ask but little of imagination," he declares, "for our crucial problem has been a lack of conventional means to render our lives believable" [33]. The seemingly magical or absurd elements of Latin American reality—what would be called "magical realism"—emerge as a response to historical experiences that defy conventional narrative frameworks. Needless to say, but this fracturing of narrative took distinctive forms across different cultural contexts.

4.4. The Contemporary Moment: Digital Mediation and the Crisis of Embodied Experience

The 21st century has intensified rather than resolved these contradictions through what Sherry Turkle calls "life mix"—the increasingly seamless integration of digital and physical experience that transforms the conditions of consciousness itself [34]. Digital technologies promise enhanced connectivity and expanded access to information while simultaneously producing new forms of isolation and attention fragmentation. The phenomenon of "continuous partial attention," identified by Linda Stone, reveals how digital mediation affects consciousness at the neurological level [35]. The capacity for sustained reflection and deep engagement—capacities that figures like Frankl and Solzhenitsyn demonstrated under extreme conditions—becomes increasingly difficult to maintain under conditions of technological acceleration and information overload.

Yet this crisis also generates new possibilities for cultural response. Digital humanities projects that preserve and analyse testimonial literature, online communities that form around shared meaning-making practices, and new forms of artistic expression that creatively engage with technological mediation all suggest ways that consciousness continues to assert its irreducible dignity under changing historical conditions.

4.5. Toward Practical Wisdom: Inhabiting Rather than Resolving Contradiction

Rather than proposing abstract solutions to these continuing contradictions, this analysis suggests that an authentic response requires what Aristotle called *phronesis*, i.e., practical wisdom that emerges through engaged participation in particular situations [36]. The figures examined here demonstrate various forms of such wisdom: Nietzsche's creative affirmation, Rizal's strategic nationalism, Frankl's therapeutic practice, Solzhenitsyn's testimonial witness, and the literary innovations of Maugham and Márquez.

What unites these diverse responses is their refusal to escape from historical contradiction through either cynical detachment or utopian fantasy. Instead, they demonstrate how consciousness can inhabit tensions creatively, generating new possibilities for human flourishing without denying the reality of limitation and suffering. This capacity for creative inhabitation may be particularly crucial in our current moment, when technological acceleration and global connectivity create unprecedented opportunities for both human enhancement and human diminishment. The task is neither to reject technological development nor to embrace it uncritically, but to develop forms of practical wisdom adequate to navigating its contradictory potentials.

5. Conclusions

Toward a New Synthesis: Recovering Sensibility in a Fragmented World

If the dialectic of modernity has reached an impasse in the twenty-first century, what possibilities remain for a new synthesis? Not a naive reconciliation that denies contradiction, but a higher integration that preserves tension within a more comprehensive vision—what Hegel would recognise as genuine *Aufhebung*, i.e., upheaval, refusal, rejection, or subversion in the sense of Adorno and Horkheimer, 1972. It is a simultaneous overcoming and preservation. The voices examined in this essay, in their varied responses to modernity's crisis, suggest several pathways toward such a recovery of human sensibility in a fragmented world.

First, there is the necessity of bearing witness to the contradictions of our time without being paralysed by them. Solzhenitsyn's unflinching documentation of the Soviet system, Frankl's testimony from the concentration camps, García Márquez's rendering of Latin American reality—all demonstrate how truth-telling serves as a foundation for authentic response. As Taylor argues, "The work of retrieval is never finished, never fully successful … but it is an indispensable task". This work of retrieval involves acknowledging the full weight of modernity's failures while remaining open to its unrealised possibilities. It requires what Paul Ricœur called a "second naiveté," which is a capacity to engage with symbolic and narrative traditions after, rather than before, critical consciousness has done its work.

Second, there is the cultivation of what might be called a "situated transcendence", that recognition of meaning that emerges not from abstract principles but from concrete engagements with others and with the world. Maugham's Philip Carey discovers that love, not conceptual certainty, provides the basis for meaningful existence. This insight resonates with Taylor's concept of "fullness", those moments when "our lives are more fully, more deeply, more meaningfully lived". Such fullness arises not from escape from the conditions of modernity but from deeper engagement with them.

Third, there is the recovery of what Johnson calls "the moral imagination," i.e., the capacity to envision alternatives to the dominant logic of technical control and market fundamentalism [37]. This imagination draws on traditions that precede and transcend modernity without simply rejecting modernity's valid insights. It seeks not a return to premodern certainties but a new synthesis that incorporates the best of both premodern wisdom and modern freedom.

The paradoxes and existential anguish that defined the 20th century have not resolved in the 21st; they have morphed and intensified. Yet this continuity should not lead to despair. The prophetic voices that warned of modernity's dangers also pointed toward resources for its transformation. Nietzsche's diagnosis of nihilism was accompanied by his call for a "revaluation of all values" [24]. Rizal's critique of colonialism was inseparable from his vision of national renewal. Frankl's experience of extreme suffering led to his affirmation of human dignity [28]. Solzhenitsyn's condemnation of Soviet totalitarianism was matched by his faith in spiritual rebirth [30].

These voices suggest that the human spirit, though vulnerable to history's cruelties, possesses resources of resilience and imagination that no system can fully extinguish. As Taylor argues, "Even in the most flattened, most univocal of secular perspectives, there remains a possibility of a transformation perspective, a moment of opening" [16]. This opening—this capacity for transcendence within immanence—may be the most precious legacy of the twentieth century's crucible of consciousness.

The task of the 21st century, then, is not to resolve the paradoxes of modernity but to inhabit them more fully, more consciously, more compassionately. It is to recognise that technological power without self-understanding is a danger, that information without wisdom is a burden, that connectivity without community is a form of isolation. It is to forge what Eagleton calls a "tragic humanism"—one that acknowledges the reality of human limitation and suffering while affirming the possibility of meaning and dignity [38]. In this endeavour, the voices of the past century offer not just warnings but examples—demonstrations of how human sensibility can transform even the most challenging circumstances into opportunities for meaning and creation. Their legacy reminds us that the human spirit, while shaped by historical forces, is never fully determined by them. It retains the capacity for what Hegel called "the labor of the negative," being the transformative work through which consciousness confronts its own contradictions and emerges at a higher level of understanding [39]. This labour continues, unfinished and unfinishable, as the defining task of our time.

Perhaps the final paradox of modernity lies in its unintended revelation: that the very crisis it precipitates—the disenchantment of the world, the fracturing of narrative, the technologisation of experience—may also be the crucible in which a more profound human sensibility is forged. Not a return to premodern certainties, but a movement through and beyond modernity's contradictions toward what Eagleton calls "a tragic humanism capable of salvaging the Enlightenment by bringing it into dialogue with its own dark side" [38]. This dialogue—between faith and doubt, between meaning and absurdity, between transcendence and immanence—is not a problem to be solved but the very substance of human consciousness in its ongoing evolution. The 21st century, inheritor of the previous century's paradoxes, may yet become the theater for this consciousness to recognise itself anew.

In the end, the Christian-Hegelian perspective recognises this dialogue not as an unfortunate consequence of modernity's failures but as the necessary condition for consciousness's development toward authentic self-understanding. The 21st century, inheritor of the previous century's paradoxes, may yet become the theatre for this consciousness to recognise itself anew—not through the resolution of contradictions but through their faithful inhabitation in the service of a more comprehensive vision of human flourishing. Therefore, history, like culture and in culture, is an ever-unfolding text—never neutral, always prone to a call for (re-)interpretation, indeed as a force, rather than an effort to provide a coherent set of answers to existential questions that beset humanity in the passage of one's lifetime [40].

Funding

The author received no financial support for the research, authorship, and publication of this article.

Institutional Review Board Statement

Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement

Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement

This essay is a philosophical-conceptual analysis. Thus, all the so-called data are reflected in the references as so cited.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no potential conflict of interest concerning the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

This work is solely written by the author. The entire material was encoded using macOS Sequoia 15.6.1 and

then revised with macOS Tahoe 26.0.1, with which he uses AppleAI for proofreading.

References

[1] Larrauri-Pertierra, I.X., 2020. On Gadamerian Hermeneutics: Fusions of Horizons, Dialogue, and Evolution(s) within Culture as Dynamic System of Meaning. Eidos: A Journal for Philosophy of Culture. 4(4), 45–62.

[2] Krahn, R.D.T., 2009. Gadamer's Fusion of Horizons and Intercultural Interpretation [Master's Thesis]. University of Guelph: Guelph, ON, Canada.

[3] Edling, S., Löfström, J., Sharp, H., et al., 2022. Mapping Moral Consciousness in Research on Historical Consciousness and Education – A Summative Content Analysis of 512 Research Articles Published between 1980 and 2020. Journal of Curriculum Studies. 54(2), 282–300.

[4] Quijano, A., 2024. Foundational Essays on the Coloniality of Power. Mignolo, W., Segato, R.L. (Eds.). Duke University Press: Durham, NC, USA.

[5] Quintero, P., 2024. An Introduction on Power and Coloniality of Power in Aníbal Quijano's Work. In: Rubbo, D.A. (Ed.). Aníbal Quijano: Dissidences and Crossroads of Latin American Critical Theory. Routledge: London, UK. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4324/9781032617749-12

[6] Mignolo, W.D., 2017. Coloniality Is Far from Over, and So Must Be Decoloniality. Afterall. 43. Available from: https://www.afterall.org/articles/coloniality-is-far-from-over-and-so-must-be-decoloniality/

[7] Chakrabarty, D., 2008. Provincialising Europe: Postcolonial Thought and Historical Difference. Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ, USA.

[8] Bhambra, G.K., 2014. Postcolonial and Decolonial Dialogues. Postcolonial Studies. 17(2), 115–121.

[9] Roberts, B., 2019. Media Archaeology and Critical Theory of Technology. In: Goodall, M., Roberts, B. (Eds.). New Media Archaeologies. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK. pp. 155–174. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/9789048532094.008

[10] Stiegler, B., 2011. Technics and Time. Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, USA.

[11] Caruso, M., Spadaro, A., 2024. Digital Humanities and Artificial Intelligence: An Accelerationist Perspective of the Future. Proceedings. 96(1), 10. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/proceedings2024096010

[12] Frontoni, E., Paolanti, M., Migliorelli, L., et al., 2024. Editorial: Artificial Intelligence: The New Frontier in Digital Humanities. Frontiers in Computer Science. 6. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fcomp.2024.1529826

[13] Clavert, F., Regan, A., Takats, S., 2024. AI & History. Journal of Digital History. Available from: https://journalofdigitalhistory.org/en/cfp/ai (cited 17 August 2025).

[14] Kissinger, H.A., Schmidt, E., Huttenlocher, D. 2021. The Age of AI: And Our Human Future. Little, Brown, and Company: London, UK.

[15] Hui, Y., 2017. For a Philosophy of Technology in China. Parrhesia. 27, 48–63.

[16] Taylor, C., 2007. A Secular Age. Harvard University Press: Cambridge, MA, USA.

[17] Hunter, I., 2011. Charles Taylor's A Secular Age and Secularisation in Early Modern Germany. Modern Intellectual History. 8(3), 621–646.

[18] Milbank, J., 2017. Radical Orthodoxy and Protestantism Today. Acta Theologica. S25, 43–72.

[19] Pickstock, C., 1998. After Writing: On the Liturgical Consummation of Philosophy. Blackwell: Oxford, UK.

[20] Grant, E.A., 2019. An Eschatological Critique of Catherine Pickstock's Liturgical Theology. New Blackfriars. 100, 493–508. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/nbfr.12400

[21] Grimley, M., 2013. The Fall and Rise of Church and State? Religious History, Politics and the State in Britain, 1961–2011. Studies in Church History. 49, 491–512. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/S0424208400002308

[22] Hegel, G.W.F., 2010. Georg Wilhelm Friedrich Hegel: The Science of Logic. Giovanni, G.D. (Ed.). Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK. pp. 385–394.

[23] Adorno, T.W., 1973. Negative Dialectics. Continuum: New York, NY, USA. pp. 141–161.

[24] Nietzsche, F., 1974. The Gay Science. Kaufmann, W. (Trans.). Vintage Books: New York, NY, USA. p. 181.

[25] Rose, G., 1992. The Broken Middle: Out of Our Ancient Society. Blackwell: Oxford, UK. pp. 17–35.

[26] Rizal, J., 1972. The Philippines a Century Hence. In Political and Historical Writings. Alzona, E. (Trans.). National Historical Institute: Manila, Philippines. p. 143.

[27] Arendt, H., 1963. Eichmann in Jerusalem: A Report on the Banality of Evil. Viking Press: New York, NY, USA.

[28] Frankl, V.E., 2006. Man's Search for Meaning. Beacon Press: Boston, MA, USA. p. 66.

[29] Solzhenitsyn, A., 1974. The Gulag Archipelago, 1918–1956: An Experiment in Literary Investigation,

I. Whitney, T.P., Willettes, H.T. (Trans.). Harper & Row: New York, NY, USA. pp. 173–185.

[30] Solzhenitsyn, A., 2006. Live Not By Lies. In: Ericson Jr., E.E., Mahoney, D.J. (Eds.). The Solzhenitsyn Reader: New and Essential Writings, 1947–2005. ISI Books: Wilmington, DE, USA. pp. 556–558.

[31] Maugham, W.S., 2007. Of Human Bondage. Penguin Classics: New York, NY, USA. p. 656.

[32] García Márquez, G., 1970. One Hundred Years of Solitude. Harper & Row: New York, NY, USA.

[33] García Márquez, G., 1982. The Solitude of Latin America. Nobel Prize lecture. Available from: https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/literature/1982/marquez/lecture/ (cited 17 August 2025).

[34] Turkle, S., 2011. Alone Together: Why We Expect More from Technology and Less from Each Other. Basic Books: New York, NY, USA. pp. 15–31.

[35] Stone, L., 2006. Continuous Partial Attention—Not the Same as Multi-Tasking. Business Week. Available from: https://web.archive.org/web/20090127061147/http://www.businessweek.com/business_at_work/time_management/archives/2008/07/continuous_part.html (cited 17 August 2025).

[36] Aristotle, 1985. Nicomachean Ethics. Irwin, T. (Trans.). Hackett Publishing: Indianapolis, IN, USA.

[37] Johnson, P., 1983. Modern Times: The World from the Twenties to the Nineties. Harper & Row: New York, NY, USA.

[38] Eagleton, T., 2014. Culture and the Death of God. Yale University Press: New Haven, CT, USA.

[39] Hegel, G.W.F., 1977. Phenomenology of Spirit. Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK. p. 19.

[40] Servaña, A. 2024. Report on Culture Towards Critical Rapport: Basis for Cautious, Conscious and Careful Contemporary Cultural Studies and Literacy. International Journal of Sociology and Social policy. 45(1–2), 106–116. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSSP-04-2024-0156